

Adopted Levels, Gammas 2002Ti10

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Hu, Tilley, Kelley, Godwin et al.		NP A708,3 (2002)	23-Aug-2001

$Q(\beta^-) = -1.191 \times 10^4$ 3; S(n)=10677 6; S(p)=5606.85 7; $Q(\alpha) = -1587.13$ 7 2012Wa38

Note: Current evaluation has used the following Q record -12101. 70 10676 5 5605.8 7 -1586.6 5 1995Au04.

${}^7\text{Be}$ Levels

Cross Reference (XREF) Flags

A	${}^4\text{He}({}^3\text{He}, {}^3\text{He}), ({}^3\text{He}, \text{p})$	E	${}^6\text{Li}(\alpha, \text{t})$	I	${}^9\text{Be}(\text{p}, \text{t})$
B	${}^6\text{Li}(\text{p}, \text{p}), (\text{p}, 2\text{p}), (\text{p}, \text{p}\alpha)$	F	${}^7\text{Li}(\text{p}, \text{n})$	J	${}^{10}\text{B}(\text{p}, \alpha)$
C	${}^6\text{Li}(\text{d}, \text{n})$	G	${}^7\text{Li}({}^3\text{He}, \text{t})$		
D	${}^6\text{Li}({}^3\text{He}, \text{d})$	H	${}^7\text{Li}({}^6\text{Li}, {}^6\text{He})$		

E(level)	J ^π	T _{1/2}	XREF	Comments																																																
0.0	3/2 ⁻	53.22 d 6	CDEFGHIJ	%ε=100 T=1/2 T_{1/2}: The ⁷Be half-life has been observed to vary depending on the chemical form of the ⁷Be. Some of these measured variations are: <table><tr><th>Reference</th><th>Forms compared</th><th>(Δλx1.0e⁴)/λ.</th></tr><tr><td>1949Se20</td><td>Be – BeO</td><td>1.5 (9).</td></tr><tr><td>1953Kr16</td><td>Be – BeO</td><td>1.3 (5).</td></tr><tr><td></td><td>BeO- BeF₂</td><td>6.1 (6).</td></tr><tr><td></td><td>Be – BeF₂</td><td>7.4 (5).</td></tr><tr><td>1956Bo36</td><td>Be – BeF₂</td><td>12 (1).</td></tr><tr><td>1970Jo21</td><td>BeO- BeF₂</td><td>11.3 (6).</td></tr><tr><td></td><td>BeO- BeBr₂</td><td>14.7 (6).</td></tr><tr><td></td><td>BeO- Be₄O(CH₃COO)₆</td><td>-7.2 (6).</td></tr><tr><td></td><td>BeO- Be(C₅H₅)₂</td><td>8.0 (7).</td></tr><tr><td></td><td>BeO- Be(OH₂)₄</td><td>-3.7 (8).</td></tr><tr><td></td><td>BeF₂- Be₄O(CH₃COO)₆</td><td>-18.5 (8).</td></tr><tr><td></td><td>Be(C₅H₅)₂- Be(OH₂)₄</td><td>-11.7 (11).</td></tr><tr><td>1999Hu20</td><td>BeO – Be(OH)₂</td><td>-149.</td></tr><tr><td></td><td>BeO – Be²⁺(OH₂)₄</td><td>-98.</td></tr><tr><td>1992Ra12</td><td>Be in Au – Be in Al₂O₃</td><td>72. (7).</td></tr></table> Excluding the much larger changes reported by 1999Hu20 and 1999Ra12, these measured changes range from 0.01% to 0.2%, or from 0.005 to 0.10 days, or 0.08 day if the organic compounds are also omitted. T_{1/2}: Adopted 53.22 d 6 from Limitation of Relative Statistical Weight (LRSW) (1985ZiZY, 1992Ra09) analysis of 53 d 2 (1940hi01), 52.93 d 22 (1949Se20), 53.61 d 17 (1953Kr16), 53.0 d 4 (1956Bo36), 53.5 d 2 (1957Wr37), 53.1 d 3 (1965En01), 53.52 d 10 (1970Jo21), 53.0 d 3 (1974Cr05), 53.17 d 2 (1975La16), 53.16 d 1 (1982ChZF), 53.284 d 4 (1982RuZV), and 53.12 d 7 (1996Ja10). In this analysis the uncertainty of 1982RuZV value was increased from 0.004 to 0.0088 so that its relative weight was reduced from 83% to 50%. The weighted average of these values is 53.225 with an internal uncertainty of 0.006, a reduced-χ² of 10.5, and an external uncertainty of 0.020. This uncertainty increased by the LRSW method to 0.06 so that the most precise value of 53.284 is included; this uncertainty also includes the next most precise value of 53.16. T_{1/2}: The adopted half-life is dominated by the values of 1975La16, 1982ChZF, and 1982RuZV which contribute 10%, 39%, and 50% of the relative weight, respectively. The values of 1982ChZF and 1982RuZV differ by ≈ 10 σ and contribute 3.8 and 4.1 to the reduced-χ² value of 10.5. Since these three values differ by 0.12 days and the chemical forms in the latter two cases are not known,	Reference	Forms compared	(Δλx1.0e ⁴)/λ.	1949Se20	Be – BeO	1.5 (9).	1953Kr16	Be – BeO	1.3 (5).		BeO- BeF ₂	6.1 (6).		Be – BeF ₂	7.4 (5).	1956Bo36	Be – BeF ₂	12 (1).	1970Jo21	BeO- BeF ₂	11.3 (6).		BeO- BeBr ₂	14.7 (6).		BeO- Be ₄ O(CH ₃ COO) ₆	-7.2 (6).		BeO- Be(C ₅ H ₅) ₂	8.0 (7).		BeO- Be(OH ₂) ₄	-3.7 (8).		BeF ₂ - Be ₄ O(CH ₃ COO) ₆	-18.5 (8).		Be(C ₅ H ₅) ₂ - Be(OH ₂) ₄	-11.7 (11).	1999Hu20	BeO – Be(OH) ₂	-149.		BeO – Be ²⁺ (OH ₂) ₄	-98.	1992Ra12	Be in Au – Be in Al ₂ O ₃	72. (7).
Reference	Forms compared	(Δλx1.0e ⁴)/λ.																																																		
1949Se20	Be – BeO	1.5 (9).																																																		
1953Kr16	Be – BeO	1.3 (5).																																																		
	BeO- BeF ₂	6.1 (6).																																																		
	Be – BeF ₂	7.4 (5).																																																		
1956Bo36	Be – BeF ₂	12 (1).																																																		
1970Jo21	BeO- BeF ₂	11.3 (6).																																																		
	BeO- BeBr ₂	14.7 (6).																																																		
	BeO- Be ₄ O(CH ₃ COO) ₆	-7.2 (6).																																																		
	BeO- Be(C ₅ H ₅) ₂	8.0 (7).																																																		
	BeO- Be(OH ₂) ₄	-3.7 (8).																																																		
	BeF ₂ - Be ₄ O(CH ₃ COO) ₆	-18.5 (8).																																																		
	Be(C ₅ H ₅) ₂ - Be(OH ₂) ₄	-11.7 (11).																																																		
1999Hu20	BeO – Be(OH) ₂	-149.																																																		
	BeO – Be ²⁺ (OH ₂) ₄	-98.																																																		
1992Ra12	Be in Au – Be in Al ₂ O ₃	72. (7).																																																		

