

$^{70}\text{Cu} \beta^-$ decay (44.5 s) 2004Va08

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	G. Gürdal, E. A. McCutchan		NDS 136, 1 (2016)	1-Jul-2016

Parent: ^{70}Cu : $E=0.0$; $J^\pi=6^-$; $T_{1/2}=44.5$ s 2; $Q(\beta^-)=6588.3$ 22; $\% \beta^-$ decay=100.0

2004Va08,2004Va07: ^{70}Cu activity produced in proton ($E(p)=1$ GeV) and neutron induced fission of uranium carbide and separated with the RILIS ion source followed by mass separation. Measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma(t)$, $\beta\gamma$ and $\gamma\gamma$ coincidences using three thin plastic ΔE detectors and two HPGe detectors. See also thesis, 2002VaZX.

1975Re09: ^{70}Cu activity from $^{70}\text{Zn}(n,p)$, $E(n)=14$ MeV. Measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma(t)$, $E\beta$, $I\beta$, $\beta\gamma$ coincidences with a Ge(Li) detector, a NaI(Tl) detector and a β spectrometer consisting of a proportional counter backed by a plastic scintillator.

1971Ta03: ^{70}Cu activity from $^{70}\text{Zn}(n,p)$ with $E(n)=14$ MeV. Measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $E\beta$, $I\beta$, $\gamma(t)$, $\beta\gamma$ and $\gamma\gamma$ coincidences using two Ge(Li) detectors, a NaI(Tl) detector and a plastic scintillator.

Other: 1983Ru06.

A total energy release of 6590 keV 100 is calculated for this decay scheme by the code RADLST, in good agreement with the Q value of 6588.3 keV 22.

α : Additional information 1.

 ^{70}Zn Levels

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	$T_{1/2}$ [‡]	Comments
0.0	0^+	$\geq 3.8 \times 10^{18}$ y	
884.89 9	2^+	3.65 ps 21	
1786.62 13	4^+	2.9 ps 8	
2693.24 16	4^+	0.28 ps +35-14	
2895.03 16	(6^+)		
3038.30 16	5^-	1.04 ps 7	
3341.8 3	3^-		
3476.68 17			
3599.12 18			
3788.28 24			
3848.3 6	($5,6^+$)		
3903.9 4	($5,6^+$)		
4001.60 19	($5,6,7^-$)		J^π : assigned as 2^+ in 2004Va08. However, direct feeding from 6^- parent and 963γ to 5^- makes $J=2$ unlikely.
4061.42 19	($5,6,7^-$)		J^π : assigned as 4^+ in 2004Va08. However, direct feeding from 6^- parent makes $J=4$ unlikely.
4264.6 7	($5,6,7^-$)		
4309.03 21	($5,6,7^-$)		
4464.81 20	($5,6,7^-$)		
4514.4 3	($5,6,7^-$)		
4558.3 3	($5,6^+$)		
4588.9 3	($5,6,7^-$)		
4710.1 5	($5,6,7$)		
4791.7 10	($5,6,7$)		
4849.2 3	($5,6^+$)		
5061.3 5	($5,6,7$)		

[†] From a least-squares fit to $E\gamma$, by evaluators.

[‡] From the Adopted Levels.

