

$^{64}\text{Ni}(\text{p},\gamma)$ **1979Er10**

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	E. Browne, J. K. Tuli		NDS 111, 2425 (2010)	1-Aug-2009

1979Er10: E(p)=2050-2550 keV; averaged p resonance spectre; measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$; Hauser-Feshbach model, deduced J^π ; average γ -ray strength function; Ge(Li), NaI detectors.

1978Kr09: E(p)=3100-3300 keV (E(res)=3219); measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, Γ_γ ; Ge(Li) detector.

1973Ho42: E(p)=1000-1850 keV; measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma(\theta)$.

Others: [2001Fe06](#), [2000Ra35](#), [1980Ne10](#), [1983Ne03](#).

 ^{65}Cu Levels

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	Comments
0	3/2 ⁻	
770.6 2	1/2 ⁻	
1115.5 2	5/2 ⁻	
1481.8 2	7/2 ⁻	
1623.3 2	5/2 ⁻	
1725.0 2	3/2 ⁻	
2094.3 2	(7/2) ⁻	J^π : (7/2 ⁻) from Hauser-Feshbach (H-F) analysis (1979Er10).
2107.4 2	(5/2) ⁻	J^π : (5/2 ⁻) from H-F analysis (1979Er10).
2212.8 2	(1/2) ⁻	J^π : (1/2 ⁻) from H-F analysis (1979Er10).
2282.6 4	(7/2) ⁻	J^π : (7/2 ⁻) from H-F analysis (1979Er10).
2329.0 2	3/2 ⁻	J^π : (3/2,5/2) from $\gamma(\theta)$ (1973Ho42).
2533.0 2	1/2,3/2,5/2 ⁻	
2533.8 3	(7/2 ⁺ ,9/2 ⁺)	
2594 2	(1/2 ⁻ ,5/2 ⁻)	J^π : (1/2 ⁻ ,5/2 ⁻) from H-F analysis (1979Er10).
2649.6 2	(5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)	E(level): possible doublet (1979Er10).
2656 [#] 3		
2753 3	(7/2 ⁺ ,9/2 ⁺)	J^π : (7/2 ⁺ ,9/2 ⁺) from H-F analysis (1979Er10).
2839 3	(7/2 ⁺ ,9/2 ⁺)	J^π : (7/2 ⁺ ,9/2 ⁺) from H-F analysis (1979Er10).
2862.7? 2		
2866.8 2	3/2 ⁻ ,5/2,7/2 ⁻	
2874.4 2	(3/2 ⁻)	
2894.4 2	1/2 ⁻ ,3/2,5/2 ⁻	
2902.4 2		
2977		
2990 [#] 2		
2996 [#] 4		
3079.6 2	(3/2,5/2) ⁺	J^π : (1/2 ⁺ ,3/2,5/2 ⁺) from H-F analysis (1979Er10).
3154 [#] 3		
3243	(1/2 ⁺ ,3/2,5/2 ⁺)	J^π : (1/2 ⁺ ,3/2,5/2 ⁺) from H-F analysis (1979Er10).
3261 2	(1/2 ⁻ ,5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)	J^π : (1/2 ⁻ ,5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻) from H-F analysis (1979Er10).
3325.4 15	(3/2,5/2)	E(level): from 1973Ho42 . Level not populated in 1979Er10 data.
3449 [#] 3		
3506 [#] 3		
3632 2	(1/2 ⁺ ,3/2 ⁺)	J^π : (1/2 ⁺ ,3/2 ⁺) from H-F analysis (1979Er10).
3740 [#] 3		
3895 [#] 3	1/2 ⁺	
3923 [#] 3		
3964 3	1/2 ⁻ ,3/2 ⁻	
4054 3	(1/2 ⁺ ,3/2,5/2 ⁺)	J^π : (1/2 ⁺ ,3/2,5/2 ⁺) from H-F analysis (1979Er10).
4089 3	(1/2 ⁺ ,3/2,5/2 ⁺)	J^π : (1/2 ⁺ ,3/2,5/2 ⁺) from H-F analysis (1979Er10).
4117 [#] 4		

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{64}\text{Ni}(\text{p},\gamma)$ 1979Er10 (continued) **^{65}Cu Levels (continued)**

E(level) [†]	Comments
4183 ^{# 4}	
4245 ^{# 4}	E(level): a possible doublet at E=4251 is reported in 1979Er10.

