

$^{64}\text{Ni}(^7\text{Li}, 2n\gamma)$ **1982Ba25**

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	C. D. Nesaraja	NDS 115, 1 (2014)	31-Jul-2013

1982Ba25: ^{64}Ni target bombarded by ^7Li beam between 14-18 MeV. Measured $\gamma\gamma$ coin, $\gamma(\theta)$, $T_{1/2}$ from DSAM and γ ray yield ratio. Measurements done with 18% and 13% efficiency Ge(Li)detectors (FWHM=2.2 keV at 1.3 MeV).

 ^{69}Ga Levels

E(level) [#]	J^π &	$T_{1/2}$ @	Comments
0.0	$3/2^-$		
574.15 3	$5/2^-$		
1106.95 4	$5/2^-$	$0.22^\dagger$ ps 3	
1336.60 3	$7/2^-$	$1.04^\dagger$ ps +14-35	
1487.95 3	$7/2^-$	$\geq 1.73^\dagger$ ps	
1764.71 5	$9/2^-$	$0.83^\dagger$ ps 14	
1972.28 4	$9/2^{(+)}$	≥ 2.8 ps	
2319.33 11	$(5/2^+, 7/2^+)$	1.04 ps 21	J^π : 5/2, 7/2 from $\gamma(\theta)$ and yield ratio.
2428.60 21	$5/2^-, 7/2^-$	≥ 1.7 ps	J^π : 5/2, 7/2 from $\gamma(\theta)$ and yield ratio.
2572.45 21	$(9/2^+)$		J^π : 5/2, 9/2 from $\gamma(\theta)$ and yield ratio.
2668.18 6	$11/2$	$\geq 1.7^\dagger$ ps	J^π : 9/2, 11/2 from $\gamma(\theta)$ and yield ratio.
2717.89 4	$13/2^{(+)}$	$\geq 1.4^\dagger$ ps	J^π : 13/2 uniquely from $\gamma(\theta)$ of 746 γ ; this is consistent with its yield function which gives $J \geq 11/2$.
2794.8 5	$(7/2)^-$		
3118.31 17	$11/2^-$	0.24 ps 5	J^π : 11/2 from $\gamma(\theta)$ and yield ratio.
3242.37 8	$13/2^-$	$0.5^\ddagger$ ps 3	J^π : 13/2 or 9/2 from $\gamma(\theta)$ of 1478 γ ; its yield gives $J=11/2$ or 13/2.
3389.23 9	$(15/2^+)$	1.9 ps 10	J^π : 11/2, 13/2 or 15/2 consistent with $\gamma(\theta)$ of 671 γ ; its yield ratio suggests 15/2 or 17/2, however, $J=13/2$ cannot be ruled out.
3542.67 9	$11/2^{(+)}$	0.42 ps 7	J^π : 11/2 or 15/2 from $\gamma(\theta)$ of 825 γ ; its yield indicates $J < 13/2$.
3633.85 7	$17/2^{(+)}$	1.4 ps 7	J^π : 17/2 or 13/2 from $\gamma(\theta)$ of 916 γ ; its yield indicates $J \geq 17/2$.
3722.20 8	$(11/2, 13/2)$	1.6 ps 3	J^π : 11/2, 13/2 or 15/2 from $\gamma(\theta)$ of 1004 γ ; $J=9/2$, 11/2, 13/2, from its yield ratio.
3785.97 12	$(15/2)$	1.04 ps 21	J^π : (15/2) from $\gamma(\theta)$ and yield ratio.
4078.12 10	$(15/2)^-$	$0.55^\dagger$ ps 10	J^π : 11/2, 13/2 or 15/2 from $\gamma(\theta)$ of 836 γ ; its yield favors $J=15/2$ or 17/2.
4528.03 14	$(17/2, 19/2)$	≥ 2.8 ps	J^π : 11/2 to 19/2 from $\gamma(\theta)$ of 450 γ ; its yield gives $J > 17/2$.

[†] Corrected for feeding from higher levels.

[‡] $T_{1/2}=0.55$ ps 11 at $E(^7\text{Li})=16$ MeV.

[#] From a least-squares fit to E_γ data connecting levels.

@ From DSAM at $E(^7\text{Li})=18$ MeV.

& From Adopted Levels, supporting arguments from this reaction are indicated.

 $\gamma(^{69}\text{Ga})$

E_γ	I_γ [#]	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [†]	$\delta^\ddagger$	Comments
333.0 5	3	3722.20	$(11/2, 13/2)$	3389.23	$(15/2^+)$			E_γ : Poor fit.
381.00 6	14	1487.95	$7/2^-$	1106.95	$5/2^-$	(M1)		δ : $\delta(E2/M1)=-0.01$ 6.
444.0 4	4	4078.12	$(15/2)^-$	3633.85	$17/2^{(+)}$	(D+Q)	≈ 0	
449.90 10	7	4528.03	$(17/2, 19/2)$	4078.12	$(15/2)^-$			Mult.: (Q(+O)) with $\delta=-0.04$ 8 if it is a 19/2 to 15/2 transition; D+Q with $\delta=+0.37$ 8 if it is a 17/2 to 15/2 transition.
484.33 4	49	1972.28	$9/2^{(+)}$	1487.95	$7/2^-$	(E1)		δ : 0.00 2.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{64}\text{Ni}(^7\text{Li}, 2n\gamma)$ **1982Ba25 (continued)** $\gamma(^{69}\text{Ga})$ (continued)

