

**Coulomb excitation**    [2013Ce01](#), [2009Mu06](#), [2002Ke02](#)

Type	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	G. Gürdal, E. A. McCutchan	NDS 136, 1 (2016)	1-Jul-2016

- [2013Ce01](#):  $^{70}\text{Zn}(^{238}\text{U}, ^{238}\text{U}'\gamma)$  with  $E(^{238}\text{U})=6.76$  MeV/nucleon. Measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ , particle- $\gamma$  coincidences using segmented HPGe Clover detectors of the EXOGAM array. Target-like reaction products separated with the VAMOS spectrometer; deduced  $T_{1/2}$  using Cologne plunger device and Recoil Distance Doppler Shift (RDDS) method.
- [2009Mu06](#):  $^{\text{nat}}\text{C}(^{70}\text{Zn}, ^{70}\text{Zn}'\gamma)$  with  $E(^{70}\text{Zn})=180$  and  $200$  MeV. Measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ ,  $\gamma(\theta)$ , particle- $\gamma$  coincidences using four HPGe Clover detectors and a Canberra PIPS Si detector; deduced g factor with transient field technique and  $T_{1/2}$  with Doppler-shift Attenuation Method (DSAM). The g-factor data from [2009Mu06](#) is reanalyzed in [2010Mo14](#) using a technique which introduces less uncertainty in the background subtraction of spectra.
- [2002Ke02](#):  $^{\text{nat}}\text{C}(^{70}\text{Zn}, ^{70}\text{Zn}'\gamma)$  with  $E(^{70}\text{Zn})=160$  MeV. Measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ ,  $\gamma(\theta)$ , particle- $\gamma$  coincidences using BaF<sub>2</sub> scintillators and a Si detector; deduced g factor with transient field technique. Also measured  $T_{1/2}$  with HPGe detector placed at 0° to the beam directions using the Doppler Shift Attenuation Method (DSAM). Earlier results in [2001KeZZ](#).
- [2002So03](#):  $^{208}\text{Pb}(^{70}\text{Zn}, ^{70}\text{Zn}'\gamma)$  with  $E(^{70}\text{Zn})=65.9$  MeV/nucleon. Measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ , particle- $\gamma$  coincidences using four segmented HPGe Clover detectors and two annular Si detectors; deduced B(E2). Earlier results by same group: [2000LeZW](#), [1998Le02](#).
- [1998Si25](#):  $^{70}\text{Zn}(p, p'\gamma)$  with  $E(p)=2.0$  MeV to  $4.5$  MeV. Measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$  using a Compton-suppressed Ge(Li) detector; deduced B(E2).
- [1979Fa06](#):  $^{70}\text{Zn}(^{16}\text{O}, ^{16}\text{O}'\gamma)$  with  $E(^{16}\text{O})=36$  MeV. Measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ , particle- $\gamma$  coincidences using four NaI(Tl) detectors and an annular Si surface detector; deduced g factor of first  $2^+$  state with IMPAC.
- [1977HaZW](#), [1979BrZP](#): Measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ ; deduced g factor with transient field technique.
- [1965Ro09](#):  $^{70}\text{Zn}(\alpha, \alpha'\gamma)$  with  $E(\alpha)=6-9$  MeV. Measured  $E\gamma$  of first  $2^+$  level using Ge(Li) detector.
- [1962St02](#):  $^{70}\text{Zn}(p, p'\gamma)$  with  $E(p)=4-8$  MeV. Measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$  with NaI(Tl) detector; deduced B(E2).

