

$^{70}\text{Zn}(^{238}\text{U},\text{X}\gamma)$  [2015Ce03](#)

Type	Author	History	
		Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	C. D. Nesaraja	NDS 207,1 (2026)	1-Apr-2023

[2015Ce03](#):  $^{69}\text{Zn}$  was produced using deep-inelastic reaction in inverse kinematics with a  $^{238}\text{U}$  beam at 6.76 MeV/nucleon impinging on a  $^{70}\text{Zn}$  target that was deposited on a thick Mg backing.  $^{69}\text{Zn}$  ions were identified event-by-event using a VAMOS spectrometer at GANIL facility. Prompt gammas emitted by the recoil nuclei were detected using the EXOGAM array that consisted of four Ge clover detectors placed at  $90^\circ$  and another at  $135^\circ$  with respect to the beam direction. Measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ -coin, level lifetimes by RDDS technique using Cologne plunger device and EXOGAM array of Ge detectors. Deduced levels,  $J$ ,  $\pi$ , level lifetimes,  $B(M1)$ . Comparison with shell-model calculations.

 $^{69}\text{Zn}$  Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^\pi$	$T_{1/2}^{\pm}$	Comments
0.0	$1/2^-$		
531.30 25	$5/2^-$	$\geq 19.4$ ps	
834.51 30	$(3/2^-)$	$\leq 0.35$ ps	E(level): <a href="#">2015Ce03</a> (Fig.2) had incorrectly indicated this level is uncertain from the level scheme in <a href="#">2014Ne01</a> .
1108.7 11		2.63 ps	
1180.71 25	$5/2^-$		

<sup>†</sup> From least-squares fit to  $E\gamma$  data by the evaluator.

<sup>‡</sup> From recoil-distance Doppler-shift method using a plunger device, and differential decay-curve analysis ([2015Ce03](#)).

 $\gamma(^{69}\text{Zn})$ 

$E_\gamma^{\dagger}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult.	Comments
531.3 3	531.30	$5/2^-$	0.0	$1/2^-$	[E2]	$B(E2)(\text{W.u.}) \leq 41$ ( <a href="#">2015Ce03</a> )
<sup>x</sup> 563.2 9						
649.4 3	1180.71	$5/2^-$	531.30	$5/2^-$	[M1]	$B(M1)(\text{W.u.}) \geq 0.11$ ( <a href="#">2015Ce03</a> )
834.5 3	834.51	$(3/2^-)$	0.0	$1/2^-$	[M1]	$E_\gamma$ : Transition seems to show two gammas at 1093.8 8 and 1108.7 11 keV. Authors in <a href="#">2015Ce03</a> believe that the gammas correspond to the “shifted” and “unshifted” components of the same transition due the energy distance between these two peaks and the evolution of peak intensities as a function of target-degrader distance.
1108.7 11	1108.7		0.0	$1/2^-$		
1180.7 3	1180.71	$5/2^-$	0.0	$1/2^-$		

<sup>†</sup> From  $\gamma\gamma$ -coincidences in [2015Ce03](#).

<sup>x</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{70}\text{Zn}(^{238}\text{U},\text{X}\gamma)$  2015Ce03

## Level Scheme

