

⁷⁰As ε decay **2002Li41,1968De16**

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	G. Gürdal, E. A. Mccutchan		NDS 136, 1 (2016)	1-Jul-2016

Parent: ⁷⁰As; E=0.0; J^π=4⁺; T_{1/2}=52.6 min 3; Q(ε)=6220 50; %ε+%β⁺ decay=100.0

2002Li41: source was produced via ⁷⁰Ge(p,n), E_p=16 MeV. Compton-suppressed HPGe detector and a planar Ge used for γ-ray detection. Measured E_γ, I_γ, γγ coin.

1992De54: mass separated source was produced in ⁵⁶Fe(¹⁶O,pn). Seven Ge(Li) detectors used for γ-ray detection. Measured γ(θ); deduced δ.

1968De16: source was produced via ⁷⁰Ge(d,2n); enriched target, chemical separation; Ge(Li) detectors used for γ-ray detection; measured T_{1/2}, E_γ, I_γ, γγ coin.

1963Bo14: source was produced via ⁷⁰Ge(d,2n); natural and enriched targets, chemical separation; NaI(Tl) detector and β spectrometer; measured E_γ, I_γ, γγ coincidences, β⁺ spectrum.

Others: **1967Vi06**, **1969Hi01**.

α: [Additional information 1](#).

⁷⁰Ge Levels

E(level) [‡]	J ^π [†]	E(level) [‡]	J ^π [†]	E(level) [‡]	J ^π [†]	E(level) [‡]	J ^π [†]
0.0	0 ⁺	2451.30 3	3 ⁺	3294.76 8	3 ⁺ ,4 ⁺	4243.11 15	
1039.495 22	2 ⁺	2534.93 4	2 ⁺	3371.64 10	(3,4)	4577.23 16	(3,4 ⁺)
1215.57 3	0 ⁺	2562.05 3	3 ⁻	3488.234 24	(3,4 ⁺)	4675.41 21	(3,4 ⁺)
1707.628 18	2 ⁺	2806.25 3	4 ⁺	3570.51 7	(2,3) ⁻	5265.81 14	
2153.17 3	4 ⁺	3046.43 3	3 ⁺	3675.75 7	3 ⁺ ,4 ⁺	5370.07 5	
2156.73 3	2 ⁺	3058.720 24	4 ⁺	4101.45 5	(3 ⁻)		

[†] From the Adopted Levels.

[‡] From a least-squares fit to E_γ's by evaluators.

ε,β⁺ radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	Iβ ⁺ [‡]	Iε [‡]	Log ft	I(ε+β ⁺) ^{†‡}	Comments
(8.5×10 ² 5)	5370.07		0.84 6	5.13 7	0.84 6	εK=0.8807 2; εL=0.10044 13; εM+=0.01886 3
(9.5×10 ² 5)	5265.81		0.164 19	5.94 7	0.164 19	εK=0.8810 2; εL=0.10022 10; εM+=0.018813 22
(1.54×10 ³ 5)	4675.41	0.008 3	0.077 11	6.69 8	0.085 12	av Eβ=226 22; εK=0.80 3; εL=0.090 4; εM+=0.0169 6
(1.64×10 ³ 5)	4577.23	0.034 10	0.18 3	6.39 8	0.21 3	av Eβ=268 22; εK=0.74 4; εL=0.083 4; εM+=0.0156 8
(1.98×10 ³ 5)	4243.11	0.12 3	0.14 3	6.64 11	0.26 5	av Eβ=413 22; εK=0.48 4; εL=0.054 5; εM+=0.0101 9
(2.12×10 ³ 5)	4101.45	0.59 7	0.45 6	6.20 7	1.04 9	av Eβ=475 23; εK=0.38 4; εL=0.043 4; εM+=0.0080 7
(2.54×10 ³ 5)	3675.75	1.03 14	0.27 4	6.58 8	1.30 18	av Eβ=666 23; εK=0.183 16; εL=0.0206 18; εM+=0.0039 4
(2.65×10 ³ 5)	3570.51	0.35 4	0.075 11	7.17 8	0.43 5	av Eβ=713 23; εK=0.155 13; εL=0.0174 15; εM+=0.0033 3
(2.73×10 ³ 5)	3488.234	6.0 4	1.1 1	6.04 6	7.1 4	av Eβ=751 23; εK=0.136 11; εL=0.0153 13; εM+=0.00286 24
(2.85×10 ³ 5)	3371.64	0.17 3	0.026 6	7.70 10	0.20 4	av Eβ=804 23; εK=0.114 9; εL=0.0128 10; εM+=0.00240 19
(2.93×10 ³ 5)	3294.76	0.63 12	0.082 17	7.22 10	0.71 14	av Eβ=840 23; εK=0.102 8; εL=0.0114 9; εM+=0.00214 17
(3.16×10 ³ 5)	3058.720	35.6 19	3.2 3	5.69 5	38.8 21	av Eβ=949 24; εK=0.074 5; εL=0.0083 6;

