

<sup>70</sup>Zn(<sup>3</sup>He,d)    [1974Ri08](#)

Type	Author	Citation	History	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh and Jun Chen	NDS 188,1 (2023)		17-Jan-2023

**1974Ri08:** E=17 MeV <sup>3</sup>He beam from the EN Tandem Van de Graaff in Heidelberg. Targets were self-supporting foils of  $\approx 100 \mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$   $\geq 79\%$  enriched <sup>70</sup>Zn. Reaction products were momentum-analyzed with a single-gap broad-range magnetic spectrograph (FWHM=15-20 keV). Measured  $\sigma(\theta)$ ,  $\theta(\text{lab})=5^\circ-35^\circ$ . Deduced levels, L-transfers, spectroscopic factors from comparison with DWBA calculations with zero-range approximation and inclusion of spin-orbit coupling.

Other:

**1974Ze01:** E=18 MeV from the Argonne tandem. Measured  $\sigma(\theta)$  for 12 levels using  $\Delta E$ -E detectors (FWHM=120 keV). DWBA analysis.

<sup>71</sup>Ga Levels

E(level)	L	(2J+1)C <sup>2</sup> S <sup>†</sup>	Comments
0	1	1.88 <sup>#</sup>	(2J+1)C <sup>2</sup> S: 2.1, L=1 ( <a href="#">1974Ze01</a> ).
390 15	1	1.61 <sup>@</sup>	(2J+1)C <sup>2</sup> S: 0.12, L=1 ( <a href="#">1974Ze01</a> ).
487 <sup>‡</sup> 15	3 <sup>‡</sup>	3.18 <sup>@</sup> 50	
512 <sup>‡</sup> 15	1 <sup>‡</sup>	0.40 <sup>#</sup> 4	
714?			
912 15			
965 15			
1109 15	1	1.41,1.23	(2J+1)C <sup>2</sup> S: 1.1, L=1 ( <a href="#">1974Ze01</a> ).
1397 15			
1485 15	4(+2)		E(level): doublet; other member of the doublet is probably the level at 1475 with $J^\pi=5/2^-$ thus requiring L=3. (2J+1)C <sup>2</sup> S: 2.90-3.60 (for L=4, J=9/2 <sup>+</sup> ), 0.29 (for L=(2), J=(5/2 <sup>+</sup> )) component. (2J+1)C <sup>2</sup> S: 5.3, L=4(+1) ( <a href="#">1974Ze01</a> ).
1643 15	1	0.061,0.052	
1713 15	0	0.098	L=1+3 in <a href="#">1974Ze01</a> , implying a doublet, is in disagreement. <a href="#">Additional information 1</a> .
2075 30			
2206 30	3	1.82,1.14	(2J+1)C <sup>2</sup> S: 0.9, L=3 ( <a href="#">1974Ze01</a> ).
2260 30			
2310 30	1	0.22,0.19	(2J+1)C <sup>2</sup> S: 0.2, L=1 ( <a href="#">1974Ze01</a> ).
2346 30	1	0.086,0.073	
2447 30	1	0.086,0.077	
2516 30	1	0.14,0.12	(2J+1)C <sup>2</sup> S: 0.5, L= 1 ( <a href="#">1974Ze01</a> ).
2813 30			
2852 30			
2924 30			
2967 30	(2)	(0.18) <sup>#</sup>	
3016 30			(2J+1)C <sup>2</sup> S: 0.7, L=3 ( <a href="#">1974Ze01</a> ).
3153 30	2	0.11 <sup>#</sup>	
3227 30	1	0.093,0.084	
3438 30			
3506 30			
3607 30	2	0.16 <sup>#</sup>	E(level): probably a doublet.
3683			
3749 30	2	0.18 <sup>#</sup>	
3813 30	0	0.052	
3863 30			
4060 30			
4130 30	0	0.032	E(level): possible contamination from <sup>65</sup> Ga.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

---

 $^{70}\text{Zn}({}^3\text{He},\text{d})$     **1974Ri08 (continued)**

---

 $^{71}\text{Ga}$  Levels (continued)

E(level)	L	$(2J+1)C^2S^\ddagger$	E(level)	L	$(2J+1)C^2S^\ddagger$	E(level)	L	$(2J+1)C^2S^\ddagger$
4211 30	0	0.030	4487 30	0		4813 30	(2)	(0.091) <sup>#</sup>
4278 30			4644 30	0	0.026	5221 30	0	0.050
4382 30			4692 30					

<sup>†</sup> When two values are given, first value is for L-1/2, the second for L+1/2. Absolute uncertainties about 25%; relative uncertainties are lower.

<sup>‡</sup> 487 and 512 form an unresolved doublet; L=1+3 determined for the unresolved peak.

<sup>#</sup> L+1/2 assumed.

@ L-1/2 assumed.