

<sup>238</sup>U(<sup>64</sup>Ni,Xγ) 2012Ch09

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jun Chen	NDS 202,59 (2025)	25-Feb-2025

Adapted from the XUNDL dataset for 2012Ch09, compiled by M. Birch and B. Singh (McMaster) on February 17, 2012.

2012Ch09: E=430 MeV <sup>64</sup>Ni beam was produced from the ATLAS accelerator at ANL. Target was 55 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> enriched <sup>238</sup>U. γ rays were detected with the Gammasphere array with one hundred Compton-suppressed HPGe detectors used. Measured E<sub>γ</sub>, I<sub>γ</sub>, γγ-coin, γ(θ), γγ(θ). Deduced levels, J, π, γ-ray multipolarities, mixing ratios. Comparison with shell-model calculations.

<sup>65</sup>Cu Levels

E(level) <sup>†‡</sup>	J <sup>π</sup> <sup>#</sup>	E(level) <sup>†‡</sup>	J <sup>π</sup> <sup>#</sup>	E(level) <sup>†‡</sup>	J <sup>π</sup> <sup>#</sup>	E(level) <sup>†‡</sup>
0.0	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	2279.17 10	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	3659.81 11	13/2 <sup>+</sup>	5486.03 17
1115.60 7	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	2406.21 9	(7/2,9/2) <sup>-</sup>	4006.46 13	13/2 <sup>+</sup>	6233.23 27
1481.81 7	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	2533.71 8	9/2 <sup>+</sup>	4074.73 14	(15/2) <sup>+</sup>	
1623.73 24	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	2998.19 11	11/2 <sup>-</sup>	4355.92 16	17/2 <sup>+</sup>	
2094.17 7	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	3547.69 19	(11/2) <sup>+</sup>	4936.54 16		

<sup>†</sup> Additional information 1.

<sup>‡</sup> From a least-squares fit to γ-ray energies.

<sup>#</sup> As given in 2012Ch09, based on measured γ(θ) and γ-ray decay pattern, and shell-model predictions.

γ(<sup>65</sup>Cu)

A<sub>2</sub> and A<sub>2</sub> values under comments are from 2012Ch09, deduced from unpublished data of <sup>48</sup>Ca+<sup>26</sup>Mg reaction at ANL.

E <sub>γ</sub> <sup>†</sup>	I <sub>γ</sub> <sup>†</sup>	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	J <sub>i</sub> <sup>π</sup>	E <sub>f</sub>	J <sub>f</sub> <sup>π</sup>	Mult. <sup>‡</sup>	δ <sup>‡</sup>	Comments
254.5 1	25.5 6	2533.71	9/2 <sup>+</sup>	2279.17	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	D <sup>@</sup>		A <sub>2</sub> =-0.25 5; A <sub>4</sub> =0.00 7
281.1 1	30.9 5	4355.92	17/2 <sup>+</sup>	4074.73	(15/2) <sup>+</sup>	D+Q <sup>@</sup>	+0.4	A <sub>2</sub> =+0.20 2; A <sub>4</sub> =-0.05 2 Evaluator's note: positive A <sub>2</sub> from γ(θ) is inconsistent with ΔJ=1.
312.1 5	11.8 4	2406.21	(7/2,9/2) <sup>-</sup>	2094.17	7/2 <sup>-</sup>			
350.0 3	7 3	4355.92	17/2 <sup>+</sup>	4006.46	13/2 <sup>+</sup>	Q <sup>#</sup>		A <sub>2</sub> =+0.33 13; A <sub>4</sub> =0
366.3 5	16.6 5	1481.81	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	1115.60	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	D(+Q) <sup>@</sup>	0.0	A <sub>2</sub> =-0.23 7; A <sub>4</sub> =0.00 9
414.8 1	68.2 11	4074.73	(15/2) <sup>+</sup>	3659.81	13/2 <sup>+</sup>	D(+Q) <sup>@</sup>		A <sub>2</sub> =+0.15 3; A <sub>4</sub> =0.00 4 Evaluator's note: positive A <sub>2</sub> from γ(θ) is inconsistent with ΔJ=1.
439.5 1	100 3	2533.71	9/2 <sup>+</sup>	2094.17	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	D <sup>@</sup>		A <sub>2</sub> =-0.17 3; A <sub>4</sub> =+0.04 4
458.4 7	5 2	4006.46	13/2 <sup>+</sup>	3547.69	(11/2) <sup>+</sup>			
470.5 5	7 4	2094.17	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	1623.73	5/2 <sup>-</sup>			
507.9 10	7 4	1623.73	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	1115.60	5/2 <sup>-</sup>			
527.4 3	4.5 2	4074.73	(15/2) <sup>+</sup>	3547.69	(11/2) <sup>+</sup>	(Q) <sup>#</sup>		A <sub>2</sub> =+0.03 10; A <sub>4</sub> =0
549.5 2	6 2	5486.03		4936.54		D+Q <sup>#</sup>		A <sub>2</sub> =-0.29 16; A <sub>4</sub> =0
591.9 1	32 9	2998.19	11/2 <sup>-</sup>	2406.21	(7/2,9/2) <sup>-</sup>			
612.1 2	18.2 5	2094.17	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	1481.81	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	D+Q <sup>#</sup>		A <sub>2</sub> =-0.45 32; A <sub>4</sub> =0 Mult.: ΔJ=0 transition. Evaluator's note: negative A <sub>2</sub> from γ(θ) is inconsistent with ΔJ=0.
656&	≈5	2279.17	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	1623.73	5/2 <sup>-</sup>			
661.5 1	31.8 12	3659.81	13/2 <sup>+</sup>	2998.19	11/2 <sup>-</sup>	D <sup>@</sup>		A <sub>2</sub> =-0.14 11; A <sub>4</sub> =+0.04 12
696.6 5	32.7 4	4355.92	17/2 <sup>+</sup>	3659.81	13/2 <sup>+</sup>	Q <sup>#</sup>		A <sub>2</sub> =+0.25 18; A <sub>4</sub> =0

