

$^{68}\text{Zn}(\alpha, \text{pn}\gamma)$ **1977Mo01**

Type	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	G. Gürdal, E. A. Mccutchan	NDS 136, 1 (2016)	1-Jul-2016

1977Mo01: $E(\alpha)=23\text{-}40$ MeV. Measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma$, $\gamma(\theta)$, excitation function, $\gamma\gamma(t)$ using Ge(Li) detectors.

Others: **1971Ar12:** $^{67}\text{Zn}(\alpha, \text{p}\gamma)$ with $E(\alpha)=14$ MeV. Measured $E\gamma$, $\gamma\text{-p}$ coincidences with coaxial Ge detector. With the exception of a 1263.0γ , all γ -rays observed by **1971Ar12** were also observed in **1977Mo01**. **1971Ar12** provides placements for only a few of these transitions which are consistent with the placements of **1977Mo01**. Also **1975EbZZ**, $E(\alpha)=13$ MeV. Measured $E\gamma$ with Ge(Li) detector. Observed 5 γ rays and their placement consistent with the results of **1977Mo01**.

 ^{70}Ga Levels

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	$T_{1/2}$ [#]	Comments
0.0	1^+		
508.5 <i>I</i> 10	2^+		
691.0 <i>I</i> 10	2^-		
879.1 <i>I</i> 10	4^-	22 ns 2	
901.8 <i>I</i> 10	$1^+, 2^+, 3^+$	<1.4 ns	J^π : $J=3,4$ from $\gamma(\theta)$, absence of ground-state transition favors 4. J^π : $\gamma(\theta)$ consistent with $J=5$.
1034.2 <i>I</i> 10	(5) ⁻		E(level): difficulty in extracting information about the 185γ mixed with the strong 188γ makes this level questionable. J^π : $\gamma(\theta)$ suggests $J=6$.
1086.7?		24 ns 4	
1180.7 <i>I</i> 10	5		J^π : from $\gamma(\theta)$.
1234.3 <i>I</i> 11	(6) ⁻		J^π : $\gamma(\theta)$ for 200γ shows dipole character and yield function consistent with $J=6$.
1263.0			
1371.6 <i>I</i> 11	(7) ⁻		J^π : $\gamma(\theta)$ of 138γ shows dipole character and yield function consistent with $J=7$.
1523.4 <i>I</i> 15			
1538.8 <i>I</i> 11	(6)		J^π : $J=(6,8)$ from $\gamma(\theta)$.
1687.7 <i>I</i> 11	6 ⁻		J^π : $J=6,7,8$ from $\gamma(\theta)$.
2601.5 <i>I</i> 11	(8)		J^π : $\gamma(\theta)$ and yield function favor $J=8$.
2651.6 <i>I</i> 15	-		
2886.3 <i>I</i> 11	(9)		J^π : from $\gamma(\theta)$ and yield function for 285γ favor $J=9$ assuming $J=8$ for 2602 level.

[†] From a least-squares fit to $E\gamma$, by evaluators.

[‡] From the Adopted Levels. Additional support provided by the information from this dataset is included in the comments.

[#] From $\gamma\gamma(t)$ in **1977Mo01**. For levels other than the 897-keV, 902-keV and 1087-keV levels, an upper limit on the half-life of $T_{1/2} < 4$ ns was found.

