### <sup>63</sup>Ni(n,γ) E=th 1992Ha21,2020Ma37

History									
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date						
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh and Jun Chen	NDS 178,41 (2021).	12-Nov-2021						

 $J^{\pi}(^{63}\text{Ni g.s.})=1/2^{-}$ .

1992Ha21: double neutron capture in enriched (97.5%)  $^{62}$ Ni with thermal neutrons from the ILL high-flux reactor.  $\gamma$  rays measured with pair and Compton suppressed Ge detectors. Measured E $\gamma$ , I $\gamma$ . Deduced levels.

2020Ma37: E=thermal at ILL, Grenoble. Measured primary and secondary  $\gamma$  rays in singles and coincidence,  $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ , using 2GBq <sup>63</sup>Ni radioactive target from CERN n\_TOF using FIPPS array of 16 clover HPGe detectors. Detailed results of this experiment are to be published elsewhere.

1975Wi06: thermal neutrons from the Oak Ridge high-flux isotope reactor (HFIR) on a <sup>63</sup>Ni target.  $\gamma$  rays were detected with a pair spectrometer. Two primary  $\gamma$  rays, 9655.9 4 (I $\gamma$ =2.51 5) and 8311.7 5 (I $\gamma$ =0.37 9), feeding g.s. and 1344 level, respectively, were reported.

Other: 1972Mo46.

Additional information 1.

Thermal capture  $\sigma$ =20 b +5-2 (1992Ha21).

<sup>64</sup>Ni Levels

S(n)=9657.46 20 (2021Wa16).

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^{\pi \#}$	Comments
0.0	$0^{+}$	
1345.775 22	2+	
2276.58 3	2+	
2867.35 6	$0^{+}$	
2972.11 5	$(1,2^+)$	$J^{\pi}$ : 2 <sup>+</sup> proposed in 2020Ma37 but no arguments given.
3025.85 4	$0^{+}$	$J^{\pi}$ : spin=0 from 1680 $\gamma$ -1346 $\gamma(\theta)$ in (n, $\gamma$ ) E=th (2020Ma37); 1680 $\gamma$ E2, $\Delta J$ =2 to 2 <sup>+</sup> .
3153.73 4	2+	$J^{\pi}$ : 1 <sup>+</sup> reported by 2020Ma37, but no arguments given.
3275.99 5	2+	
3463.63 4	0+ <b>@</b>	$J^{\pi}$ : spin=0 from 2117 $\gamma$ -1346 $\gamma(\theta)$ in (n, $\gamma$ ) E=th (2020Ma37); primary $\gamma$ from 1 <sup>-</sup> expected to be E1; 310 $\gamma$ , 492 $\gamma$ and 1187 $\gamma$ to 2 <sup>+</sup> .
3578.61? <sup>‡</sup> 5	$(1^{+})$	
3647.98 6	2+	$J^{\pi}$ : spin=2 from 2302 $\gamma$ -1346 $\gamma$ in (n, $\gamma$ ) E=th (2020Ma37).
3749.01 5	2+	$J^{\pi}$ : spin=2 from 2403 $\gamma$ -1346 $\gamma$ in (n, $\gamma$ ) E=th (2020Ma37).
3798.7	2+	E(level), $J^{\pi}$ : from 2020Ma37. The authors state that $J^{\pi}=2^+$ is firmly established in their $(n,\gamma)$ E=th experiment, but no further details are given. This level is not seen in other studies.
3856.1 7	0+	$J^{\pi}$ : 2020Ma37 note that 0 <sup>+</sup> is established based on a 702 $\gamma$ -3154 $\gamma$ correlation cascade from a (n, $\gamma$ ) E=th experiment at ILL, which has not been published.
4268.26 5	$0^{+}$	
4573.15 5	2+	
4615.60 6	(1,2)	
4640.68 5	2+	
4704.09 5	0+ @	
4868.53 5	(1,2)	
5155.52 6	$(0^+, 1, 2, 3^-)$	
5418.22 6	$(1)^{-}$	
5768.67 6	$0^{+}$	
(9657.66 3)	1-	E(level): S(n)=9657.46 20 (2021Wa16).
		J <sup><math>\pi</math></sup> : s-wave capture in 1/2 <sup>-</sup> ( <sup>63</sup> Ni g.s.), strong $\gamma$ transition to 0 <sup>+</sup> . The 0 <sup>-</sup> component in the capture state is expected to be negligible.

<sup>†</sup> From a least-squares fit to  $\gamma$ -ray energies.