[†] For E<5000 E(level) is from 1979Er10 (uncertainties not always available), except as noted. For E>S(p) see 1973Ho42, 1979Er10.

[‡] From Adopted Levels; supporting arguments from this data set are indicated in comments.

[#] From 1978Kr09.

 $\gamma(^{65}\text{Cu})$

Uncertainties on $\Gamma(\gamma)$ are reported (1978Kr09) to be $\approx 30\%$ for strong lines and up to 100% for weak lines.

E_γ^{\dagger}	I_γ^{\ddagger}	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Comments
366.3 3	5.3	1481.8	7/2 ⁻	1115.5	5/2 ⁻	Branching=0.15.
382.4 3	0.5	2107.4	(5/2) ⁻	1725.0	3/2 ⁻	
471.0 3	1.2	2094.3	(7/2) ⁻	1623.3	5/2 ⁻	
487.8 3	1.3	2212.8	(1/2) ⁻	1725.0	3/2 ⁻	
499.7 ^{# 21}	4.8	2594	(1/2 ⁻ ,5/2 ⁻)	2094.3	(7/2) ⁻	
507.8 3	7.3	1623.3	5/2 ⁻	1115.5	5/2 ⁻	
609.5 3	10.1	1725.0	3/2 ⁻	1115.5	5/2 ⁻	
625.6 3	4.9	2107.4	(5/2) ⁻	1481.8	7/2 ⁻	Branching=0.50.
770.6 2	72.1	770.6	1/2 ⁻	0	3/2 ⁻	Branching=1.0.
808.0 3	1.2	2533.0	1/2,3/2,5/2 ⁻	1725.0	3/2 ⁻	
852.7 3	2.2	1623.3	5/2 ⁻	770.6	1/2 ⁻	Branching=0.14.
924.6 3	1.5	2649.6	(5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)	1725.0	3/2 ⁻	
978.8 3	9.8	2094.3	(7/2) ⁻	1115.5	5/2 ⁻	
991.9 3	6.2	2107.4	(5/2) ⁻	1115.5	5/2 ⁻	
1026.3 3	0.7	2649.6	(5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)	1623.3	5/2 ⁻	
1052.0 4	2.0	2533.8	(7/2 ⁺ ,9/2 ⁺)	1481.8	7/2 ⁻	
1115.5 2	100	1115.5	5/2 ⁻	0	3/2 ⁻	Branching=1.0. δ : -0.09 8 from $\gamma(\theta)$ data (1973Ho42). Branching=0.23 5.
1213.5 3	4.3	2329.0	3/2 ⁻	1115.5	5/2 ⁻	
1243.5 3	1.1	2866.8	3/2 ⁻ ,5/2,7/2 ⁻	1623.3	5/2 ⁻	
1271 ^{# 3}	1.1	2753	(7/2 ⁺ ,9/2 ⁺)	1481.8	7/2 ⁻	
1271.1 3	1.1	2894.4	1/2 ⁻ ,3/2,5/2 ⁻	1623.3	5/2 ⁻	
1336.8 3	1.0	2107.4	(5/2) ⁻	770.6	1/2 ⁻	
1442.2 3	8.8	2212.8	(1/2) ⁻	770.6	1/2 ⁻	Branching=0.67 7.
1481.8 2	25.7	1481.8	7/2 ⁻	0	3/2 ⁻	Branching=0.85.
1534.1 3	1.5	2649.6	(5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)	1115.5	5/2 ⁻	
1558.4 3	5.4	2329.0	3/2 ⁻	770.6	1/2 ⁻	Branching=0.32 5.
1623.3 2	13.4	1623.3	5/2 ⁻	0	3/2 ⁻	Branching=0.54.
1725.0 2	26.5	1725.0	3/2 ⁻	0	3/2 ⁻	Branching=0.50.
1762.4 3	6.3	2533.0	1/2,3/2,5/2 ⁻	770.6	1/2 ⁻	Branching=0.60.
1879.0 3	7.6	2649.6	(5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)	770.6	1/2 ⁻	
1964.1 3	1.3	3079.6	(3/2,5/2) ⁺	1115.5	5/2 ⁻	
2094.3 2	4.6	2094.3	(7/2) ⁻	0	3/2 ⁻	
2107.4 2	3.2	2107.4	(5/2) ⁻	0	3/2 ⁻	
2127.5 [#]	3.9	3243	(1/2 ⁺ ,3/2,5/2 ⁺)	1115.5	5/2 ⁻	