^{70}Cu β^- decay (44.5 s) **2004Va08** (continued) β^- radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^{-\dagger\ddagger}$	Log ft	Comments
(1527.0 23)	5061.3	0.50 5	5.8 1	av $E\beta=593.9$ 12
(1739.1 22)	4849.2	5.19 20	5.0 1	av $E\beta=690.3$ 12
(1796.6 24)	4791.7	0.57 6	6.0 1	av $E\beta=716.7$ 13
(1878.2 23)	4710.1	1.04 8	5.8 1	av $E\beta=754.3$ 12
(1999.4 22)	4588.9	1.40 10	5.8 1	av $E\beta=810.6$ 12
(2030.0 22)	4558.3	2.98 15	5.5 1	av $E\beta=824.6$ 12
(2073.9 22)	4514.4	1.35 10	5.9 1	av $E\beta=845.0$ 12
(2123.5 22)	4464.81	4.15 18	5.4 1	av $E\beta=868.1$ 12
(2279.3 22)	4309.03	2.36 13	5.8 1	av $E\beta=941.0$ 12
(2323.7 23)	4264.6	2.16 12	5.9 1	av $E\beta=961.9$ 13
(2526.9 22)	4061.42	1.41 10	6.2 1	av $E\beta=1057.7$ 12
(2586.7 22)	4001.60	0.74 7	6.5 1	av $E\beta=1086.0$ 12
(2684.4 22)	3903.9	0.57 6	6.7 1	av $E\beta=1132.3$ 12
(2740.0 23)	3848.3	4.9 1	5.8 1	av $E\beta=1158.7$ 13
(2800.0 22)	3788.28	3.25 15	6.0 1	av $E\beta=1187.2$ 12
(2989.2 22)	3599.12	1.03 7	6.7 1	av $E\beta=1277.3$ 12
(3111.6 22)	3476.68	6.1 3	6.0 1	av $E\beta=1335.9$ 12
(3246.5 22)	3341.8	0.94 7	6.9 1	av $E\beta=1400.4$ 13
				Log ft : unrealistically low for a $\Delta J=3$ transition.
(3550.0 22)	3038.30	58 3	5.2 1	av $E\beta=1546.2$ 12
(3693.3 22)	2895.03	1.4 5	6.9 1	av $E\beta=1615.2$ 12

 † From an intensity balance at each level, by evaluators. ‡ Absolute intensity per 100 decays. $\gamma(^{70}\text{Zn})$ I γ normalization: From $\Sigma(I(\gamma+\text{ce})$ to g.s.)=100. No ground state β feeding is expected since $\Delta J=6$.

$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger\ddagger}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^{π}	E_f	J_f^{π}	Mult. ‡	α	Comments
438.2 2	1.40 6	3476.68		3038.30	5 ⁻			
560.82 8	1.03 7	3599.12		3038.30	5 ⁻			
584.7 1	0.83 7	4061.42	(5,6,7 ⁻)	3476.68				
750.0 2	1.25 8	3788.28		3038.30	5 ⁻			
783.1 2	0.49 6	3476.68		2693.24	4 ⁺			
884.88 9	100.0 4	884.89	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2	3.97×10^{-4}	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.000356$ 5; $\alpha(\text{L})=3.58 \times 10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(\text{M})=5.12 \times 10^{-6}$ 8; $\alpha(\text{N})=2.04 \times 10^{-7}$ 3 I γ : other: 100 5 (1971Ta03).
893.1 6	2.00 9	3788.28		2895.03	(6 ⁺)			
901.7 1	99.7 4	1786.62	4 ⁺	884.89	2 ⁺	[E2]	3.78×10^{-4}	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.000339$ 5; $\alpha(\text{L})=3.41 \times 10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(\text{M})=4.88 \times 10^{-6}$ 7; $\alpha(\text{N})=1.95 \times 10^{-7}$ 3 I γ : other: 87 4 (1971Ta03).
906.5 1	0.24 3	2693.24	4 ⁺	1786.62	4 ⁺			
963.3 1	0.74 7	4001.60	(5,6,7 ⁻)	3038.30	5 ⁻			
988.0 3	0.72 7	4464.81	(5,6,7 ⁻)	3476.68				
1023.3 2	0.58 6	4061.42	(5,6,7 ⁻)	3038.30	5 ⁻			
1108.4 1	9.3 1	2895.03	(6 ⁺)	1786.62	4 ⁺	[E2]	2.32×10^{-4}	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.000207$ 3; $\alpha(\text{L})=2.08 \times 10^{-5}$ 3; $\alpha(\text{M})=2.97 \times 10^{-6}$ 5; $\alpha(\text{N})=1.193 \times 10^{-7}$ 17 I γ : other: 8 2 (1971Ta03).
1226.3 7	2.16 9	4264.6	(5,6,7 ⁻)	3038.30	5 ⁻			
1251.7 1	73.3 3	3038.30	5 ⁻	1786.62	4 ⁺	[E1]	1.68×10^{-4}	$\alpha(\text{K})=7.56 \times 10^{-5}$ 11; $\alpha(\text{L})=7.49 \times 10^{-6}$ 11;