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{70}As ϵ decay **2002Li41,1968De16** (continued) ϵ, β^+ radiations (continued)

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^+$ ‡	$I\epsilon^{\ddagger}$	Log ft	$I(\epsilon + \beta^+)^{\ddagger\ddagger}$	Comments
(3.17×10^3 5)	3046.43	31.9 19	2.8 3	5.75 5	34.7 21	$\epsilon M^+ = 0.00155$ 11 av $E\beta = 954$ 24; $\epsilon K = 0.072$ 5; $\epsilon L = 0.0081$ 6; $\epsilon M^+ = 0.00152$ 11
(3.41×10^3 5)	2806.25	1.2 4	0.079 25	7.37 14	1.3 4	av $E\beta = 1066$ 24; $\epsilon K = 0.054$ 4; $\epsilon L = 0.0060$ 4; $\epsilon M^+ = 0.00113$ 7
(3.66×10^3 5)	2562.05	1.6 4	0.078 19	7.44 11	1.7 4	av $E\beta = 1181$ 24; $\epsilon K = 0.0406$ 23; $\epsilon L = 0.0045$ 3; $\epsilon M^+ = 0.00085$ 5
(3.69×10^3 5)	2534.93	0.80 16	0.037 8	7.76 10	0.84 17	av $E\beta = 1194$ 24; $\epsilon K = 0.0394$ 23; $\epsilon L = 0.00441$ 25; $\epsilon M^+ = 0.00083$ 5
(3.77×10^3 5)	2451.30	5.66 19	0.241 16	6.97 4	5.90 20	av $E\beta = 1233$ 24; $\epsilon K = 0.0360$ 20; $\epsilon L = 0.00403$ 22; $\epsilon M^+ = 0.00076$ 5
(4.06×10^3 5)	2156.73	0.8 3	0.02 1	8.04 17	0.8 3	av $E\beta = 1372$ 24; $\epsilon K = 0.0268$ 14; $\epsilon L = 0.00300$ 15; $\epsilon M^+ = 0.00056$ 3
(4.07×10^3 # 5)	2153.17	4.3 15	0.13 5	7.30 16	4.4 15	av $E\beta = 1374$ 24; $\epsilon K = 0.0267$ 14; $\epsilon L = 0.00299$ 15; $\epsilon M^+ = 0.00056$ 3

† From γ intensity imbalance.

‡ Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

Existence of this branch is questionable.

γ(⁷⁰Ge)

I_γ normalization: from ΣI(γ+ce) to g.s.=100, assuming that there is no direct feeding to the g.s. because of large spin change.