$^{70}\text{Zn}$  Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J $\pi$ <sup>‡</sup>	$T_{1/2}$ <sup>#</sup>	Comments
0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>		
884.9	2 <sup>+</sup>	3.65 ps <i>21</i>	B(E2) $\uparrow=0.160$ <i>14</i> ( <a href="#">1962St02</a> ); g= $+0.38$ <i>2</i> ( <a href="#">2009Mu06</a> ) $T_{1/2}$ : weighted average of 3.67 ps <i>21</i> from DSAM ( <a href="#">2002Ke02</a> ) and 3.60 ps <i>35</i> from RDDS ( <a href="#">2013Ce01</a> ). Others: 3.3 ps <i>3</i> deduced from B(E2) ( <a href="#">1962St02</a> ), 2.2 ps <i>2</i> deduced from B(E2) ( <a href="#">1998Si25</a> ), and 3.3 ps <i>6</i> deduced from B(E2) ( <a href="#">2002So03</a> ). B(E2) $\uparrow$ : other: 0.160 <i>28</i> ( <a href="#">2002So03</a> ), 0.235 <i>25</i> ( <a href="#">1998Si25</a> ). g: from transient field technique ( <a href="#">2009Mu06</a> ), same result obtained in reanalysis of data in <a href="#">2010Mo14</a> . g: Others: $+0.38$ <i>4</i> from transient field technique ( <a href="#">2002Ke02</a> ), 0.41 <i>10</i> from transient field technique ( <a href="#">1979BrZP</a> ), 0.30 <i>8</i> from transient field technique ( <a href="#">1977HaZW</a> ) and 0.30 <i>7</i> from IMPAC ( <a href="#">1979Fa06</a> ).
1070.8	0 <sup>+</sup>		
1759.2	2 <sup>+</sup>	1.32 ps <i>21</i>	g= $+0.47$ <i>22</i> ( <a href="#">2009Mu06</a> ) g: from transient field technique ( <a href="#">2009Mu06</a> ). Other: $+0.42$ <i>19</i> ( <a href="#">2010Mo14</a> , reanalysis of transient field data).
1786.8	4 <sup>+</sup>	3.4 ps <i>8</i>	g= $+0.37$ <i>14</i> ( <a href="#">2009Mu06</a> ) $T_{1/2}$ : from RDDS in <a href="#">2013Ce01</a> . Other: 1.32 ps <i>14</i> from DSAM ( <a href="#">2009Mu06</a> ). $T_{1/2}$ : result from RDDS method is adopted here since 1) the DSAM results of <a href="#">2009Mu06</a> cites difficulties in integrating the total 902 $\gamma$ peak shape due to a degeneracy with the 902 $\gamma$ from the 3 <sup>-</sup> state and 2) the RDDS method is consistent with the result from $^{238}\text{U}(^{76}\text{Ge}, X\gamma)$ . g: from transient field technique ( <a href="#">2009Mu06</a> ). Other: $+0.21$ <i>13</i> ( <a href="#">2010Mo14</a> , reanalysis of transient field data).
1957.3	2 <sup>+</sup>		
2538.3	2 <sup>+</sup>		
2693.4	4 <sup>+</sup>		
2859.1	3 <sup>-</sup>	0.201 ps <i>14</i>	
2895.1	(6 <sup>+</sup> )		

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

**Coulomb excitation** [2013Ce01,2009Mu06,2002Ke02](#) (continued) $^{70}\text{Zn}$  Levels (continued)

<u>E(level)<sup>†</sup></u>	<u>J<sup>π</sup><sup>‡</sup></u>	<u>T<sub>1/2</sub><sup>#</sup></u>
2949.7	1 <sup>+</sup> ,2 <sup>+</sup> ,3 <sup>+</sup>	
2978.3	4 <sup>+</sup>	
3038.2	5 <sup>-</sup>	1.04 ps 7

<sup>†</sup> Rounded values from the Adopted Levels.

<sup>‡</sup> From the Adopted Levels.

<sup>#</sup> From DSAM in [2009Mu06](#), except where noted.

 $\gamma(^{70}\text{Zn})$ 

<u>E<sub><math>\gamma</math></sub><sup>†</sup></u>	<u>E<sub>i</sub>(level)</u>	<u>J<sub>i</sub><sup>π</sup></u>	<u>E<sub>f</sub></u>	<u>J<sub>f</sub><sup>π</sup></u>	<u>Mult.</u>	<u>Comments</u>
186	1070.8	0 <sup>+</sup>	884.9	2 <sup>+</sup>		
874	1759.2	2 <sup>+</sup>	884.9	2 <sup>+</sup>		
883.7 7	884.9	2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>	E2	E <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> : From <a href="#">1962St02</a> .
902 <sup>#‡</sup>	1786.8	4 <sup>+</sup>	884.9	2 <sup>+</sup>		
902 <sup>#‡</sup>	2859.1	3 <sup>-</sup>	1957.3	2 <sup>+</sup>		
1072 <sup>#‡</sup>	1957.3	2 <sup>+</sup>	884.9	2 <sup>+</sup>		
1072 <sup>#‡</sup>	2859.1	3 <sup>-</sup>	1786.8	4 <sup>+</sup>		
1101	2859.1	3 <sup>-</sup>	1759.2	2 <sup>+</sup>		
1108	2895.1	(6 <sup>+</sup> )	1786.8	4 <sup>+</sup>		
1192 <sup>#‡</sup>	2949.7	1 <sup>+</sup> ,2 <sup>+</sup> ,3 <sup>+</sup>	1759.2	2 <sup>+</sup>		
1192 <sup>#‡</sup>	2978.3	4 <sup>+</sup>	1786.8	4 <sup>+</sup>		
1252	3038.2	5 <sup>-</sup>	1786.8	4 <sup>+</sup>		
1654	2538.3	2 <sup>+</sup>	884.9	2 <sup>+</sup>		
1759	1759.2	2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>		
1809	2693.4	4 <sup>+</sup>	884.9	2 <sup>+</sup>		
1975	2859.1	3 <sup>-</sup>	884.9	2 <sup>+</sup>		
<sup>x</sup> 2472						

<sup>†</sup> From [2009Mu06](#), except where noted.

<sup>‡</sup> Multiple placement is deduced by [2009Mu06](#) based on observation of two different mean-decay velocities associated with these transitions.

<sup>#</sup> Multiply placed.

<sup>x</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

**Coulomb excitation 2013Ce01,2009Mu06,2002Ke02**Level Scheme