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

<sup>238</sup>U(<sup>64</sup>Ni,X $\gamma$ ) **2012Ch09 (continued)**

$\gamma$ (<sup>65</sup>Cu) (continued)

$E_\gamma$ <sup>†</sup>	$I_\gamma$ <sup>†</sup>	$E_i$ (level)	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>‡</sup>	$\delta$ <sup>‡</sup>	Comments
747.2 2	5 2	6233.23		5486.03				
861.8 1	16.4 14	4936.54		4074.73	(15/2) <sup>+</sup>	D+Q <sup>#</sup>	$\approx -1.0$	$A_2 = -0.63$ 11; $A_4 = 0$
924.3 1	27.3 5	2406.21	(7/2,9/2) <sup>-</sup>	1481.81	7/2 <sup>-</sup>			
978.5 1	53.7 16	2094.17	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	1115.60	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	D+Q <sup>@</sup>	+0.4	$A_2 = +0.21$ 3; $A_4 = -0.06$ 4 Evaluator's note: positive $A_2$ from $\gamma(\theta)$ is inconsistent with $\Delta J = 1$ .
1014.1 2	19 3	3547.69	(11/2) <sup>+</sup>	2533.71	9/2 <sup>+</sup>			
1052.1 1	91 2	2533.71	9/2 <sup>+</sup>	1481.81	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	D <sup>@</sup>		$A_2 = -0.21$ 20; $A_4 = -0.03$ 23
1115.5 1	91 9	1115.60	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	0.0	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	D+Q <sup>@</sup>	-0.1	$A_2 = -0.37$ 5; $A_4 = +0.03$ 5
1126.1 1	77 3	3659.81	13/2 <sup>+</sup>	2533.71	9/2 <sup>+</sup>	Q		$A_2 = +0.18$ 5; $A_4 = -0.03$ 6
1130.1 1	8.2 5	5486.03		4355.92	17/2 <sup>+</sup>			
1163.5 1	31 4	2279.17	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	1115.60	5/2 <sup>-</sup>			
1290.6 1	18 9	2406.21	(7/2,9/2) <sup>-</sup>	1115.60	5/2 <sup>-</sup>			
1472.8 1	16 3	4006.46	13/2 <sup>+</sup>	2533.71	9/2 <sup>+</sup>			
1481.8 1	91 12	1481.81	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	0.0	3/2 <sup>-</sup>			
1516.2 2	8.2 3	2998.19	11/2 <sup>-</sup>	1481.81	7/2 <sup>-</sup>			
1623.8 3	12 9	1623.73	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	0.0	3/2 <sup>-</sup>			
2094.2 1	31.8 7	2094.17	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	0.0	3/2 <sup>-</sup>			
2534.1 10	7.0 13	2533.71	9/2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	3/2 <sup>-</sup>			

<sup>†</sup> From 2012Ch09.

<sup>‡</sup> From 2012Ch09, based on measured  $\gamma(\theta)$ .

<sup>#</sup>  $A_4$  coefficient in  $\gamma(\theta)$  analysis was constrained to zero to avoid an unphysical or unreliable result (2012Ch09).

<sup>@</sup>  $\Delta J = 1$  transition from  $\gamma(\theta)$  (2012Ch09).

<sup>&</sup> Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

$^{238}\text{U}(^{64}\text{Ni},\text{X}\gamma)$  2012Ch09

Legend

Level Scheme

Intensities: Relative  $I_\gamma$

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- - - -  $\gamma$  Decay (Uncertain)

