

⁶⁵Fe β⁻ decay (0.805 s) 2019OI02,2009Pa16

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jun Chen	NDS 202,59 (2025)	25-Feb-2025

Parent: ⁶⁵Fe: E=0; J^π=(1/2⁻); T_{1/2}=0.805 s 10; Q(β⁻)=7967 6; %β⁻ decay=100

⁶⁵Fe-J^π,T_{1/2}: From Adopted Levels of ⁶⁵Fe. Adopted T_{1/2} is taken from 2019OI02 in this dataset. Other: 0.81 s 5 (2009Pa16).

⁶⁵Fe-Q(β⁻): From 2021Wa16.

Adapted from the XUNDL dataset for 2009Pa16 compiled by B. Karamy and B. Singh (McMaster), also including some data received from D. Pauwels in an email reply to B. Singh on May 12, 2009; and the XUNDL dataset for 2019OI02 compiled by E.A. McCutchan (NNDC,BNL) on December 20, 2020.

2019OI02: ⁶⁵Fe source was from the decay of ⁶⁵Mn produced by ²³⁸U(p,F) with 1.4 MeV proton from the pulsed CERN Proton Synchrotron Booster on an UC_x target. Fission products thermally released from the target were ionized by the ISOLDE Resonance Ionization Laser Ion Source (RILIS), mass separated and implanted on a thin aluminum foil surrounded by a fast plastic scintillator for detecting β particles and two truncated-cone shaped LaBr₃(Ce) crystals and two HPGe detectors for detecting γ rays. Measured E_γ, I_γ, βγγ-coin, βγ(t), βγγ(t). Deduced levels, J, π, T_{1/2}, β-decay branching ratios, log ft. Comparisons with shell-model calculations with the LNPS interaction. Even though a saturated mixed source of ⁶⁵Fe g.s. and isomer was used, the decay scheme of ⁶⁵Fe g.s. β decay can be constructed based on γγ-coin gating on 883γ and γ singles which are only seen in the decay of ⁶⁵Fe ground state.

2009Pa16: ⁶⁵Fe source was produced by ²³⁸U(p,F) with 30 MeV proton from the LISOL facility of the Cyclotron Research Center (CRC) at Louvain-La-Neuve (Belgium) on a 10 mg/cm² ²³⁸U target inside a gas catcher for stopping and thermalizing the recoiling fission products. Ions leaving the gas are transported through a SextuPole Ion Guide (SPIG), accelerated, mass separated, and implanted into a detection tape surrounded by three thin plastic ΔE detectors for detecting β particles and two MINIBALL clusters for detecting γ rays. Measured E_γ, I_γ, βγγ-coin, γ(t). Deduced levels, J, π, parent T_{1/2}, β-decay branching ratios, log ft. 2009Pa16 also study ⁶⁵Fe decay using ⁶⁵Fe source produced in deep inelastic reaction ²³⁸U(⁶⁴Ni,Xγ) with E=430 ⁶⁴Ni beam at ANL and measuring βγγγ-coin.

2005GaZR (thesis): ⁶⁵Fe source is from the decay of ⁶⁵Mn produced by fragmentation of a 61.8 MeV/nucleon ⁷⁶Ge beam on a ⁵⁸Ni target at GANIL. Measured E_γ, I_γ. Deduced levels, J, π, decay branching ratios, log ft. Report 7 transitions.

The decay scheme is considered incomplete by the evaluator due to possible missing levels in a large energy gap of about 5.5 MeV between the highest observed level at E=2470 keV and Q-value=7967 keV 6, mainly because of possible unobserved γ rays from those levels.

⁶⁵Co Levels

E(level) ^{†‡}	J ^π [#]	T _{1/2} [@]	Comments
0.0	(7/2) ⁻	1.16 [#] s 3	
882.69 7	(3/2) ⁻	4 ps 4	
1095.34 8	(1/2) ⁻	1.250 ns 20	
1222.76 7	(3/2) ⁻	55 ps 6	
1557.47 7	(3/2 ⁻ ,5/2,7/2 ⁻)		
1948.20 12			
1959.13 8	(3/2) ⁻	<90 ps	J ^π : (1/2 ⁻ ,3/2 ⁻) in 2009Pa16 and 2019OI02.
1996.52 6	(3/2) ⁻	<90 ps	
2183.83 11	(1/2 ⁻ ,3/2 ⁻)	<160 ps	
2276.07 12			
2470.12 12	(3/2 ⁻ ,5/2,7/2 ⁻)		

[†] Additional information 1.