 $\gamma(^{70}\text{Ga})$

E_γ [†]	I_γ [‡]	E_i (level)	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [#]	δ [#]	Comments
137.6 <i>I</i> 1	26	1371.6	(7) ⁻	1234.3	(6) ⁻	D(+Q)	0.0 <i>I</i>	Mult., δ : $A_2=-0.29$ 3, $A_4=-0.01$ 3 (1977Mo01).
146.8 <i>I</i> 1	5.3	1180.7	5	1034.2	(5) ⁻	D+Q	+1.1 3	Mult., δ : $A_2=+0.23$ 5, $A_4=-0.2$ 1 (1977Mo01).
155.5 <i>I</i> 1	75	1034.2	(5) ⁻	879.1	4 ⁻	D(+Q)	0.0 <i>I</i>	Mult., δ : $A_2=-0.27$ 4, $A_4=-0.06$ 5 (1977Mo01).
167.4 <i>I</i> 1	2.3	1538.8	(6)	1371.6	(7) ⁻	D(+Q)	+0.1 <i>I</i>	Mult., δ : $A_2=-0.37$ 7, $A_4=+0.25$ 8 (1977Mo01).
184.9 @ <i>I</i> 1	6	1086.7?		901.8	$1^+, 2^+, 3^+$			$A_2=+0.38$ 21, $A_4=+0.2$ 1 (1977Mo01).
188.1 <i>I</i> 1	90	879.1	4 ⁻	691.0	2 ⁻	Q		Mult.: $A_2=+0.26$ 4, $A_4=-0.03$ 6 (1977Mo01). δ : $\delta(O/Q)=0.0$ 1 (1977Mo01).
200.3 <i>I</i> 1	43	1234.3	(6) ⁻	1034.2	(5) ⁻	D(+Q)	0.0 <i>I</i>	Mult., δ : $A_2=-0.23$ 1, $A_4=-0.07$ 1 (1977Mo01).
284.8 <i>I</i> 1	6.0	2886.3	(9)	2601.5	(8)	D(+Q)	0.0 2	Mult., δ : $A_2=-0.22$ 10, $A_4=-0.2$ 1 (1977Mo01).
289.1 <i>I</i> 1		1523.4		1234.3	(6) ⁻			
301.2 <i>I</i> 1	15	1180.7	5	879.1	4 ⁻	D(+Q)	0.0 <i>I</i>	Mult., δ : $A_2=-0.22$ 3, $A_4=-0.1$ 1 (1977Mo01).
304.3 <i>I</i> 1	5.7	1538.8	(6)	1234.3	(6) ⁻	D+Q	+0.4 <i>I</i>	Mult., δ : $A_2=+0.38$ 7, $A_4=-0.1$ 1 (1977Mo01).
316.1 <i>I</i> 1	9.0	1687.7	6 ⁻	1371.6	(7) ⁻	D(+Q)	+0.05 10	Mult., δ : $A_2=-0.25$ 5, $A_4=+0.03$ 6 (1977Mo01).

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{68}\text{Zn}(\alpha, \text{pny})$ **1977Mo01 (continued)** $\gamma(^{70}\text{Ga})$ (continued)

E_γ^\dagger	I_γ^\ddagger	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [#]	$\delta^{\#}$	Comments
						Q		
337.1 <i>I</i>	3.8	1371.6	(7 ⁻)	1034.2	(5) ⁻			Mult.: $A_2=+0.22$ <i>I</i> 0, $A_4=+0.04$ <i>I</i> 0 (1977Mo01). δ : $\delta(O/Q)=0.0$ <i>I</i> . I_γ : from $I_\gamma(200\gamma)/I_\gamma(356\gamma)=0.94/0.06$ and $I_\gamma(200\gamma)=43$.
355.8 <i>I</i>	2.7	1234.3	(6) ⁻	879.1	4 ⁻			
393.3 <i>I</i>	13	901.8	1 ⁺ ,2 ⁺ ,3 ⁺	508.5	2 ⁺	Q		Mult.: $A_2=+0.25$ 5, $A_4=+0.03$ 7 (1977Mo01). δ : $\delta(O/Q)=0.16$ 20 (1977Mo01).
508.5 <i>I</i>		508.5	2 ⁺		0.0 1 ⁺			
691.0 <i>I</i>	100	691.0	2 ⁻		0.0 1 ⁺			
1229.9 <i>I</i>	20	2601.5	(8)	1371.6	(7 ⁻)	D(+Q)	0.0 2	Mult., δ : $A_2=-0.23$ <i>I</i> 3, $A_4=+0.02$ 20 (1977Mo01). E_γ : from 1971Ar12 .
1263.0 <i>15</i>		1263.0			0.0 1 ⁺			
1280.0 <i>I</i>		2651.6	-	1371.6	(7 ⁻)			

[†] From [1977Mo01](#), except where noted.[‡] From [1977Mo01](#), given relative to $I_\gamma(691\gamma)=100$.[#] From $\gamma(\theta)$ in [1977Mo01](#).

@ Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

