

$^{62}\text{Ni}(\alpha, \text{p})$ 2001Ny01, 1972Bu17

Type	Author	History	
		Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jun Chen	NDS 202,59 (2025)	25-Feb-2025

2001Ny01: E=25 MeV α beam was produced from the Niels Bohr Institute Tandem accelerator. Target was 99.8% enriched ^{62}Ni with a thickness of about $65 \mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$. Reaction products were momentum-analyzed with the NBI multigap magnetic spectrometer ($\text{FWHM} \approx 25 \text{ keV}$). Measured $\sigma(E_p, \theta)$, $\theta_{\text{cm}} = 7.5^\circ$ to 77.5° in steps of 5° . Deduced levels, J, π , level spins and parities. The j-dependence of cross sections was used to achieve firmer spin assignments.

1972Bu17, 1975Se14: E=19.3-19.4 MeV α beams were produced from the U-120 cyclotron of the Institute for Atomic Physics, Bucharest. Target was >98% enriched ^{62}Ni with a thickness of about $1 \text{ mg}/\text{cm}^2$. Reaction products were detected with a Si(Li) detector ($\text{FWHM} = 150-200 \text{ keV}$). Measured $\sigma(E_p, \theta)$, $\theta_{\text{cm}} = 10^\circ - 130^\circ$. Deduced levels, J, π , L-transfer, spectroscopic factors from DWBA analysis with zero-range approximation.

Others (measured σ): [1987Sm07](#), [1986Se02](#), [1985Wa30](#), [1974Pa06](#), [1971Gi02](#), [1972Lu03](#) (also [1972Lu09](#)), [1968Se03](#), [1965Sa21](#) (also [1965Sa20](#)).

 ^{65}Cu Levels

Spectroscopic factor is obtained by using $d\sigma/d\Omega(\text{exp}) = N \times (2J+1)C^2S \times d\sigma/d\Omega(\text{DWBA})$, where N is the normalization factor and J is the spin of the final level.

E(level) [†]	J [#]	L [†]	(2J+1)C ² S [†]	Comments
0	3/2 ⁻	1	1.00	$d\sigma/d\Omega(\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}) = 355$ 71.
771 2	1/2 ⁻	1	0.44	$d\sigma/d\Omega(\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}) = 145$ 29.
1116 3	5/2 ⁻	3	0.40	$d\sigma/d\Omega(\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}) = 42$ 8.
1482 5				$d\sigma/d\Omega(\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}) = 6$ 1.
1623 2	5/2 ⁻	3	1.08	$d\sigma/d\Omega(\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}) = 113$ 23.
1729 5				$d\sigma/d\Omega(\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}) = 3$ 1.
2105 5	5/2 ⁻			$d\sigma/d\Omega(\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}) = 24$ 5.
2213 3	(3/2 ⁻)			$d\sigma/d\Omega(\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}) = 41$ 8.
2328 3	3/2 ⁻			$d\sigma/d\Omega(\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}) = 35$ 7.
2534 2	9/2 ⁺	4	0.85	$d\sigma/d\Omega(\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}) = 233$ 47.
2905 5	(3/2,5/2)			$d\sigma/d\Omega(\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}) = 16$ 3.
3003 5	(11/2 ⁻)			$d\sigma/d\Omega(\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}) = 20$ 4.
3082 3	(3/2,5/2)			$d\sigma/d\Omega(\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}) = 31$ 6.
3278 3	(11/2 ⁻)			$d\sigma/d\Omega(\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}) = 28$ 6.
3359 2	5/2 ⁺			$d\sigma/d\Omega(\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}) = 146$ 29.
3402 5	(7/2,9/2)			$d\sigma/d\Omega(\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}) = 25$ 5.
3485 5	(7/2,9/2)			$d\sigma/d\Omega(\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}) = 20$ 4.
3519 5	(9/2 ⁺)			$d\sigma/d\Omega(\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}) = 27$ 5.
3566 5	(5/2,7/2)			$d\sigma/d\Omega(\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}) = 26$ 5.
3606 5	(9/2,7/2)			$d\sigma/d\Omega(\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}) = 23$ 5.
3640 5				$d\sigma/d\Omega(\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}) = 14$ 3.
3666 5	(9/2,7/2)			$d\sigma/d\Omega(\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}) = 28$ 6.
3737 5				$d\sigma/d\Omega(\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}) = 20$ 4.
3757 3	(9/2,7/2)			$d\sigma/d\Omega(\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}) = 38$ 8.
3825 5				$d\sigma/d\Omega(\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}) = 12$ 2.
3910 5	(9/2)			$d\sigma/d\Omega(\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}) = 20$ 4.
3965 5	(9/2,11/2)			$d\sigma/d\Omega(\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}) = 23$ 5.
4011 3	(11/2 ⁻)			$d\sigma/d\Omega(\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}) = 46$ 9.
4090 3	(9/2)			$d\sigma/d\Omega(\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}) = 72$ 14.
4195 3	(9/2 ⁺)			$d\sigma/d\Omega(\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}) = 71$ 14.
4238? 2	(13/2 ⁺)			E(level): from Fig.11 of 2001Ny01 ; not listed in Table VI by the authors.

[†] From DWBA analysis of measured $\sigma(\theta)$ ([1972Bu17](#), [1975Se14](#)). Quoted values of C^2S are relative spectroscopic factors.

$^{62}\text{Ni}(\alpha, \text{p})$ [2001Ny01](#),[1972Bu17](#) (continued)

^{65}Cu Levels (continued)

[‡] From [2001Ny01](#). The uncertainty is assigned by the evaluator based authors' statement that $\Delta E=2$ keV is for the strongest proton groups, and increases to $\Delta E=5$ keV for the weakest and the σ data, as given under comments measured at 12.5° .

[#] From DWBA analysis and j-dependence of measured $\sigma(\theta)$ ([2001Ny01](#)).