E_γ [†]	I_γ ^{†e}	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ^a	δ^{ad}	α	Comments
176.04 4	3.5 2	1215.57	0 ⁺	1039.495	2 ⁺	E2		0.0894	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0790$ 11; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00902$ 13; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.001337$ 19; $\alpha(\text{N})=7.73 \times 10^{-5}$ 11
239.90 10	0.25 7	3046.43	3 ⁺	2806.25	4 ⁺				
252.46 4	3.3 2	3058.720	4 ⁺	2806.25	4 ⁺	[M1+E2]		0.016 9	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.014$ 8; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0015$ 9 δ : 1.28 27 or -0.15 11 (1992De54).
294.60 16	0.10 4	2451.30	3 ⁺	2156.73	2 ⁺				
297.88 8	0.66 10	2451.30	3 ⁺	2153.17	4 ⁺				
445.6 10	0.17 8	2153.17	4 ⁺	1707.628	2 ⁺				E_γ : The level scheme in 2002Li41 shows that 1707.6 keV level is populated by 449.15γ. Level energy difference requires 445.6γ decays into 1707.6 keV level.
450.4 [‡] 5	0.16 [‡] 8	2156.73	2 ⁺	1707.628	2 ⁺	E2		0.00327	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.00291$ 5; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.000308$ 5; $\alpha(\text{M})=4.58 \times 10^{-5}$ 7; $\alpha(\text{N})=2.90 \times 10^{-6}$ 5
492.09 5	1.31 9	1707.628	2 ⁺	1215.57	0 ⁺	E2		0.00247	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.00220$ 3; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.000232$ 4; $\alpha(\text{M})=3.45 \times 10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(\text{N})=2.20 \times 10^{-6}$ 3
496.74 [#] 4	3.1 2	3058.720	4 ⁺	2562.05	3 ⁻				
595.11 4	22.9 13	3046.43	3 ⁺	2451.30	3 ⁺	[M1+E2]		0.00118 23	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.00104$ 20; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00011$ 2 δ : 1.50 9 or -0.06 3 (1992De54).
607.34 4	5.3 3	3058.720	4 ⁺	2451.30	3 ⁺	M1(+E2)		0.00112 21	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.00099$ 19; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00010$ 2 δ : $\delta \geq 12$ or 0.19 8 (1992De54).
653.15 6	0.60 7	2806.25	4 ⁺	2153.17	4 ⁺				
668.21 4	26.9 16	1707.628	2 ⁺	1039.495	2 ⁺	M1+E2	-3.6 +11-6	9.80×10^{-4} 2	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.000875$ 21; $\alpha(\text{L})=9.08 \times 10^{-5}$ 22; $\alpha(\text{M})=1.35 \times 10^{-5}$ 4; $\alpha(\text{N})=8.74 \times 10^{-7}$ 20 δ : $-5.8 \leq \delta \leq -0.7$ (1992De54).
^x 685.50 ^b 12									
743.62 4	27.0 19	2451.30	3 ⁺	1707.628	2 ⁺	M1(+E2)		5.78×10^{-4} 9	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.000517$ 8; $\alpha(\text{L})=5.28 \times 10^{-5}$ 8; $\alpha(\text{M})=7.89 \times 10^{-6}$ 12; $\alpha(\text{N})=5.20 \times 10^{-7}$ 8 δ : -1.80 15 or -0.27 4 (1992De54).
760.2& 5	0.30 15	3294.76	3 ⁺ ,4 ⁺	2534.93	2 ⁺				
827.24 10	0.45 5	2534.93	2 ⁺	1707.628	2 ⁺				
889.72 4	3.2 2	3046.43	3 ⁺	2156.73	2 ⁺	M1+E2		0.00044 4	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.00039$ 4 δ : $-1.18 \leq \delta \leq -0.50$ (1992De54).
893.50 4	2.3 1	3046.43	3 ⁺	2153.17	4 ⁺	M1+E2		0.00043 4	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.00038$ 4 δ : 4 +9-2 or 0.38 +33-19 (1992De54).
901.95 5	1.19 8	3058.720	4 ⁺	2156.73	2 ⁺				
905.61 2	13.6 8	3058.720	4 ⁺	2153.17	4 ⁺	[M1+E2]		0.00042 4	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.00037$ 3 δ : 1.42 16 or -0.20 5 (1992De54).
941.10 4	2.1 1	2156.73	2 ⁺	1215.57	0 ⁺	E2		4.09×10^{-4}	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.000366$ 6; $\alpha(\text{L})=3.76 \times 10^{-5}$ 6; $\alpha(\text{M})=5.60 \times 10^{-6}$ 8; $\alpha(\text{N})=3.65 \times 10^{-7}$ 6

$\gamma(^{70}\text{Ge})$ (continued)

