

^{68}Ga ε decay 1999BeZQ,1999BeZS

Type	Author	History
Full Evaluation	E. A. Mccutchan	Citation
		Literature Cutoff Date
		NDS 113, 1735 (2012)
		1-Mar-2012

Parent: ^{68}Ga : E=0.0; $J^\pi=1^+$; $T_{1/2}=67.71$ min 8; $Q(\varepsilon)=2921.1$ 12; % ε +% β^+ decay=100.0

[1994Vo15](#): ^{68}Ga activity in equilibrium with the parent ^{68}Ge . Measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma$, and $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ using high energy resolution array (HERA) consisting of 20 Compton suppressed Ge detectors and a 4π , 40 element BGO inner ball.

[1994Sc44](#): ^{68}Ga activity in equilibrium with the parent ^{68}Ge . Measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $E\beta$, $I\beta$, $\beta\gamma$ coincidence using Si(Li), Ge(Li), HPGe detectors; deduced γ -emission probability.

[1973La01](#): Source preparation method not given. Measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, and $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ using NaI(Tl) and Ge(Li) detectors.

[1972Si03](#): ^{68}Ga activity prepared from $^{68}\text{Zn}(p,n)$ and $^{69}\text{Ga}(p,2n)$ reactions. Measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $E\beta^+$, and $I\beta^+$ using double focusing β spectrometer and Ge(Li) detector.

[1968Ca15](#): ^{68}Ga activity prepared from the parent activity of ^{68}Ge by chemical separation. Measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma$ -coin, and $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ using NaI(Tl) and Ge(Li) detectors.

^{68}Ga decay scheme is mainly from [1994Vo15](#).

Others: [2001Ko07](#), [1996Sk03](#), [1996Vo10](#), [1993Os06](#), [1992Os07](#), [1991Ka35](#), [1984Sc35](#), [1969Va16](#), [1967Ta01](#), [1965He08](#), [1965Ba36](#), [1963Ta03](#), [1962Ko01](#), [1960Ra06](#), [1959Ho85](#), [1959Ra04](#).

α : Additional information [1](#).

 ^{68}Zn Levels

$E(\text{level})^\dagger$	$J^\pi \ddagger$	$T_{1/2} \ddagger$
0.0	0^+	stable
1077.36 4	2^+	
1655.87 7	0^+	
1883.16 5	2^+	
2338.48 5	2^+	
2821.86 6	2^+	

† From a least-squares fit to $E\gamma$'s, by evaluator.

‡ From the Adopted Levels.

 ε, β^+ radiations

$E(\text{decay})$	$E(\text{level})$	$I\beta^+ \ddagger$	$I\varepsilon \ddagger$	$\text{Log } ft$	$I(\varepsilon + \beta^+) \ddagger$	Comments
(99.2 12)	2821.86		0.0104 5	5.113 24	0.0104 5	$\varepsilon K=0.8655$ 3; $\varepsilon L=0.11381$ 23; $\varepsilon M+=0.02067$ 5
(582.6 12)	2338.48		0.0955 22	5.754 11	0.0955 22	$\varepsilon K=0.8825$; $\varepsilon L=0.09975$; $\varepsilon M+=0.01780$
(1037.9 12)	1883.16		0.230 4	5.879 8	0.230 4	$\varepsilon K=0.8838$; $\varepsilon L=0.09862$; $\varepsilon M+=0.01757$
(1265.2 12)	1655.87	0.000258 14	0.0328 17	6.898 23	0.0331 17	av $E\beta=107.53$ 51; $\varepsilon K=0.8772$ 2; $\varepsilon L=0.09760$ 2; $\varepsilon M+=0.017382$ 3
(1843.7 12)	1077.36	1.19 1	1.80 2	5.489 5	2.99 3	av $E\beta=352.59$ 52; $\varepsilon K=0.5314$ 11; $\varepsilon L=0.05887$ 12; $\varepsilon M+=0.010480$ 21
2912 10	0.0	87.72 9	8.92 8	5.1942 13	96.64 3	av $E\beta=836.02$ 56; $\varepsilon K=0.08167$ 15; $\varepsilon L=0.009017$ 16; $\varepsilon M+=0.001604$ 3 E(decay): $E\beta+(max)=1890$ 10 from 2001Ko07 (Ge(HP) detectors).

† From $I(\gamma+ce)$ imbalance at each level.

‡ Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

^{68}Ga ε decay 1999BeZQ,1999BeZS (continued)

$\gamma(^{68}\text{Zn})$

I γ normalization, I($\gamma+ce$) normalization: Decay-scheme normalization is based on measured absolute intensity of 3.22% 3 for 1077-keV γ ray (1994Sc44).

