## Adopted Levels, Gammas

				History				
		Туре	Auth	nor Citation Literature Cutoff Date				
		Full Evaluati	on E. A. Mc	cutchan NDS 113,1735 (2012) 1-Mar-2012				
$Q(\beta^-)=2103 4;$ Note: Current e S(2n)=13600 3; $\alpha$ : Additional in	S(n)=7792 valuation has $S(2p)=279$	5; S(p)=15431 as used the foll 074 5; Q( $\beta^{-}$ n) = 1.	7; $Q(\alpha) = -109$ owing Q record = -4216 3 (201	19 6 2012Wa38 d 2103 4 7792 4 15431 7 –10919 6 2011AuZZ. 1AuZZ).				
				<sup>68</sup> Ni Levels				
In addition to 3450 50 and difference n	the levels g d 4120 <i>50</i> . hakes it diff	given here, peal The <sup>70</sup> Zn( <sup>14</sup> C, <sup>1</sup> icult to give un	ks are reported <sup>6</sup> O) level at 22 ique association	in ${}^{70}$ Zn( ${}^{14}$ C, ${}^{16}$ O) at 2700 40, with L=0,2, at 3280 50 with L=2,4, and at 200 30, with L=2 appears to correspond to the 2034 level but the large energy ns for any of the other peaks.				
				Cross Reference (XREF) Flags				
		A B C D E	<sup>68</sup> Co $β^-$ decay <sup>68</sup> Co $β^-$ decay <sup>68</sup> Ni IT decay Coulomb exci <sup>70</sup> Zn( <sup>14</sup> C, <sup>16</sup> C)	y (0.20 s) F ${}^{70}Zn({}^{14}C, {}^{16}O\gamma)$ y (1.6 s) G ${}^{130}Te({}^{64}Ni, X\gamma), {}^{208}Pb({}^{64}Ni, X\gamma)$ (0.86 ms) H ${}^{198}Pt({}^{70}Zn, X\gamma)$ tation I $Ni({}^{86}Kr, X\gamma), {}^{58}Ni({}^{70}Zn, X\gamma)$				
E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$\mathbf{J}^{\pi}$	T <sub>1/2</sub>	XREF	Comments				
0.0 <sup>‡</sup>	0+	29 s 2	ABCDEFGHI	$\%\beta^{-}=100$ T <sub>1/2</sub> : from 1998Fr15. The authors also give this value in 2001Fr21. Other: 19 s +3-6 (1988sc7H 1988Ko7T)				
1770 30	0+	270 ns 5	EF I	$T_{1/2}$ : from γ(t) in <sup>58</sup> Ni( <sup>70</sup> Zn,Xγ). Others: 211 ns 50 from I(ce)(t) and I(e <sup>+</sup> ,e <sup>-</sup> )(t) in ( <sup>14</sup> C, <sup>16</sup> Oγ), and 340 ns 30 from γ(t) in ( <sup>86</sup> Kr,Xγ). J <sup>π</sup> : L=0 in ( <sup>14</sup> C, <sup>16</sup> O); E0 transition to 0 <sup>+</sup> g.s.				
2034.08 <sup>‡</sup> 16	2+	0.31 ps 5	ABCDE GHI	XREF: E(2200). $T_{1/2}$ : deduced from B(E2)=0.026 <i>4</i> in Coulomb Excitation and adopted $\gamma$ -ray properties. $J^{\pi}$ : L=2 in ( <sup>14</sup> C, <sup>16</sup> O); Coulomb Excitation.				
2511.9 3	$(0^+)^{\#}$	<15 ns	В	$T_{1/2}$ : assuming that the 511 $\gamma$ 's observed in <sup>68</sup> Co $\beta$ - decay (1.6 s) originate from pair production to the g s				
2743.82 16	(2) <sup>+#</sup>		ΒE	$\begin{array}{l} \text{XREF: } E(2700). \\ \text{XReF: } E(2700). \end{array}$				
2849.1 <i>3</i>	5-	0.86 ms 5	ABC GHI	J <sup><i>x</i></sup> : L=0,2 in ( <sup>14</sup> C, <sup>16</sup> O). %IT=100 $T_{1/2}$ : from 0.86 ms 5 in <sup>130</sup> Te( <sup>64</sup> Ni,X\gamma), <sup>208</sup> Pb( <sup>64</sup> Ni,X\gamma) and 0.86 ms 5				
3120.9 3			A	In NI <sup>(*6</sup> Kr, X $\gamma$ ), both from $\gamma$ (t). J <sup><math>\pi</math></sup> : E3 815 $\gamma$ to 2 <sup>+</sup> . E(level): The relative order of the 324 $\gamma$ -272 $\gamma$ cascade is reversed in <sup>198</sup> Pt( <sup>70</sup> Zn, X $\gamma$ ) giving a level at 3172.7 rather than 3120.9. The evaluator adopts the ordering given in <sup>68</sup> Co $\beta$ decay (0.20 s) since I $\gamma$ (272 $\gamma$ )>I $\gamma$ (324 $\gamma$ ) in that decay. The in-beam I $\gamma$ data are consistent with this order.				
3149.2 <sup>‡</sup> <i>3</i>	(4+)		B GH	$J^{\pi}$ : $\leq 4$ from D,Q 1115 $\gamma$ to 2 <sup>+</sup> ; (4 <sup>+</sup> ) as member of $vg_{9/2}^{+2}vp_{1/2}^{-2}$ multiplet				
3444.4 <i>3</i>	(6 <sup>-</sup> ,7 <sup>-</sup> )		A H	J <sup><math>\pi</math></sup> : log $ft$ =4.46 from $J^{\pi}$ =(7 <sup>-</sup> ), 595 $\gamma$ to 5 <sup>-</sup> . Member of $\nu t_{7/2}^{-1} \nu g_{9/2}^{+1}$ multiplet (2000Mu10). A simple $\delta$ interaction predicts $J^{\pi}$ =7 <sup>-</sup> .				