### <sup>63</sup>Ni(n,γ) E=th 1992Ha21,2020Ma37 (continued)

# <sup>64</sup>Ni Levels (continued)

- <sup>‡</sup> Proposed by the evaluators from the placements of unplaced transitions in 1992Ha21 based on the decay scheme in <sup>64</sup>Co  $\beta^-$  decay (2012Pa39).
- <sup>#</sup> From Adopted Levels, unless otherwise noted. Supporting arguments from this dataset are given in footnotes or comments where available.
- <sup>(a)</sup> From 2020Ma37. The authors state that the decay pattern is only consistent with 0<sup>+</sup> based on an unpublished  $(n,\gamma)$  E=th experiment at ILL and that  $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$  of a cascade toward 1346 level also yields firm 0<sup>+</sup> assignment.

	$^{63}$ Ni(n, $\gamma$ ) E=th 1992Ha21,2020Ma37 (continued)									
$\gamma$ ( <sup>64</sup> Ni)										
$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger d}$	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathrm{J}_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$\mathbf{J}_{f}^{\pi}$	Mult. <sup>b</sup>	δ	Comments		
310 <sup>@</sup>	0.11	3463.63	$0^{+}$	3153.73	$2^{+}$			$I_{\gamma}$ : from I(310 $\gamma$ )/I(1187 $\gamma$ )=4.6/100 (2020Ma37) and I(1187 $\gamma$ ).		
492 <sup>@</sup>	0.025	3463.63	$0^{+}$	2972.11	$(1,2^+)$			$I_{\gamma}$ : from I(492 $\gamma$ )/I(1187 $\gamma$ )=1.0/100 (2020Ma37) and I(1187 $\gamma$ ).		
<sup>x</sup> 658.14 5 702 <sup>e</sup>	0.51 10	3856.1	$0^{+}$	3153.73	2+			$E_{\gamma}$ : 2020Ma37 mention a 702 $\gamma$ -3154 $\gamma$ correlation cascade from a		
749.23 4	1.12 22	3025.85	$0^{+}$	2276.58	2+			$I_{\gamma}$ : other: $I(749\gamma)/I(1680\gamma)=3.6 2/100 (2020Ma37).$		
877.15 <sup>&amp;e</sup> 5	0.80 16	3153.73	2+	2276.58	2+					
930.81 3	10.9 22	2276.58	2+	1345.775	2+					
1114.58 <sup>&amp;e</sup> 4	1.08 22	4268.26	$0^{+}$	3153.73	2+					
1187.02 3	2.5 5	3463.63	$0^{+}$	2276.58	2+					
1345.84 3	57.0 11	1345.775	2+	0.0	0+					
1521.56 20 5	0.42 8	2867.35	$0^+$	1345.775	$2^+$					
1626.30° 7	0.40 8	2972.11	$(1,2^{+})$	1345.775	2*					
*1637.03+ <i>13</i>	0.30 6	2025 85	0+	1245 775	2+	0				
1000.074	24 5	2152 72	0 2 <sup>+</sup>	1245.775	2 2+	Q				
1930 19 10	0.85 17 0.21 4	3275 99	$\frac{2}{2^{+}}$	1345.775	$\frac{2}{2^{+}}$	(M1 + E2)				
<sup>x</sup> 2059.51 <i>13</i>	0.201 20	0210177	-	10 101770	-	(1111 22)				
2117.86 7	0.49 5	3463.63	$0^{+}$	1345.775	2+	Q		$I_{\gamma}$ : other: I(2117 $\gamma$ )/I(1187 $\gamma$ )=23/100 (2020Ma37).		
<sup>x</sup> 2190.01 22	0.29 3									
$x_{2197.30} = 20$	0.218 22					C				
*2214.47" 9	0.273	2570 (10		1015 555	2+	C				
2232.89 6	1.04 10	35/8.61?	$(1^+)$ 2 <sup>+</sup>	1345.775	2+	$(M1\pm F2)$				
2339.17 12	0.34 4	4615.60	(1.2)	2276.58	$\frac{2}{2^{+}}$	(IVII+L2)				
2403.25 7	0.54 5	3749.01	2+	1345.775	2+	E2+M1	+1.23 10	Mult., $\delta$ : D+Q and $\delta$ from $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ in <sup>63</sup> Ni(n, $\gamma$ ) E=th; E1+M2 disfavored by the large $\delta$ and RUL.		
2427.50 9	0.37 4	4704.09	$0^{+}$	2276.58	2+					
2453 <sup>e</sup>		3798.7	2+	1345.775	2+	(M1+E2)		$E_{\gamma}$ : from level-energy difference. A transition to 1346 level is mentioned but its energy is not given by 2020Ma37.		
x2500.56 15	0.129 13									
<sup>x</sup> 2571 44 8	0.124 12									
x2686.85 6	0.237 24									
<sup>x</sup> 2747.63 8	0.124 12									
<sup>x</sup> 2765.76 9	0.100 10									
~2837.29 11	0.110 11	5155 52	$(0^{+} 1 2 2^{-})$	2276 50	2+					
x2888.24 8	0.140 13	5155.54	(0,1,2,3)	2210.30	2					