[‡] From a least-squares fit to γ-ray energies.

[#] From Adopted Levels.

[@] From βγ(t) or βγγ(t) (883 and 1223 levels only) in 2019OI02, with the limit deduced from lack of a delayed component in βγ(t), unless otherwise noted. Values are adopted in Adopted Levels.

^{65}Fe β^- decay (0.805 s) 2019OI02,2009Pa16 (continued) β^- radiationsav $E\beta$: [Additional information 2](#).

<u>E(decay)</u>	<u>E(level)</u>	<u>$I\beta^{-\dagger\ddagger}$</u>	<u>Log ft</u>	<u>Comments</u>
(5497 6)	2470.12	1.29	5.98	av $E\beta=2489.1$ 29 $I\beta^-$: 1.3 1 (2019OI02).
(5691 6)	2276.07	0.49	6.5	av $E\beta=2583.4$ 29 $I\beta^-$: 0.5 1 (2019OI02).
(5783 6)	2183.83	11.1	5.1	av $E\beta=2628.1$ 29 $I\beta^-$: 11.2 7 (2019OI02), 10 2 (2009Pa16).
(5971 6)	1996.52	36.3	4.69	av $E\beta=2719.0$ 29 $I\beta^-$: 35 2 (2019OI02), 40 6 (2009Pa16).
(6008 6)	1959.13	22.3	4.91	av $E\beta=2737.5$ 29 $I\beta^-$: 24 1 (2019OI02), 20 3 (2009Pa16).
(6019 6)	1948.20	0.55	6.5	av $E\beta=2742.8$ 29 $I\beta^-$: 0.6 1 (2019OI02).
(6410 6)	1557.47	0.92	6.4	av $E\beta=2933.0$ 29 $I\beta^-$: 0.9 2 (2019OI02).
(6744 6)	1222.76	17.8	5.2	av $E\beta=3095.5$ 29 $I\beta^-$: 18 3 (2019OI02), 18 5 (2009Pa16).
(6872 6)	1095.34	2.5	6.1	av $E\beta=3157.8$ 29 $I\beta^-$: 2.8 6 (2019OI02), <3 (2009Pa16).
(7084 6)	882.69	7	5.9	av $E\beta=3261.1$ 29 $I\beta^-$: 5 5 (2019OI02), 12 3 (2009Pa16).

\dagger From $\gamma+ce$ intensity balance at each level. All β feedings should be considered as upper limits and thus associated log ft values as lower limits, due to possible missing γ rays in this incomplete decay scheme. Original values from 2019OI02 and 2009Pa16 deduced in the same way by the authors are given under comments.

\ddagger Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

⁶⁵Fe β⁻ decay (0.805 s) [2019OI02,2009Pa16](#) (continued)

γ(⁶⁵Co)

I_γ normalization: 0.613 31 from Σ[%I(γ+ce to g.s.)]=100. Due to possible missing unobserved transitions to g.s. in this incomplete decay scheme, this value should be considered as an upper limit. [2019OI02](#) use intensity balance of entire A=65 decay chain to determine that β feeding to ground state is <0.3%. [2009Pa16](#) give a normalization factor of 0.20 6, which the evaluator could not reproduce from the level scheme given by [2009Pa16](#).