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

## Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued)

## <sup>68</sup>Ni Levels (continued)

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^{\pi}$	T <sub>1/2</sub>	XREF		Comments		
3543.4? 3			В				
3557.9 3	(6 <sup>-</sup> )		Α	Н	J <sup><math>\pi</math></sup> : log <i>ft</i> =4.78 from J <sup><math>\pi</math></sup> =(7 <sup>-</sup> ), 709 $\gamma$ to 5 <sup>-</sup> . Member of $\nu f_{7/2}^{-1} \nu g_{9/2}^{+1}$ multiplet (2000Mu10). A simple $\delta$ interaction predicts J <sup><math>\pi</math></sup> =6 <sup>-</sup> .		
3935.3 <i>5</i> 3988.5? <i>3</i>	(7)		A B	Н	$J^{\pi}$ : $\Delta J=1 \text{ d } 377\gamma \text{ to } (6^-) \text{ and } \Delta J=1 \text{ d } 275\gamma \text{ from } (8^+).$		
4000.7 <sup>‡</sup> 7	(6 <sup>+</sup> )			Н	J <sup><math>\pi</math></sup> : ≤6 from D,Q 851 $\gamma$ to (4 <sup>+</sup> ); (6 <sup>+</sup> ) as member of $\nu g_{9/2}^{+2} \nu p_{1/2}^{-2}$ multiplet.		
4026.7 3	(1,2)		В		$J^{\pi}$ : log <i>ft</i> =6.06 from $J^{\pi}$ =(1 <sup>+</sup> ) and 1515 $\gamma$ to (0 <sup>+</sup> ).		
4165.8 <i>3</i>	(0,1,2)		В		$J^{\pi}$ : log ft=6.01 from $J^{\pi}=(1^+)$ .		
4210.0 <sup>‡</sup> 7	(8 <sup>+</sup> )	23 ns 1		Н	$T_{1/2}$ : from $\gamma(t)$ in <sup>198</sup> Pt( <sup>70</sup> Zn,X $\gamma$ ). J <sup><i>n</i></sup> : $\Delta J=2$ , E2 209 $\gamma$ to (6 <sup>+</sup> ).		
5513.2 20 5550.2 20 5775.2 20	$(0^+, 1^+, 2^+)$ $(0^+, 1^+, 2^+)$ $(0^+, 1^+, 2^+)$		B B B		$J^{\pi}$ : log ft=5.76 from $J^{\pi}$ =(1 <sup>+</sup> ). $J^{\pi}$ : log ft=5.88 from $J^{\pi}$ =(1 <sup>+</sup> ). $J^{\pi}$ : log ft=5.53 from $J^{\pi}$ =(1 <sup>+</sup> ).		