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{64}\text{Ni}(\text{p},\gamma)$ **1979Er10 (continued)** $\gamma(^{65}\text{Cu})$ (continued)

E_γ^\dagger	I_γ^\ddagger	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Comments
2212.8 2	5.1	2212.8	(1/2) ⁻	0	3/2 ⁻	Branching=0.33 7.
2282.6 4	0.9	2282.6	(7/2) ⁻	0	3/2 ⁻	
2309.0 3	5.9	3079.6	(3/2,5/2) ⁺	770.6	1/2 ⁻	
2329.0 2	8.9	2329.0	3/2 ⁻	0	3/2 ⁻	Branching=0.45 7.
2533.0 2	5.3	2533.0	1/2,3/2,5/2 ⁻	0	3/2 ⁻	Branching=0.40.
2649.6 2	2.1	2649.6	(5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)	0	3/2 ⁻	
2861 [#] 2	5.9	3632	(1/2 ⁺ ,3/2 ⁺)	770.6	1/2 ⁻	
2862.7 [#] 2	2.9	2862.7?		0	3/2 ⁻	
2866.8 2	4.3	2866.8	3/2 ⁻ ,5/2,7/2 ⁻	0	3/2 ⁻	
2874.4 2	7.0	2874.4	(3/2 ⁻)	0	3/2 ⁻	
2894.4 2	2.7	2894.4	1/2 ⁻ ,3/2,5/2 ⁻	0	3/2 ⁻	
2902.4 2	4.5	2902.4		0	3/2 ⁻	
3261 2	3.0	3261	(1/2 ⁻ ,5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)	0	3/2 ⁻	
3325.4 15		3325.4	(3/2,5/2)	0	3/2 ⁻	
3632 2	1.7	3632	(1/2 ⁺ ,3/2 ⁺)	0	3/2 ⁻	
3964 3	2.7	3964	1/2 ⁻ ,3/2 ⁻	0	3/2 ⁻	
4054 3	3.2	4054	(1/2 ⁺ ,3/2,5/2 ⁺)	0	3/2 ⁻	
4089 3	2.0	4089	(1/2 ⁺ ,3/2,5/2 ⁺)	0	3/2 ⁻	

[†] From level energy difference.

[‡] Relative intensity; for $E_\gamma < 5000$, I_γ are given (1979Er10), except as noted and branchings (1973Ho42) where available are given in comments. For primary γ 's the I_γ are averages of spectra from $E(p)=2.05$ to 2.55 MeV in steps of 19 keV. For the secondary γ 's, they are the averages of $E(p)=2.0$ to 2.1 MeV in 17 keV steps. Uncertainties of 1979Er10 range from 1% for the strong, well-resolved lines, to 40% for some of the weakest lines.

[#] Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.





