

<sup>68</sup>Zn(d,α) 1983Wo06,1969Da09

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	E. Browne, J. K. Tuli		NDS 111, 1093 (2010)	3-Mar-2009

1983Wo06: E(d)(polarized)=9, 9.5, 10, 10.5 MeV, FWHM=35 keV; measured tensor-analyzing power at  $\theta(\text{lab})=4^\circ$ .  
 1969Da09: E(d)=12 MeV, FWHM=11-12 keV; measured  $\sigma(\theta)$ ,  $\theta \approx 10^\circ - 110^\circ$ ; DWBA analysis.

<sup>66</sup>Cu Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J $\pi$ <sup>‡</sup>	L <sup>#</sup>	S <sup>@</sup>	Comments
0	1 <sup>+</sup> <sup>d</sup>	0+2	50	
185 1	2 <sup>+</sup> <sup>c</sup>	2	9	
274 1	3 <sup>+</sup> <sup>d</sup>	4	13	
383 2	(1 <sup>+</sup> ) <sup>e</sup>	(4+2)	3	
462 2	2 <sup>+</sup> <sup>c</sup>	(2)	11	
588 2	4 <sup>+</sup> <sup>c</sup>	4	17	
727 3	3 <sup>+</sup> <sup>d</sup>	4+2	10	
819 3	2 <sup>+</sup> <sup>c</sup>	2	8	
1013 <sup>b</sup> 4		4+(2)	84	
1048 4	1 <sup>+</sup> <sup>d</sup>	0+(2)	21	
1151 5	(6) <sup>-</sup> <sup>d</sup>	5+(3)	10	J=(6) (1969Da09); $\pi=-$ (1983Wo06).
1208 5		2	6	
1247 5	4 <sup>-</sup> <sup>d</sup>	3	9	
1339 5	1 <sup>+</sup> <sup>d</sup>	0	10	
1434 6	1 <sup>+</sup> <sup>d</sup>	0+2	4	
1543 <sup>&amp;</sup> 6				
1555 <sup>&amp;</sup> 6				
1573 <sup>&amp;</sup> 6				
1679 7		(4)+(2) <sup>a</sup>	2.5	
1697 7		(0)+(2) <sup>a</sup>	2	
1713 7		(0)+(2) <sup>a</sup>	2	
1730 7		(5)	4	
1815 7	1 <sup>+</sup> <sup>d</sup>	0+(2)	19	
1894 8			2	
1922 8		4+(2) <sup>a</sup>	3	
1977 8		(3) <sup>a</sup>	2	
2017 8		2	12	
2120 8			2.5	
2158 9			3	
2173? 9				
2195 9		(0)+(2) <sup>a</sup>	2	
2266 9		4+(2)	9	
2329 9				
2361 9		4	5.5	
2391 10		(1)	4	
2449 10		0	7	
2501 10		(2) <sup>a</sup>	2.5	
2525 10				
2537 10		0 <sup>a</sup>	3	
2557? <sup>&amp;</sup> 10				
2567 10		6+(4)	50	
2581 <sup>&amp;</sup> 10				
2604 10		0+(2) <sup>a</sup>	3	

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

${}^{68}\text{Zn}(\text{d},\alpha)$  **1983Wo06,1969Da09** (continued) ${}^{66}\text{Cu}$  Levels (continued)

<u>E(level)<sup>†</sup></u>	<u>L#</u>	<u>S<sup>@</sup></u>	<u>E(level)<sup>†</sup></u>	<u>L#</u>	<u>S<sup>@</sup></u>	<u>E(level)<sup>†</sup></u>	<u>L#</u>	<u>S<sup>@</sup></u>	<u>E(level)<sup>†</sup></u>	<u>L#</u>	<u>S<sup>@</sup></u>
2630 <i>11</i>	4	4	2707 <i>11</i>	4	17	2812 <i>11</i>			2944 <sup>&amp;</sup> <i>12</i>		
2644 <i>11</i>			2743 <i>11</i>	3	10	2837 <i>11</i>			2964 <sup>&amp;</sup> <i>12</i>		
2660 <i>11</i>			2760 <i>11</i>	0+(2)	5	2863 <i>11</i>	0	9	2986 <i>12</i>	(6) <sup>a</sup>	2
2682 <i>11</i>	0+2	15	2793 <i>11</i>	(0+2) <sup>a</sup>	2	2903 <i>12</i>	4+(2)	13	3007 <i>12</i>	4	17

<sup>†</sup> Authors quote a single set of energies from  ${}^{65}\text{Cu}(\text{d},\text{p})$  and  ${}^{68}\text{Zn}(\text{d},\alpha)$  data without specific uncertainties. The quoted E(level) values are a weighted mean of  ${}^{65}\text{Cu}(\text{d},\text{p})$  and  ${}^{68}\text{Zn}(\text{d},\alpha)$  whenever the two measurements are within 5 keV. Uncertainties on E(level) have been taken to be 0.4%, quoted by the authors as an upper limit for the  ${}^{65}\text{Zn}(\text{d},\text{p})$  data (1969Da09).

<sup>‡</sup> From analysis of tensor-analyzing power data (1983Wo06), unless indicated otherwise.

# From DWBA analysis of  $\sigma(\theta)$  (1969Da09).

@ Maximum value of  $\sigma(\theta)$  in  $\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ .

& Not resolved.

<sup>a</sup> The cross section to this level is small ( $\approx 2 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$  or less) causing some doubt about the assumed reaction mechanism (1969Da09).

<sup>b</sup> The level mainly populated in this reaction probably corresponds to the level observed at 1008.5 *l* in thermal  ${}^{65}\text{Cu}(\text{n},\gamma)$  (1969Da09).

<sup>c</sup> Natural parity from tensor-analyzing power data (1983Wo06).

<sup>d</sup> Unnatural parity from tensor-analyzing power data (1983Wo06).

<sup>e</sup> This level could be either natural or unnatural parity level (1983Wo06).