<sup>†</sup> From least-squares fit to  $E\gamma'$ s by evaluator;  $\Delta E=1$  keV assumed when not given. <sup>‡</sup> Band(A):  $vg_{9/2}^2 vp_{1/2}^{-2}$  configuration. From comparison to the level spacings in <sup>70</sup>Ni, the 6<sup>+</sup> and 8<sup>+</sup> states have a very pure  $vg_{9/2}^2 vp_{1/2}^{-2}$  configuration while the 4<sup>+</sup> contains a significant admixture of other components, likely the  $(vg_{9/2}^2 vf_{5/2}^{-2})_{4+}$ configuration.

<sup>#</sup> Low-lying J=1 states are not expected from the available neutron fp orbitals. A strong  $\gamma$  to the g.s. indicates  $J^{\pi}=2^+$  for the 2744 state. Lack of a similar transition from the 2512 state and the possible E0 transition to the g.s. supports a  $J^{\pi}=0^+$  assignment.

	Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued)								
$\gamma$ <sup>(68</sup> Ni)									
E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger \ddagger}$	$\mathbf{E}_{f}$	$\mathbf{J}_{f}^{\pi}$	Mult.	α	$I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ ‡	Comments
1770	0+	1770		0.0	0+	E0		100	E <sub>γ</sub> : from ( <sup>14</sup> C, <sup>16</sup> Oγ). Mult.: from conversion electrons and pair production in $({}^{14}C, {}^{16}O\gamma).$
2034.08	2+	2033.2 2	100	0.0	0+	[E2]	0.000383 6		$\alpha(K) = 4.96 \times 10^{-5} 7; \ \alpha(L) = 4.81 \times 10^{-6} 7; \ \alpha(M) = 6.77 \times 10^{-7} 10; \ \alpha(N+) = 0.000328 5$ B(E2)(W.u.)=3.2 7
2511.9	(0+)	477.8 2	100 5	2034.08	2+	[E2]	0.00191 3		$\alpha(K)=0.001717\ 25;\ \alpha(L)=0.0001711\ 24;\ \alpha(M)=2.40\times10^{-5}\ 4;\ \alpha(N+)=1.005\times10^{-6}\ I$
		2511.9 <sup>&amp;</sup>		0.0	0+	(E0)		≤138	The source of the observed $511\gamma'$ s in <sup>68</sup> Co $\beta$ decay (1.6 s) may be from (e <sup>+</sup> ,e <sup>-</sup> ) from the 2512 state to the 0 <sup>+</sup> g.s.; however, no $1511\gamma-511\gamma$ coincidences were observed.
2743.82	$(2)^{+}$	708.9 2	41 <i>3</i>	2034.08	2+				
		2744.6 2	100 5	0.0	0+	[E2]	0.000700 10		$\alpha(K)=2.95\times10^{-5} 5; \ \alpha(L)=2.85\times10^{-6} 4; \ \alpha(M)=4.01\times10^{-7} 6; \ \alpha(N+)=0.000668 \ 10$
2849.1	5-	815.0 <sup>#</sup> 2	100 <sup>#</sup>	2034.08	2+	E3	0.000928 13		
3120.9		271.9 <sup>#</sup> 2	100 <sup>#</sup>	2849.1	5-				
3149.2	(4+)	1115.1 2	100	2034.08	2+	[E2]	0.000188 3		$\alpha$ (K)=0.0001683 24; $\alpha$ (L)=1.645×10 <sup>-5</sup> 23; $\alpha$ (M)=2.32×10 <sup>-6</sup> 4; $\alpha$ (N+)=1.431×10 <sup>-6</sup> Mult.: $\Delta$ J $\leq$ 2 since no retardation observed for this cascade in
2444.4		222 c# 2	100 0# 10	2120.0					$Pri(\sqrt{2n, X\gamma}).$
3444.4	(6,/)	323.6'' 2	$100.0^{"}$ 19	3120.9	-				
2542.42		595.2" 2	83.8" 19	2849.1	5				
3543.4?		694.3 <sup>cc</sup> 2	100	2849.1	5		0.0224		
3557.9	(6 <sup>-</sup> )	113.5" 2	43.1" 11	3444.4	(6 <sup>-</sup> ,7 <sup>-</sup> )	(M1)	0.0334		$\alpha(K)=0.0299$ 5; $\alpha(L)=0.00304$ 5; $\alpha(M)=0.000428$ 7; $\alpha(N+)=1.80\times10^{-5}$ 3
		#	#						Mult.: stretched dipole from $\gamma$ -ray anisotropy in $^{198}$ Pt( $^{70}$ Zn,X $\gamma$ ); $\Delta \pi$ =no from level scheme.
		708.9# 2	$100.0^{m} 26$	2849.1	5-	_			
3935.3	(7)	377.4" 5	100"	3557.9	(6 <sup>-</sup> )	D			Mult.: stretched dipole from $\gamma$ -ray anisotropy in <sup>198</sup> Pt( <sup>70</sup> Zn,X $\gamma$ ).
3988.5?		1139.4 <sup>&amp;</sup> 2	100	2849.1	5-				
4000.7	(6 <sup>+</sup> )	851.2 <sup>@</sup>	67 <sup>@</sup>	3149.2	(4 <sup>+</sup> )	[E2]	0.000360 5		$\alpha$ (K)=0.000324 5; $\alpha$ (L)=3.18×10 <sup>-5</sup> 5; $\alpha$ (M)=4.48×10 <sup>-6</sup> 7; $\alpha$ (N+)=1.91×10 <sup>-7</sup> 3