 $^{64}_{28}\mathrm{Ni}_{36}$ -3

From ENSDF

 $^{64}_{28}\mathrm{Ni}_{36}\text{--}3$ 

$^{63}$ Ni(n, $\gamma$ ) E=th 1992Ha21,2020Ma37 (continued)									
$\gamma$ ( <sup>64</sup> Ni) (continued)									
$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger d}$	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$E_f$	${ m J}_f^\pi$	Comments			
2922.07 <sup>&amp;e</sup> 9	0.117 12	4268.26	$0^{+}$	1345.775	2+				
x2956.61.9	0.107 17 0.123 12								
2972.04 <sup>e</sup> 6	0.28 3	2972.11	$(1,2^{+})$	0.0	$0^{+}$				
x3029.90 8	0.186 9								
3153.68 <sup>&amp;e</sup> 7	1.10 5	3153.73	2+	0.0	$0^{+}$				
3227.31 6	0.61 3	4573.15	2+	1345.775	2+				
3275.90 6	0.65 3	3275.99	2+	0.0	$0^{+}$				
3294.90 7	0.429 21	4640.68	2+	1345.775	2+				
3358.24 6	0.59 3	4704.09	$0^{+}$	1345.775	2+				
3492.33 11	0.220 11	5768.67	$0^{+}$	2276.58	2+				
3522.66 6	4.35 22	4868.53	(1,2)	1345.775	2+				
3578.32 <sup>&amp;e</sup> 8	0.317 16	3578.61?	$(1^{+})$	0.0	$0^{+}$				
3647.86 7	0.312 16	3647.98	$2^{+}$	0.0	$0^{+}$				
x3696.28 8	0.234 12								
3748.77 8	0.160 8	3749.01	2+	0.0	$0^{+}$	$I_{\gamma}$ : other: I(3748 $\gamma$ )/I(2403 $\gamma$ )=31/100 (2020Ma37).			
x3768.51 9	0.130 7								
x3798.74 7	0.52 3				•				
3809.64 9	0.176 9	5155.52	$(0^+, 1, 2, 3^-)$	1345.775	2+				
x 3836.89 10	0.156 8								
~3867.93 8	0.262 13	(0657.66)	1-	5760 67	0+				
x2061.01.10	0.90 5	(9037.00)	1	5708.07	0.				
x4011 56 18	0.112.0								
<sup>x</sup> 4017.40.8	0.152.8								
x4047 49 10	0.185.9								
4072 32 9	0.149 7	5418 22	$(1)^{-}$	1345 775	2+				
<sup>x</sup> 4169.09.8	0.62.3	5110.22	(1)	10 10 17 10	2				
x4180.07 8	0.402 20								
4239.29 8	0.351 18	(9657.66)	1-	5418.22	$(1)^{-}$				
x4264.23 8	0.303 15								
<sup>x</sup> 4299.87 11	0.215 11								
<sup>x</sup> 4351.23 <i>12</i>	0.080 4								
4422.60 10	0.269 13	5768.67	$0^{+}$	1345.775	2+				
<sup>x</sup> 4443.61 10	0.198 10								
4502.08 9	0.380 19	(9657.66)	1-	5155.52	$(0^+, 1, 2, 3^-)$				
<sup>x</sup> 4512.86 9	0.206 10								
*4543.02 13	0.118 6	1550 15	24	0.0	<b>0</b> +				
4572.94 9	0.304 15	45/3.15	2*	0.0	0				
4615.27 9	0.456 23	4615.60	(1,2)	0.0	0+				
4040.34 ð	0.62 3	4040.08	2	0.0	0.				

