

$^9\text{Be}(^{67}\text{As}, ^{65}\text{As}\gamma)$  **2011Ob02**

<u>Type</u>	<u>Author</u>	<u>History Citation</u>	<u>Literature Cutoff Date</u>
Full Evaluation	Jun Chen	NDS 202,59 (2025)	25-Feb-2025

Adapted from the XUNDL dataset of [2011Ob02](#), compiled by F.G. Kondev (ANL), on July 3, 2011.

**2011Ob02:** secondary  $^{67}\text{As}$  beam was produced by fragmentation of a  $^{78}\text{Kr}$  beam at energy of 140 MeV/nucleon on a 329 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>  $^9\text{Be}$  target at NSCL. Fragments were identified and separated using the A1900 separator. The secondary target was 376 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>  $^9\text{Be}$ .  $\gamma$  rays were detected with the SeGA array consisting 17 segmented HPGe detectors. Beam-like reaction products were analyzed by the S800 spectrograph. Measured  $E_\gamma$ , Doppler-shift line shape. Deduced levels,  $T_{1/2}$ . Comparisons with shell-model calculations.

 $^{65}\text{As}$  Levels

<u><math>E(\text{level})^\dagger</math></u>	<u><math>J^\pi^\ddagger</math></u>	<u><math>T_{1/2}</math></u>	<u>Comments</u>
0.0 187 3	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> ) (5/2 <sup>-</sup> )	0.27 ns 14	E(level): the Coulomb energy difference of +76 keV 3 was deduced from the excitation energies of the 5/2 <sup>-</sup> state in $^{65}\text{As}$ and $^{65}\text{Ge}$ ( <a href="#">2011Ob02</a> ). $T_{1/2}$ : from analysis of the observed lineshape of 187 $\gamma$ with Monte-Carlo simulations ( <a href="#">2011Ob02</a> ).

<sup>†</sup> From  $E_\gamma$ .

<sup>‡</sup> From calculations using DIS Gogny interactions and shell-model approach using the JUN45 interactions predict oblate-deformed shape ([2011Ob02](#)). The ordering of 3/2<sup>-</sup> and 5/2<sup>-</sup> states is tentative.

 $\gamma(^{65}\text{As})$ 

<u><math>E_i(\text{level})</math></u>	<u><math>J_i^\pi</math></u>	<u><math>E_\gamma</math></u>	<u><math>I_\gamma</math></u>	<u><math>E_f</math></u>	<u><math>J_f^\pi</math></u>	<u>Comments</u>
187	(5/2 <sup>-</sup> )	187 3	100	0.0	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> )	$E_\gamma$ : the uncertainty in energy results from the quadratic sum of uncertainties on the energy calibration and the peak-maximum determination ( <a href="#">2011Ob02</a> ).

$^9\text{Be}(^{67}\text{As}, ^{65}\text{As}\gamma)$  2011Ob02Level Scheme

Intensities: % photon branching from each level

