

$^{68}\text{Co} \beta^-$ decay (0.20 s) 2000Mu10

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	E. A. Mccutchan	NDS 113, 1735 (2012)	1-Mar-2012

Parent: ^{68}Co : $E=0.0$; $J^\pi=(7^-)$; $T_{1/2}=0.20$ s 2; $Q(\beta^-)=1.155\times 10^4$ 13; $\% \beta^-$ decay=100.0

$^{238}\text{U}(\text{p},\text{F})$ with $E(\text{p})=30$ MeV. Isotopes separated using the Leuven ion-guide laser-ion source (LIGLIS) coupled to the LISOL mass separator. Measured E_γ , I_γ , $T_{1/2}$, β - γ and $\gamma\gamma$ coincidences using two HPGe detectors and three ΔE plastic detectors.

Others: 1999MuZZ, 2002Ko31 (details on LIGLIS).

α : Additional information 1.

 ^{68}Ni Levels

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	$T_{1/2}$ [‡]	Comments
0.0	0^+	29 s 2	$\% \beta^- = 100$.
2033.23 20	2^+	0.31 ps 5	
2848.2 3	5^-	0.86 ms 5	$\% \text{IT} = 100$
3120.1 3			
3443.6 3	$(6^-, 7^-)^{\#}$		
3557.1 3	$(6^-)^{\#}$		
3934.5 6	(7)		

[†] From a least squares fit to E_γ 's by evaluator.

[‡] From the Adopted Levels.

[#] Suggested configuration $\nu f_{5/2}^{-1} \nu g_{9/2}^{+1}$. Assuming a simple δ interaction the 3444 state is most likely 7^- and the 3557 state is most likely 6^- (2000Mu10).

 β^- radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^-$ ^{†#}	Log ft	Comments
$(7.62 \times 10^3$ 13)	3934.5	1.5 2	5.95 9	av $E\beta = 3527$ 64
$(7.99 \times 10^3$ 13)	3557.1	28 2	4.78 7	av $E\beta = 3711$ 64
$(8.11 \times 10^3$ 13)	3443.6	62 5	4.46 7	av $E\beta = 3766$ 64
$(8.43 \times 10^3$ 13)	3120.1	5 3	5.6 3	av $E\beta = 3924$ 64
$(8.70 \times 10^3$ 13)	2848.2	<10	>5.4	av $E\beta = 4057$ 64

[†] From an intensity balance at each level.

[‡] Existence of this branch is questionable.

[#] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

 $\gamma(^{68}\text{Ni})$

I_γ normalization: From $\Sigma I(\gamma + \text{ce})$ (to g.s.)=100. Direct β^- feeding to the ground state is not expected ($\Delta J=7$).

E_γ	I_γ ^{†&}	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [@]	α	Comments
113.5 2	20.2 5	3557.1	(6^-)	3443.6	$(6^-, 7^-)$	(M1)	0.0334	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0299$ 5; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00304$ 5; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000428$ 7; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=1.80 \times 10^{-5}$ 3
271.9 [#] 2	100 2	3120.1		2848.2	5^-			
323.6 [#] 2	88.4 17	3443.6	$(6^-, 7^-)$	3120.1				

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{68}Co β^- decay (0.20 s) 2000Mu10 (continued) $\gamma(^{68}\text{Ni})$ (continued)

E_γ	$I_\gamma^{\dagger\&}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. @	α	Comments
377.4 5	3.4 3	3934.5	(7)	3557.1	(6 ⁻)	D		Statistics insufficient to determine $T_{1/2}$; placement by 2000Mu10 is based on the data of 2000Is01.
595.2 2	74.1 17	3443.6	(6 ⁻ , 7 ⁻)	2848.2	5 ⁻			From coincidence and $T_{1/2}$ measurements, this transition is a doublet also observed in ^{68}Co β^- decay (1.6 s).
708.9 2	46.9 12	3557.1	(6 ⁻)	2848.2	5 ⁻			
815.0 2	230 [‡] 22	2848.2	5 ⁻	2033.23	2 ⁺	E3	0.000928 13	$\alpha=0.000928$ 13; $\alpha(\text{K})=0.000832$ 12; $\alpha(\text{L})=8.36\times 10^{-5}$ 12; $\alpha(\text{M})=1.176\times 10^{-5}$ 17; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=4.92\times 10^{-7}$
2033.2 2	230 [‡] 22	2033.23	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	[E2]	0.000383 6	$\alpha=0.000383$ 6; $\alpha(\text{K})=4.96\times 10^{-5}$ 7; $\alpha(\text{L})=4.81\times 10^{-6}$ 7; $\alpha(\text{M})=6.77\times 10^{-7}$ 10; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.000328$ 5

† Normalized to $I(272\gamma) = 100$.‡ Determined from $\gamma\gamma$ coincidence.# The ordering of the 272 γ and 324 γ is reversed in $^{198}\text{Pt}(^{76}\text{Ge}, X\gamma)$ (2000Is01).

@ From the Adopted Gammas.

& For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.435 42.

$^{68}\text{Co} \beta^-$ decay (0.20 s) 2000Mu10

Decay Scheme

Intensities: I_γ per 100 parent decays

Legend

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$