3

<u>E_γ[‡]</u>	<u>I_γ^{‡#}</u>	<u>E_i(level)</u>	<u>J_i^π</u>	<u>E_f</u>	<u>J_f^π</u>	<u>Mult.</u>	<u>α[†]</u>	<u>Comments</u>
127.3 1	2.2 2	1222.76	(3/2 ⁻)	1095.34	(1/2 ⁻)	[M1]	0.02147 30	α(K)=0.01926 27; α(L)=0.001924 27; α(M)=0.000268 4 α(N)=1.171×10 ⁻⁵ 17 %I _γ =1.3 E _γ ,I _γ : others: 127.6 3 with I _γ =3.4 12 (2009Pa16); 127.7 5 with I _γ =2 1 (2009Pa16 , ⁶⁵ Fe from deep inelastic).
212.7 1	12.1 9	1095.34	(1/2 ⁻)	882.69	(3/2 ⁻)	[M1,E2]	0.018 12	α(K)=0.016 11; α(L)=0.0016 11; α(M)=2.3×10 ⁻⁴ 15 α(N)=9 %I _γ =7.4 E _γ : others: 212.5 2 (2009Pa16); 212.0 2 with I _γ =6 2 (2009Pa16 , ⁶⁵ Fe from deep inelastic). I _γ : weighted average of 11.1 13 (2009Pa16) and 12.5 9 (2019OI02).
340.10 6	48 2	1222.76	(3/2 ⁻)	882.69	(3/2 ⁻)	[M1,E2]	0.0037 18	α(K)=0.0033 16; α(L)=3.2×10 ⁻⁴ 16; α(M)=4.5×10 ⁻⁵ 22 α(N)=1.9×10 ⁻⁶ 9 %I _γ =29 E _γ : weighted average of 340.07 6 (2009Pa16) and 340.2 1 (2019OI02). Others: 339.7 2 with I _γ =40 3 (2009Pa16 , ⁶⁵ Fe from deep inelastic); 340 2 with I _γ =28 (2005GaZR); 340.0 3 assigned to ⁶⁵ Ni by 1988Bo06 .
439.1 1	1.7 1	1996.52	(3/2 ⁻)	1557.47	(3/2 ⁻ ,5/2,7/2 ⁻)			%I _γ =1.0
626.4 2	0.4 1	2183.83	(1/2 ⁻ ,3/2 ⁻)	1557.47	(3/2 ⁻ ,5/2,7/2 ⁻)			%I _γ =0.25
674.9 1	1.3 1	1557.47	(3/2 ⁻ ,5/2,7/2 ⁻)	882.69	(3/2 ⁻)			%I _γ =0.8
736.4 1	24 2	1959.13	(3/2 ⁻)	1222.76	(3/2 ⁻)			%I _γ =15 E _γ : others: 736.1 10 (2009Pa16); 736.1 2 with I _γ =20 1 (2009Pa16 , ⁶⁵ Fe from deep inelastic); 738 2 with I _γ =20 (2005GaZR).
773.8 1	4.6 3	1996.52	(3/2 ⁻)	1222.76	(3/2 ⁻)			I _γ : weighted average of 22 2 (2009Pa16) and 26 2 (2019OI02). %I _γ =2.8 E _γ ,I _γ : others: 774.0 10 with I _γ =6 4 (2009Pa16); 773.8 5 with I _γ =4 2 (2009Pa16 , ⁶⁵ Fe from deep inelastic).
863.9 1	1.4 1	1959.13	(3/2 ⁻)	1095.34	(1/2 ⁻)			%I _γ =0.86 E _γ ,I _γ : others: 864.0 10 with I _γ =1.8 10 (2009Pa16); 863.8 5 with I _γ =3 1 (2009Pa16 , ⁶⁵ Fe from deep inelastic).

⁶⁵Fe β⁻ decay (0.805 s) [2019O102,2009Pa16](#) (continued)