 $^{68}_{28}\mathrm{Ni}_{40}$ -3

L

Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued)									
$\gamma$ <sup>(68</sup> Ni) (continued)									
E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger\ddagger}$	$E_f$	$\mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}$	Mult.	α	Comments	
								$\alpha(K)=0.000324$ 5; $\alpha(L)=3.18\times10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(M)=4.48\times10^{-6}$ 7; $\alpha(N+)=1.91\times10^{-7}$ 3 Mult.: $\Delta J \le 2$ since no retardation observed for this cascade in <sup>198</sup> Pt( <sup>70</sup> Zn,X\gamma).	
4000.7	(6 <sup>+</sup> )	1151.8 <sup>@</sup>	100 <sup>@</sup>	2849.1	5-				
4026.7	(1,2)	1514.8 2	100	2511.9	$(0^{+})$				
4165.8	(0,1,2)	1422.0 2	100	2743.82	$(2)^{+}$				
4210.0	(8 <sup>+</sup> )	209.3 <sup>@</sup>	89 <sup>@</sup>	4000.7	(6+)	E2	0.0347	$\alpha(K)=0.0311 5; \alpha(L)=0.00322 5; \alpha(M)=0.000450 7; \alpha(N+)=1.767\times10^{-5} 25$ B(E2)(W,u)=1.57 9	
								Mult.: stretched quadrupole from $\gamma$ -ray anisotropy in <sup>198</sup> Pt( <sup>70</sup> Zn,X $\gamma$ ); M2 is excluded by comparison to RUL.	
		274.7 <sup>@</sup>	100 <sup>@</sup>	3935.3	(7)	D	0.00246 4	Mult.: stretched dipole from $\gamma$ -ray anisotropy in <sup>198</sup> Pt( <sup>70</sup> Zn,X $\gamma$ ).	
		652.0 <sup>@</sup>	15 <sup>@</sup>	3557.9	$(6^{-})$				
5513.2	$(0^+, 1^+, 2^+)$	3479 2	100	2034.08	2+				
5550.2	$(0^+, 1^+, 2^+)$	3516 2	100	2034.08	$2^{+}$				
5775.2	$(0^+, 1^+, 2^+)$	3741 2	100	2034.08	$2^{+}$				
<sup>†</sup> From <sup>68</sup> <sup>‡</sup> Relative <sup>#</sup> From <sup>68</sup>	<sup>†</sup> From <sup>68</sup> Co $\beta$ - decay (1.6 s), except as noted. <sup>‡</sup> Relative photon or I( $\gamma$ +ce) branching ratio from each level. <sup>#</sup> From <sup>68</sup> Co $\beta$ - decay (0.20 s).								

4

<sup>(a)</sup> From <sup>198</sup>Pt(<sup>70</sup>Zn,X $\gamma$ ). <sup>(b)</sup> Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.



 $^{68}_{28}{
m Ni}_{40}$ 

## Adopted Levels, Gammas