E_γ †	I_γ †e	E_i (level)	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ^a	δ^{ad}	α	Comments
953.30 7	0.53 5	3488.234	(3,4 ⁺)	2534.93	2 ⁺				
1036.99@ 4	3.0 2	3488.234	(3,4 ⁺)	2451.30	3 ⁺				
1039.49 4	100 6	1039.495	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2		3.23×10 ⁻⁴	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.000289$ 4; $\alpha(\text{L})=2.96\times 10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(\text{M})=4.41\times 10^{-6}$ 7; $\alpha(\text{N})=2.88\times 10^{-7}$ 4
1098.54 4	5.1 3	2806.25	4 ⁺	1707.628	2 ⁺	E2		2.84×10 ⁻⁴	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.000254$ 4; $\alpha(\text{L})=2.60\times 10^{-5}$ 4; $\alpha(\text{M})=3.88\times 10^{-6}$ 6; $\alpha(\text{N})=2.54\times 10^{-7}$ 4
1113.60 4	25.1 15	2153.17	4 ⁺	1039.495	2 ⁺	E2		2.77×10 ⁻⁴	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.000247$ 4; $\alpha(\text{L})=2.52\times 10^{-5}$ 4; $\alpha(\text{M})=3.76\times 10^{-6}$ 6; $\alpha(\text{N})=2.46\times 10^{-7}$ 4
1117.28 4	3.4 2	2156.73	2 ⁺	1039.495	2 ⁺	E2(+M1)		0.00023 1	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.00023$ 1 $\delta: -4.0 \leq \delta \leq -0.7$ (1992De54).
1196.66@ 15	0.32 6	4243.11		3046.43	3 ⁺				
1218.57 11	0.19 4	3371.64	(3,4)	2153.17	4 ⁺				
1295.24# 6	0.59 6	4101.45	(3 ⁻)	2806.25	4 ⁺				
1331.58 7	0.47 4	3488.234	(3,4 ⁺)	2156.73	2 ⁺				
1335.28 10	0.38 4	3488.234	(3,4 ⁺)	2153.17	4 ⁺				
1338.76 4	11.0 7	3046.43	3 ⁺	1707.628	2 ⁺	M1+E2		0.00016 1	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.00016$ 1 $\delta: \delta \geq 26$ or 0.24 4 (1992De54).
1350.90 6	0.57 6	3058.720	4 ⁺	1707.628	2 ⁺				
1411.86 4	10.6 6	2451.30	3 ⁺	1039.495	2 ⁺	M1+E2	-2.2 +5-3	2.18×10 ⁻⁴ 4	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0001463$ 22; $\alpha(\text{L})=1.487\times 10^{-5}$ 22; $\alpha(\text{M})=2.22\times 10^{-6}$ 4; $\alpha(\text{N})=1.460\times 10^{-7}$ 22 $\delta: 4.8$ 9 or 0.48 5 (1992De54).
1417.24# 7	0.50 5	3570.51	(2,3) ⁻	2153.17	4 ⁺				
1495.43 5	1.4 1	2534.93	2 ⁺	1039.495	2 ⁺	M1+E2	-0.75	2.15×10 ⁻⁴	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0001274$ 18; $\alpha(\text{L})=1.291\times 10^{-5}$ 18; $\alpha(\text{M})=1.93\times 10^{-6}$ 3; $\alpha(\text{N})=1.273\times 10^{-7}$ 18 $\delta: 0.02 \leq \delta \leq 2.4$ (1992De54).
^x 1507.80 ^c 13	0.83 7								
1522.55 2	5.3 4	2562.05	3 ⁻	1039.495	2 ⁺	E1+M2	-0.11 10	3.42×10 ⁻⁴	$\alpha(\text{K})=6.7\times 10^{-5}$ 6; $\alpha(\text{L})=6.8\times 10^{-6}$ 6; $\alpha(\text{M})=1.01\times 10^{-6}$ 8; $\alpha(\text{N})=6.6\times 10^{-8}$ 6 $\delta: 3.4$ +14-7 or -0.58 10 (1992De54).
1523.2‡ 7	1.1‡ 2	3675.75	3 ⁺ ,4 ⁺	2153.17	4 ⁺				
1539.29@ 20	0.18 5	4101.45	(3 ⁻)	2562.05	3 ⁻				
1587.17 12	0.39 5	3294.76	3 ⁺ ,4 ⁺	1707.628	2 ⁺				
1707.61 2	21.3 6	1707.628	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2		2.87×10 ⁻⁴	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0001011$ 15; $\alpha(\text{L})=1.025\times 10^{-5}$ 15; $\alpha(\text{M})=1.529\times 10^{-6}$ 22; $\alpha(\text{N})=1.007\times 10^{-7}$ 15 $\delta: \delta \geq 11$ or 0.21 6 (1992De54).
1780.52 2	4.7 1	3488.234	(3,4 ⁺)	1707.628	2 ⁺				
1881.67 5	0.84 5	5370.07		3488.234	(3,4 ⁺)				
1944.21 16	0.15 2	4101.45	(3 ⁻)	2156.73	2 ⁺				
1948.35 11	0.35 3	4101.45	(3 ⁻)	2153.17	4 ⁺				
2006.87 3	3.4 1	3046.43	3 ⁺	1039.495	2 ⁺	M1+E2			$\delta: -11$ +3-6 or 0.15 4 (1992De54).
2019.16 2	20.2 5	3058.720	4 ⁺	1039.495	2 ⁺	E2		4.02×10 ⁻⁴	$\alpha(\text{K})=7.38\times 10^{-5}$ 11; $\alpha(\text{L})=7.46\times 10^{-6}$ 11; $\alpha(\text{M})=1.114\times 10^{-6}$ 16; $\alpha(\text{N})=7.35\times 10^{-8}$ 11
^x 2095.69 14	0.07 1								