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

Adopted Levels, Gammas 2002Ti10 (continued) ${}^7\text{Be}$ Levels (continued)

<u>E(level)</u>	<u>J^π</u>	<u>T_{1/2}</u>	<u>XREF</u>	<u>Comments</u>
				the chemical variation data in the above table suggest that some of this difference may be due to chemical affects. This suggests that the adopted uncertainty of 0.06 days is reasonable for general use.
				T _{1/2} : Values not used are: 54.5 d (J. F. Bonner, Jr., AEC report AECU-107 as quoted in 1953Kr16 , no uncertainty); and 54.3 d 5 (Bouchez, Daudel, Daudel, and Muxart, J. Phys. et Radium 8 (1947) 336 as quoted in 1953Kr16 , replaced by value of 1956Bo36); and 53.694 d 6, 53.416 d 6, and 54.226 d 6 (1999Hu20). The values of 1999Hu20 have very small uncertainties and have very large variations, up to 1.5%, with chemical form which need to be confirmed. If this large shift is correct, it would invalidate the uncertainty of our adopted value.
429.08 10	1/2 ⁻	133 fs 17	CDEFGHIJ	T=1/2
4570 50	7/2 ⁻	175 keV 7	A DEFGHIJ	% ³ He=?; %α=?
				T=1/2
6.73×10 ³ 10	5/2 ⁻	1.2 MeV	A C F I	% ³ He=?; %α=?
				T=1/2
7210 60	5/2 ⁻	0.40 MeV 5	ABC F H	%p=?; % ³ He=?; %α=?
				T=1/2
9.27×10 ³ 10	7/2 ⁻		A	%p=?; % ³ He=?; %α=?
				T=1/2
9900	3/2 ⁻	≈1.8 MeV	AB	%p=?; % ³ He=?; %α=?
				T=1/2
11010 30	3/2 ⁻	320 keV 30	AB F I	%p=?; % ³ He=?; %α=?
				T=3/2
17000	1/2 ⁻	≈6.5 MeV	A	% ³ He=?
				T=1/2
				E(level): for possible states at higher energies see ⁴ He(³ He, ³ He), (³ He,p); ⁶ Li(p,p), (p,2p), (p,pα) reactions.

γ(${}^7\text{Be}$)

<u>E_i(level)</u>	<u>J_i^π</u>	<u>E_γ[†]</u>	<u>E_f</u>	<u>J_f^π</u>	<u>Mult.</u>	<u>Comments</u>
429.08	1/2 ⁻	429.07	0.0	3/2 ⁻	M1	Γ _γ =3.43×10 ⁻³ eV 45; B(M1)(W.u.)=2.07 27

[†] From E(level) difference; recoil correction applied.

Adopted Levels, Gammas 2002Ti10Level Scheme