From ENSDF

 $^{64}_{28}\mathrm{Ni}_{36}$ -4

# $\gamma$ (<sup>64</sup>Ni) (continued)

$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger d}$	$E_i$ (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$E_f$	$\mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}$	$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger d}$	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$E_f$	$\mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}$
<sup>x</sup> 4765.17 9	0.373 19					<sup>x</sup> 7233.3 6	0.013 /		_		
4788.96 8	4.61 23	(9657.66)	1-	4868.53	(1.2)	x7250.3 9	0.010 1				
4868.34 11	0.149 7	4868.53	(1.2)	0.0	$0^{+}$	x7256.8 10	0.009 1				
x4892.07 9	0.378 19					x7273.65 23	0.045 2				
4953.45 9	1.19 6	(9657.66)	1-	4704.09	$0^{+}$	<sup>x</sup> 7295.8 10	0.008 1				
5016.70 9	1.13 6	(9657.66)	1-	4640.68	$2^{+}$	<sup>x</sup> 7305.1 8	0.009 1				
5041.80 9	0.74 4	(9657.66)	1-	4615.60	(1,2)	<sup>x</sup> 7325.6 4	0.022 1				
5084.30 9	1.02 5	(9657.66)	1-	4573.15	2+	<sup>x</sup> 7339.0 7	0.011 <i>1</i>				
x5130.09 13	0.122 6					x7348.2 6	0.018 <i>1</i>				
<sup>x</sup> 5158.77 12	0.126 6					7380.62 13	2.45 12	(9657.66)	1-	2276.58	$2^{+}$
5389.13 <sup>ae</sup> 10	1.31 7	(9657.66)	1-	4268.26	$0^{+}$	<sup>x</sup> 7393.0 4	0.027 1				
5417.92 12	0.143 7	5418.22	$(1)^{-}$	0.0	$0^{+}$	<sup>x</sup> 7427.6 7	0.012 <i>I</i>				
<sup>x</sup> 5477.11 12	0.173 9					<sup>x</sup> 7437.6 8	0.010 1				
<sup>x</sup> 5639.79 13	0.160 8					<sup>x</sup> 7465.8 4	0.020 1				
5801 <sup>@</sup>		(9657.66)	1-	3856.1	$0^{+}$	x7553.2 8	0.010 1				
5908.30 12	0.402 20	(9657.66)	1-	3749.01	$2^{+}$	<sup>x</sup> 7589.2 4	0.022 1				
x5960.85 15	0.141 7					x7598.17 16	0.114 6				
6009.42 11	0.60 3	(9657.66)	1-	3647.98	$2^{+}$	<sup>x</sup> 7649.91 21	0.083 4				
6193.58 11	2.55 13	(9657.66)	1-	3463.63	$0^{+}$	<sup>x</sup> 7680.7 7	0.013 <i>1</i>				
6381.37 <i>13</i>	0.378 19	(9657.66)	1-	3275.99	2+	<sup>x</sup> 7800.1 8	0.011 <i>1</i>				
6503.26 <sup>ae</sup> 16	0.204 10	(9657.66)	1-	3153.73	2+	<sup>x</sup> 7840.6 5	0.023 1				
6631.34 12	21.6 11	(9657.66)	1-	3025.85	$0^{+}$	<sup>x</sup> 7860.7 6	0.015 <i>1</i>				
6791 <sup>@</sup>		(9657.66)	1-	2867.35	$0^{+}$	<sup>x</sup> 7876.9 9	0.010 <i>I</i>				
<sup>x</sup> 7015.6 4	0.023 1	()				<sup>x</sup> 7886.8 6	0.016 1				
<sup>x</sup> 7057.8 5	0.018 1					<sup>x</sup> 7915.3 4	0.031 2				
<sup>x</sup> 7075.5 4	0.019 <i>1</i>					<sup>x</sup> 7921.4 4	0.030 1				
<sup>x</sup> 7086.23 18	0.066 3					<sup>x</sup> 8203.2 7	0.016 1				
<sup>x</sup> 7157.8 7	0.014 1					8311.45 16	5.6 3	(9657.66)	1-	1345.775	$2^{+}$
<sup>x</sup> 7177.8 4	0.024 1					9656.89 15	46 7	(9657.66)	1-	0.0	$0^{+}$
<sup>x</sup> 7189.4 6	0.013 1										

<sup>†</sup> From 1992Ha21, unless otherwise noted.
<sup>‡</sup> Possibly 2983-1346 transition (see Adopted Gammas).
<sup>#</sup> Possibly 3560-1346 transition (see Adopted Gammas).

<sup>@</sup> From 2020Ma37.

S

<sup>&</sup> Placed by the evaluators based on the decay scheme in <sup>64</sup>Co  $\beta^-$  decay (2012Pa39); unplaced by 1992Ha21.

<sup>*a*</sup> Placed from the capture state by the evaluators; unplaced by 1992Ha21.

<sup>b</sup> From  $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$  in 2020Ma37.

 $^{64}_{28}\mathrm{Ni}_{36}$ -5

# <sup>63</sup>Ni(n,γ) E=th 1992Ha21,2020Ma37 (continued)

 $\gamma$ (<sup>64</sup>Ni) (continued)

<sup>c</sup> 2020Ma37 states that  $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$  of the cascade toward 1346 level indicates a dominant M1 character, with only a small E2 admixture.

<sup>d</sup> For intensity per 100 neutron captures, multiply by 1.0.

<sup>*e*</sup> Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain. <sup>*x*</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

6



 $^{64}_{28}{
m Ni}_{36}$ 