4

γ(⁷⁰Ge) (continued)

E_γ [†]	I_γ ^{†e}	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Comments
2156.65 6	0.58 3	2156.73	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	
2219.34 14	0.20 2	5265.81		3046.43	3 ⁺	
2255.16 11	0.17 2	3294.76	3 ⁺ ,4 ⁺	1039.495	2 ⁺	
2325.42 18	0.18 2	5370.07		3046.43	3 ⁺	E_γ : Authors of 2002Li41 stated that the placement of the transition was confirmed but level spacing requires 2323.6γ decays into 3046.4 keV level.
2331.59 24	0.05 1	3371.64	(3,4)	1039.495	2 ⁺	
2419.88 24	0.12 2	4577.23	(3,4 ⁺)	2156.73	2 ⁺	
2424.41 20	0.14 2	4577.23	(3,4 ⁺)	2153.17	4 ⁺	
2448.82 9	0.36 2	3488.234	(3,4 ⁺)	1039.495	2 ⁺	δ : $\delta \geq 5$ or 0.33 13 (1992De54).
2521.8 3	0.034 9	4675.41	(3,4 ⁺)	2153.17	4 ⁺	
2531.7 [@] 2	0.03 1	3570.51	(2,3) ⁻	1039.495	2 ⁺	
2636.20 7	0.48 2	3675.75	3 ⁺ ,4 ⁺	1039.495	2 ⁺	
^x 2781.57 ^f 25	0.14 2					
2968.1 3	0.07 1	4675.41	(3,4 ⁺)	1707.628	2 ⁺	
^x 3123.59 17	0.14 1					

[†] From [2002Li41](#), unless stated otherwise. Intensity is normalized to $I_\gamma(1039.5\gamma)=100$.

[‡] From coincidence data in [2002Li04](#).

[#] Placement by [2002Li41](#).

[@] New transition identified by [2002Li41](#).

[&] From [1968De16](#), not reported by [2002Li41](#).

^a From Adopted Gammas. Values from [1992De54](#) are given as comments.

^b Authors of [2002Li14](#) stated that 685.50γ is the double-escape peak of the 1707.61 keV γ-ray.

^c Authors of [2002Li14](#) stated that 1707.61γ is the single-escape peak of the 2019.6 keV γ-ray.

^d If No value given it was assumed $\delta=1.00$ for E2/M1, $\delta=1.00$ for E3/M2 and $\delta=0.10$ for the other multiplicities.

^e For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.82 4.

^f Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

⁷⁰As e decay 2002LJ41,1968De16

Legend

- I_γ < 2% × I_{max}
- I_γ < 10% × I_{max}
- I_γ > 10% × I_{max}
- Coincidence

Decay Scheme
Intensities: I_γ per 100 parent decays