E_γ	$I_\gamma^\#$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [†]	$\delta^\ddagger$	Comments
574.22 5	156	574.15	5/2 ⁻	0.0	3/2 ⁻	(M1+E2)	-0.06 1	
635.68 3	71	1972.28	9/2 ⁽⁺⁾	1336.60	7/2 ⁻	(E1)		δ : -0.01 2.
671.34 8	17	3389.23	(15/2 ⁺)	2717.89	13/2 ⁽⁺⁾	M1+E2	+0.50 4	Mult.: Large δ rules out E1+M2.
745.61 2	100	2717.89	13/2 ⁽⁺⁾	1972.28	9/2 ⁽⁺⁾	Q		δ : -0.01 3.
762.48 2	9	1336.60	7/2 ⁻	574.15	5/2 ⁻	M1+E2		δ : -0.42 +16-40 or -1.5 5.
822.5 5	5	2794.8	(7/2) ⁻	1972.28	9/2 ⁽⁺⁾			
824.77 8	11	3542.67	11/2 ⁽⁺⁾	2717.89	13/2 ⁽⁺⁾	M1+E2	+0.35 15	
835.76 7	26	4078.12	(15/2) ⁻	3242.37	13/2 ⁻	M1+E2	+0.52 7	
913.71 5	30	1487.95	7/2 ⁻	574.15	5/2 ⁻	(M1+E2)	-2.3 2	
915.95 5	31	3633.85	17/2 ⁽⁺⁾	2717.89	13/2 ⁽⁺⁾	Q		E_γ : Value of 1925.95 5 given in authors' Table II is a misprint.
1004.30 6	25	3722.20	(11/2,13/2)	2717.89	13/2 ⁽⁺⁾	D+Q		δ : 0.00 2. E_γ : Poor fit. δ : 0.00 4 for a 11/2 to 13/2 transition; -1.0 2 for a 13/2 to 13/2 transition. For the second case, δ rules out E1 +M2.
1106.94 5	58	1106.95	5/2 ⁻	0.0	3/2 ⁻	(M1+E2)	+0.33 5	
1117.78 10	16	3785.97	(15/2)	2668.18	11/2	(Q)		
1146.1 3	7	3118.31	11/2 ⁻	1972.28	9/2 ⁽⁺⁾	D(+Q)	+0.02 11	
1180.04 10	11	2668.18	11/2	1487.95	7/2 ⁻			Mult.: Q+O with $\delta=+0.13$ 14 if it is a 11/2 to 7/2 transition; D+Q with $\delta=+0.62$ 12 if it is a 9/2 to 7/2 transition.
1190.55 3	70	1764.71	9/2 ⁻	574.15	5/2 ⁻	(E2)		δ : -0.02 3.
1212.37 10	11	2319.33	(5/2 ⁺ , 7/2 ⁺)	1106.95	5/2 ⁻	(E1+M2)		δ : +0.07 7 for a 7/2 to 5/2 transition; -0.7 3 for a 5/2 to 5/2 transition.
1235.84 20	8	2572.45	(9/2 ⁺)	1336.60	7/2 ⁻	D+Q		δ : +0.60 25 for a 5/2 to 7/2 transition; -0.50 15 for a 9/2 to 7/2 transition.
1321.64 20	8	2428.60	5/2 ⁻ , 7/2 ⁻	1106.95	5/2 ⁻	(M1+E2)		δ : 0.35 20 for a 7/2 to 5/2 transition; 0.0 2 for a 5/2 to 5/2 transition.
1331.63 6	24	2668.18	11/2	1336.60	7/2 ⁻			Mult.: Q+O with $\delta=-0.03$ 6 if it is a 11/2 to 7/2 transition; D+Q with $\delta=+0.43$ 8 if it is a 9/2 to 7/2 transition.
1336.44 5	125	1336.60	7/2 ⁻	0.0	3/2 ⁻	(E2)		δ : $\delta(\text{O/Q})=0.00$ 2.
1353.55 20	10	3118.31	11/2 ⁻	1764.71	9/2 ⁻	D+Q	+0.31 17	
1397.81 15	13	1972.28	9/2 ⁽⁺⁾	574.15	5/2 ⁻	(M2)		δ : -0.06 12.
1477.65 6	26	3242.37	13/2 ⁻	1764.71	9/2 ⁻	(E2)		δ : +0.01 5.
1487.98 4	43	1487.95	7/2 ⁻	0.0	3/2 ⁻	(E2)		δ : 0.00 3.

[†] From $\gamma(\theta)$; parity from J^π of initial and final levels.[‡] From $\gamma(\theta)$.[#] Relative intensity measured at 18 MeV; uncertainties not given by the authors. Yield ratios obtained from data at 16 and 18 MeV.

$^{64}\text{Ni}(^7\text{Li}, 2n\gamma)$ 1982Ba25

Level Scheme

Intensities: Type not specified

Legend

- $\longrightarrow$ $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
 $\longrightarrow$ $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
 $\longrightarrow$ $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$

