

Coulomb excitation 1972Ro21

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jun Chen	NDS 202,59 (2025)	25-Feb-2025

 $(\alpha, \alpha'\gamma)$:

[1972Ro21](#): E=5.5-7.0 MeV α beams were produced from the ORNL 6-MeV Van de Graaff accelerator. Target was >97% enriched ^{65}Cu . γ rays were detected with a Ge(Li) detector and a NaI crystal. Measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma(\theta)$ and $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$. Deduced levels, γ -ray multipolarity, mixing ratio.

[1964Ro10](#): E=4-8 MeV from Oak Ridge 5.5-MV Van de Graaff accelerator. Measured $E\gamma$, γ -ray yields, $\gamma(\theta)$, $\gamma\gamma$ -coin. Deduced level, J , π , γ -ray mixing ratio, transition strengths.

[1966Gu10](#): E=7.24, 7.85 MeV. Measured $\gamma(\theta)$. Deduced mixing ratio.

[1956Te26](#): E=6 MeV. Measured γ -ray yield. Deduced transition strengths.

 $(^{16}\text{O}, ^{16}\text{O}'\gamma)$:

[1964El03](#): E=36 MeV. Measured $E\gamma$, γ -ray yields, $\gamma(\theta)$. Deduced transition strengths, γ -ray mixing ratios.

[1965Es01](#): E=36 MeV from Chalk River tandem. Measured $E\gamma$, Doppler-shift attenuation. Deduced $T_{1/2}$, transition strengths.

[1977Do16](#): E=35 MeV. Measured $E\gamma$, Doppler-shift attenuation. Deduced level, $T_{1/2}$, transition strengths.

 $(p, p'\gamma)$:

[1998Si25](#): E=2.0-4.5 MeV proton beams from the Variable Energy Cyclotron at Panjab University, Chandigarh. γ rays were detected with a Ge(Li) detector. Measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, γ yield. Deduced levels, γ -ray transition strength.

 $(^{14}\text{N}, ^{14}\text{N}'\gamma)$:

[1962Er05](#): E=36 MeV. Measured $E\gamma$, γ -ray yields. Deduced transition strengths.

 ^{65}Cu Levels

Upper limits have been placed on $B(E2)\uparrow$ for the 1623 level ($B(E2)<0.003$, [1964El03](#); $B(E2)<0.005$, [1964Ro10](#)) and the 1724 level ($B(E2)<0.01$, [1964Ro10](#)).

E(level) ^{†‡}	$J^\pi\#$	$T_{1/2}^{\#}$	Comments
0 770.8 5	$3/2^-$ $1/2^-$	95 fs 25	$B(E2)\uparrow=0.0099~5$ J^π : spin=1/2 from $\gamma(\theta)$ in 1964El03 , 1964Ro10 . $T_{1/2}$: weighted average of 90 fs 25 (1965Es01) and 100 fs 26 (1977Do16), by DSAM. $B(E2)\uparrow$: weighted average of 0.0087 13 (1956Te26), 0.010 2 (1962Er05), 0.0102 11 (1964Ro10), and 0.0100 5 (1998Si25). Other: 0.0078 (1964El03).
1115.3 4	$5/2^-$	0.42 ps 8	$B(E2)\uparrow=0.0305~21$ $T_{1/2}$: weighted average of 0.37 ps 6 (1965Es01) and 0.55 ps 10 (1977Do16), by DSAM. $B(E2)\uparrow$: weighted average of 0.027 4 (1956Te26), 0.028 5 (1962Er05), 0.0345 38 (1964Ro10), and 0.0306 21 (1998Si25). Other: 0.026 1 includes only relative uncertainty (1964El03).
1481.6 4	$7/2^-$	0.53 ps 11	$B(E2)\uparrow=0.037~6$ J^π : spin=7/2 from 1481.4 $\gamma(\theta)$ in 1964El03 . $T_{1/2}$: from DSAM in 1965Es01 . Other: 0.36 ps +8-6 from $B(E2)\uparrow=0.037~6$ here. $B(E2)\uparrow$: weighted average of 0.034 6 (1962Er05) and 0.043 8 (1964Ro10). Other: 0.030 1 (1964El03) includes only relative uncertainty.
1623.0 10			

[†] Additional information 1.[‡] From a least-squares fit to γ -ray energies.[#] From Adopted Levels. Supporting arguments from this reaction are indicated in comments.[@] From values from DSAM, a 15% systematic uncertainty has been added in quadrature by the evaluator to account for uncertainty in the stopping power.

Coulomb excitation 1972Ro21 (continued) $\gamma(^{65}\text{Cu})$

E_i (level)	J_i^π	E_γ^\dagger	I_γ^\dagger	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	δ	Comments
770.8	$1/2^-$	770.8 5	100	0	$3/2^-$			$A_2=-0.001$ I (1972Ro21) , $A_4=-0.005$ I8 (1964Ro10) . $A_2=-0.015$ 46 , $A_4=-0.100$ 55 (1964El03) .
1115.3	$5/2^-$	1115.5	100	0	$3/2^-$	M1+E2	-0.24 5	E_γ : used for energy calibration in 1972Ro21 . Mult.: D+Q from $\gamma(\theta)$ in all references listed in the δ comment below; E1+M2 ruled out by RUL.
1481.6	$7/2^-$	366.7 7	15 2	1115.3 $5/2^-$	M1+E2	-0.16 6		δ : weighted average of -0.24 I3 (1964El03) , -0.22 6 (1964Ro10) , -0.19 6 (1966Gu10) and -0.28 5 (1972Ro21) . Others: -1.8 (1964Ro10) and -1.9 3 (1966Gu10) , another solution from $\gamma(\theta)$; 0.59 + I1-I0 from adopted $B(E2)\dagger=0.0305$ 21 here and $T_{1/2}=0.42$ ps 8 . $A_2=-0.174$ I4 (1972Ro21) , $A_4=-0.142$ I6 (1964Ro10) . $A_2=-0.252$ 40 , $A_4=-0.040$ 47 (1964El03) . I_γ : other: 24 (1964El03) . Mult., δ : from $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ at 0° and 90° (obtained using $\delta(1115\gamma)=-0.28$ 5) and $\gamma(\theta)$ in 1972Ro21 ; E1+M2 ruled out by RUL. $A_2=-0.28$ 9 (1972Ro21) . I_γ : other: 76 (1964El03) . $A_2=+0.222$ I4 (1972Ro21) . $A_2=+0.250$ 70 , $A_4=+0.016$ 94 (1964El03) .
1623.0		1623		0	$3/2^-$	[E2]		E_γ : from 1964El03 , very weak.

[†] From [1972Ro21](#), unless otherwise noted.

Coulomb excitation 1972Ro21

Legend

Level Scheme

Intensities: % photon branching from each level

● Coincidence