$\gamma(^{65}\text{Co})$ (continued)								
E_γ ‡	I_γ ‡#	E_i (level)	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	α^\dagger	Comments
882.65 15	100 7	882.69	(3/2 ⁻)	0.0	(7/2) ⁻	[E2]	0.000296 4	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.000267$ 4; $\alpha(\text{L})=2.59\times 10^{-5}$ 4; $\alpha(\text{M})=3.60\times 10^{-6}$ 5 $\alpha(\text{N})=1.599\times 10^{-7}$ 22 %I γ =61 E γ : unweighted average of 882.50 9 (2009Pa16) and 882.8 1 (2019O102). Others: 883.3 2 with I γ =100 (2009Pa16 , ⁶⁵ Fe from deep inelastic); 882 2 with I γ =100 (2005GaZR); 882.6 5 assigned to ⁶⁵ Ni by 1988Bo06 . %I γ =0.55 %I γ =8.6 E γ : unweighted average of 960.5 2 (2009Pa16) and 961.1 1 (2019O102). Others: 961.4 2 with I γ =13 3 (2009Pa16 , ⁶⁵ Fe from deep inelastic); 961 2 (2005GaZR). I γ : weighted average of 9 3 (2009Pa16) and 14 1 (2019O102). Other: 12 (2005GaZR). %I γ =0.49 %I γ =0.55 %I γ =6.5 E γ : others: 1076.2 3 (2009Pa16), 1077 2 (2005GaZR). I γ : weighted average of 8.3 18 (2009Pa16) and 11.1 8 (2019O102). Other: 39 from 2005GaZR is discrepant. %I γ =2.3 E γ , I γ : others: 1088.7 6 with I γ =3.9 13 (2009Pa16); 1089.1 2 with I γ =8 3 (2009Pa16 , ⁶⁵ Fe from deep inelastic). %I γ =8.6 E γ , I γ : other: 1113.5 3 with I γ =15 2 (2009Pa16). $\alpha(\text{K})=0.0001234$ 17; $\alpha(\text{L})=1.189\times 10^{-5}$ 17; $\alpha(\text{M})=1.658\times 10^{-6}$ 23 $\alpha(\text{N})=7.40\times 10^{-8}$ 10; $\alpha(\text{IPF})=1.258\times 10^{-5}$ 18 %I γ =13 E γ : others: 1222.7 2 (2009Pa16); 1222 2 (2005GaZR). I γ : weighted average of 23 3 (2009Pa16) and 21 2 (2019O102). Other: 12 (2005GaZR). %I γ =1.4 %I γ =0.98 %I γ =0.25 I γ : from the level scheme in FIG.4 of 2019O102 . The value of 0.1 1 in TABLE I of 2019O102 is likely a misprint. Authors' B(E2)(W.u.) value for this γ in TABLE III is consistent with I γ =0.4 but not 0.1. %I γ =23 E γ : others: 1996.6 4 (2009Pa16); 1993 2 (2005GaZR).
901.2 1 960.8 3	0.9 1 14 2	1996.52 2183.83	(3/2 ⁻) (1/2 ⁻ ,3/2 ⁻)	1095.34 1222.76	(1/2) ⁻ (3/2) ⁻			
1053.3 1 1065.5 1 1076.3 1	0.8 1 0.9 1 10.6 11	2276.07 1948.20 1959.13	(3/2 ⁻)	1222.76 882.69 882.69	(3/2) ⁻ (3/2) ⁻ (3/2) ⁻			
1088.5 1	3.7 3	2183.83	(1/2 ⁻ ,3/2 ⁻)	1095.34	(1/2) ⁻			
1113.7 1	14 1	1996.52	(3/2 ⁻)	882.69	(3/2) ⁻			
1222.8 1	22 2	1222.76	(3/2 ⁻)	0.0	(7/2) ⁻	[E2]	0.0001496 21	
1557.4 1 1587.4 1 1958.8 5	2.3 2 1.6 1 0.4 1	1557.47 2470.12 1959.13	(3/2 ⁻ ,5/2,7/2 ⁻) (3/2 ⁻ ,5/2,7/2 ⁻) (3/2 ⁻)	0.0 882.69 0.0	(7/2) ⁻ (3/2) ⁻ (7/2) ⁻			
1996.5 1	38 4	1996.52	(3/2 ⁻)	0.0	(7/2) ⁻			

⁶⁵Fe β⁻ decay (0.805 s) 2019O102,2009Pa16 (continued)

γ(⁶⁵Co) (continued)

<u>E_γ[‡]</u>	<u>I_γ^{‡#}</u>	<u>E_i(level)</u>	<u>J_i^π</u>	<u>E_f</u>	<u>J_f^π</u>	<u>Comments</u>
2470.4 5	0.5 1	2470.12	(3/2 ⁻ ,5/2,7/2 ⁻)	0.0	(7/2) ⁻	I _γ : weighted average of 44 4 (2009Pa16) and 35 3 (2019O102). Other: 18 from 2005GaZR is discrepant. Additional information 3. %I _γ =0.31

[†] Additional information 4.

[‡] From 2019O102, unless otherwise noted. Values reported in 2009Pa16 are from the measurement using ⁶⁵Fe source from ²³⁸U(p,F); values from the decay of ⁶⁵Fe source produced in deep inelastic reaction ²³⁸U(⁶⁴Ni,Xγ) in 2009Pa16 are given under comments as noted where available and these values were communicated to B. Singh in an e-mail reply from D. Pauwels (first author of 2009Pa16) on May 12, 2009.

[#] For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.613.

$^{65}\text{Fe} \beta^-$ decay (0.805 s) 2019OI02,2009Pa16

Decay Scheme

Intensities: I_γ per 100 parent decays

Legend

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- Coincidence