E $_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	I $_{\gamma}^{\dagger \&}$	E $_i$ (level)	J $_{i}^{\pi}$	E $_f$	J $_{f}^{\pi}$	Mult. ‡	$\delta^{\text{@}}$	α^{\ddagger}	I $_{(\gamma+ce)}^{\&}$	Comments
227.31 15	0.0037 15	1883.16	2 ⁺	1655.87	0 ⁺	(E2)		0.0300		$\alpha(K)=0.0268$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.00286$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.000406$ 6; $\alpha(N..)=1.476 \times 10^{-5}$ 21
483.35 16	0.0082 9	2821.86	2 ⁺	2338.48	2 ⁺	M1+E2		0.0017 5		$\alpha(K)=0.0015$ 5; $\alpha(L)=0.00016$ 5; $\alpha(M)=2.2 \times 10^{-5}$ 7; $\alpha(N..)=9.6 \times 10^{-7}$ 3
578.52 13	1.04 5	1655.87	0 ⁺	1077.36	2 ⁺	E2		0.001272 18		$\delta: -0.12$ 16 or -1.7 9 (1994Vo15). $\alpha=0.001272$ 18; $\alpha(K)=0.001139$ 16; $\alpha(L)=0.0001160$ 17; $\alpha(M)=1.659 \times 10^{-5}$ 24
682.63 [#] 7	0.0097 6	2338.48	2 ⁺	1655.87	0 ⁺	(E2)		0.000789 11		$\alpha=0.000789$ 11; $\alpha(K)=0.000707$ 10; $\alpha(L)=7.16 \times 10^{-5}$ 10; $\alpha(M)=1.025 \times 10^{-5}$ 15; $\alpha(N..)=6.50 \times 10^{-7}$ 10
805.83 8	2.92 7	1883.16	2 ⁺	1077.36	2 ⁺	M1+E2	-1.55 5	0.000471 7		$\alpha=0.000471$ 7; $\alpha(K)=0.000422$ 6; $\alpha(L)=4.24 \times 10^{-5}$ 7; $\alpha(M)=6.08 \times 10^{-6}$ 9; $\alpha(N..)=2.43 \times 10^{-7}$ 4
										$\delta:$ Others: -1.46 14 (1973La01) +4 +3-2 (1962Ko01).
938.73 [#] 6	0.0055 5	2821.86	2 ⁺	1883.16	2 ⁺	M1+E2	-0.7 3	0.000304 12		$\alpha=0.000304$ 12; $\alpha(K)=0.000272$ 11; $\alpha(L)=2.72 \times 10^{-5}$ 11; $\alpha(M)=3.90 \times 10^{-6}$ 16
1077.34 5	100	1077.36	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2		0.000247 4		$\alpha(N..)=1.57 \times 10^{-7}$ 6
										$\alpha=0.000247$ 4; $\alpha(K)=0.000221$ 4; $\alpha(L)=2.22 \times 10^{-5}$ 4; $\alpha(M)=3.18 \times 10^{-6}$ 5; $\alpha(N..)=1.273 \times 10^{-7}$ 18
1165.92 15	0.0005 3	2821.86	2 ⁺	1655.87	0 ⁺	E2		0.000211 3		%I $\gamma=3.22$ 3 (1994Sc44).
1261.08 9	2.93 6	2338.48	2 ⁺	1077.36	2 ⁺	M1+E2	-0.15 2	0.0001725 25		$\alpha=0.000211$ 3; $\alpha(K)=0.000185$ 3; $\alpha(L)=1.85 \times 10^{-5}$ 3; $\alpha(M)=2.65 \times 10^{-6}$ 4; $\alpha(N..)=4.67 \times 10^{-6}$ 7
1659 7		1655.87	0 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E0		4.2 $\times 10^{-4}$ 10		$\alpha=0.0001725$ 25; $\alpha(K)=0.0001418$ 20; $\alpha(L)=1.409 \times 10^{-5}$ 20; $\alpha(M)=2.02 \times 10^{-6}$ 3
										$\alpha(N..)=8.19 \times 10^{-8}$ 12
										$\delta:$ Others: -0.14 4 (1973La01), -2.25 30 (1963Ta03), -1.8 2 (1960Ra06).
										I $_{(\gamma+ce)}$: includes pair production. From relative ce intensities of 1972Si03, the I γ of 1973La01 and the theoretical calculations of 1986PaZM for the K conversion to pair production ratio. The K conversion has been increased by 10% to allow for L conversion.
										I $_{ce}(K)(1659)/I_{ce}(K)(1077\gamma)=0.010$ 2 (1972Si03).

⁶⁸Ga ε decay 1999BeZQ,1999BeZS (continued) $\gamma(^{68}\text{Zn})$ (continued)

E_γ^{\dagger}	$I_\gamma^{\dagger\&}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [‡]	$\delta^{@}$	α^{\ddagger}	Comments
1744.42 13	0.295 15	2821.86	2 ⁺	1077.36	2 ⁺	M1+E2	+0.27 2	0.000241 4	$\alpha=0.000241$ 4; $\alpha(K)=7.70\times10^{-5}$ 11; $\alpha(L)=7.63\times10^{-6}$ 11; $\alpha(M)=1.094\times10^{-6}$ 16; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0001550$ δ : Other: +0.29 5 (1973La01).
1883.16 6	4.24 8	1883.16	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	(E2)		0.000333 5	$\alpha=0.000333$ 5; $\alpha(K)=6.97\times10^{-5}$ 10; $\alpha(L)=6.91\times10^{-6}$ 10; $\alpha(M)=9.91\times10^{-7}$ 14; $\alpha(N+..)=0.000255$ 4
2338.44 8	0.035 5	2338.48	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	(E2)		0.000529 8	$\alpha=0.000529$ 8; $\alpha(K)=4.71\times10^{-5}$ 7; $\alpha(L)=4.67\times10^{-6}$ 7; $\alpha(M)=6.69\times10^{-7}$ 10; $\alpha(N+..)=0.000476$ 7
2821.73 14	0.0144 11	2821.86	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	(E2)		0.000740 11	$\alpha=0.000740$ 11; $\alpha(K)=3.43\times10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(L)=3.39\times10^{-6}$ 5; $\alpha(M)=4.86\times10^{-7}$ 7; $\alpha(N+..)=0.000702$ 10

[†] Values recommended by 1999BeZQ and 1999BeZS, except where noted.[‡] From the Adopted Gammas, except as noted.[#] From 1994Vo15.[@] From $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ in 1994Vo15.[&] For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.0322 3.

^{68}Ga ϵ decay 1999BeZQ,1999BeZSDecay Scheme

Legend

Intensities: $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ per 100 parent decays