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{70}Cu β^- decay (44.5 s) 2004Va08 (continued) $\gamma(^{70}\text{Zn})$ (continued)

$E_\gamma^\dagger$	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger\#}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ‡	α	Comments
								$\alpha(\text{M})=1.073\times 10^{-6}$ 15; $\alpha(\text{N})=4.34\times 10^{-8}$ 6
1270.8 2	1.65 8	4309.03	(5,6,7 ⁻)	3038.30	5 ⁻			I_γ : other: 57 4 (1971Ta03).
1315 1	0.57 6	4791.7	(5,6,7)	3476.68				I_γ : other: 1.0 4 (1971Ta03).
1413.9 2	0.71 7	4309.03	(5,6,7 ⁻)	2895.03	(6 ⁺)			
1426.5 2	2.6 1	4464.81	(5,6,7 ⁻)	3038.30	5 ⁻			I_γ : other: 3 1 (1971Ta03).
1476.1 2	1.35 8	4514.4	(5,6,7 ⁻)	3038.30	5 ⁻			I_γ : other: 1.3 9 (1971Ta03).
1520.1 3	1.20 9	4558.3	(5,6 ⁺)	3038.30	5 ⁻			I_γ : other: 1.4 4 (1971Ta03).
1550.6 3	1.40 8	4588.9	(5,6,7 ⁻)	3038.30	5 ⁻			
1555.2 3	0.94 7	3341.8	3 ⁻	1786.62	4 ⁺	[E1]	3.57×10^{-4}	$\alpha(\text{K})=5.24\times 10^{-5}$ 8; $\alpha(\text{L})=5.18\times 10^{-6}$ 8; $\alpha(\text{M})=7.43\times 10^{-7}$ 11; $\alpha(\text{N})=3.01\times 10^{-8}$ 5
1569.8 2	0.83 7	4464.81	(5,6,7 ⁻)	2895.03	(6 ⁺)			
1690.3 2	6.3 1	3476.68		1786.62	4 ⁺			I_γ : other: 4.7 9 (1971Ta03).
1809.1 5	0.26 4	2693.24	4 ⁺	884.89	2 ⁺	[E2]	3.04×10^{-4}	$\alpha(\text{K})=7.51\times 10^{-5}$ 11; $\alpha(\text{L})=7.46\times 10^{-6}$ 11; $\alpha(\text{M})=1.069\times 10^{-6}$ 15; $\alpha(\text{N})=4.33\times 10^{-8}$ 6
1815.0 5	1.04 7	4710.1	(5,6,7)	2895.03	(6 ⁺)			
1954.2 3	2.8 1	4849.2	(5,6 ⁺)	2895.03	(6 ⁺)			I_γ : other: 2.1 4 (1971Ta03).
2061.6 6	4.9 1	3848.3	(5,6 ⁺)	1786.62	4 ⁺			I_γ : other: 3.7 9 (1971Ta03).
2117.2 4	0.57 6	3903.9	(5,6 ⁺)	1786.62	4 ⁺			
2166.2 5	0.50 5	5061.3	(5,6,7)	2895.03	(6 ⁺)			
2771.2 6	1.78 8	4558.3	(5,6 ⁺)	1786.62	4 ⁺			
3062.1 6	2.39 9	4849.2	(5,6 ⁺)	1786.62	4 ⁺			I_γ : other: 1.4 5 (1971Ta03).

[†] From 2004Va08. I_γ are normalized to $I_\gamma(885\gamma)=100$.[‡] From the Adopted Gammas.[#] For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 1.00 4.

$^{70}\text{Cu} \beta^-$ decay (44.5 s) 2004Va08

Decay Scheme

Intensities: I_γ per 100 parent decays

Legend

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- Coincidence

