

$^{64}\text{Mn} \beta^-$  decay (90 ms)    1999Ha05,2000HaZL

Type	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh and Jun Chen	NDS 178, 41 (2021).	12-Nov-2021

Parent:  $^{64}\text{Mn}$ : E=0;  $J^\pi=1^{(+)}$ ;  $T_{1/2}=90$  ms 4;  $Q(\beta^-)=11981$  6; % $\beta^-$  decay=100.0

$^{64}\text{Mn}-J^\pi, T_{1/2}$ : From  $^{64}\text{Mn}$  Adopted Levels.

$^{64}\text{Mn}-Q(\beta^-)$ : From 2021Wa16.

1999Ha05, 2000HaZL: Measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ , isotopic  $T_{1/2}$ .

Level scheme from 2000HaZL, only the first  $2^+$  level is discussed in 1999Ha05.

1999So20: 746 $\gamma$  reported from  $^{64}\text{Mn}$  decay.

2002MaZN: 696  $I$  and 747  $I$   $\gamma$  rays reported by 2002MaZN.

2005GaZR: measured half-life of  $^{64}\text{Mn}$  decay.

 $^{64}\text{Fe}$  Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^\pi$ <sup>‡</sup>	$T_{1/2}$ <sup>‡</sup>	Comments
0.0	$0^+$	2.0 s 3	
746.6 6	$2^+$	6.8 ps 7	
1443.7 8	(1,2 $^+$ )		
1763	$4^+$		E(level): this level may be populated in this decay as stated by 2006Ho20 that a 1017 $\gamma$ has been tentatively identified (by 2006Ho20) in a $\gamma$ -ray spectrum from $^{64}\text{Mn}$ decay measured at ISOLDE (reference 17 in 2006Ho20: priv comm from K.L. Kratz and ISOLDE collaboration (2005)). The evaluator also obtained a copy of this spectrum and the peak at 1017 keV is clearly visible with an intensity of about 25% of 1105 $\gamma$ . This level is confirmed in the study of $^{65}\text{Mn} \beta^-$ decay by 2013OI06.
1853.2 6	(1,2 $^+$ )		
2116.7 7	(1,2 $^+$ )		
3093.4 9	(0,1,2)		$J^\pi$ : 2000HaZL suggest $0^+$ .
3306.8 6	(1,2 $^+$ )		
3317.0 9	(0,1,2)		$J^\pi$ : 2000HaZL suggest $4^+$ .
4226.9 6	(1,2 $^+$ )		

<sup>†</sup> From a least-squares fit to  $E\gamma$  values, assuming  $\Delta E\gamma=1$  keV.

<sup>‡</sup> From the Adopted Levels.

 $\beta^-$  radiations

$I\beta^-$  from 2000HaZL are given only under comments, as the decay scheme is incomplete and meaningful  $I\beta$  values cannot be deduced.

E(decay)	E(level)	Comments
(7754 6)	4226.9	av $E\beta=3.68\times 10^3$ 19 $I\beta^-$ : 0.8 (2000HaZL).
(8664 6)	3317.0	av $E\beta=4.13\times 10^3$ 19 $I\beta^-$ : 3.6 (2000HaZL).
(8674 6)	3306.8	av $E\beta=4.13\times 10^3$ 19 $I\beta^-$ : 2.5 (2000HaZL). Note that $I\gamma(3306\gamma)$ is unknown.
(8888 6)	3093.4	av $E\beta=4.24\times 10^3$ 19 $I\beta^-$ : 1.9 (2000HaZL).
(9864 6)	2116.7	av $E\beta=4.71\times 10^3$ 19 $I\beta^-$ : 3.3 (2000HaZL).
(10128 <sup>†</sup> 6)	1853.2	$I\beta^-$ : 3.8 (2000HaZL).

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

**$^{64}\text{Mn} \beta^-$  decay (90 ms) 1999Ha05,2000HaZL (continued)** **$\beta^-$  radiations (continued)**

E(decay)	E(level)	Comments
(10537 6)	1443.7	av $E\beta=5.04\times10^3$ 19 $I\beta^-$ : 4.3 (2000HaZL).
(11234 6)	746.6	av $E\beta=5.38\times10^3$ 19 $I\beta^-$ : 26.7 (2000HaZL).
(11981 6)	0.0	av $E\beta=5.75\times10^3$ 19 $I\beta^-$ : 20 (2000HaZL).

† Existence of this branch is questionable.

 **$\gamma(^{64}\text{Fe})$** 

$E_\gamma^\dagger$	$I_\gamma^\dagger$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. &	Comments
$x_{376}^\ddagger$							
$x_{588.2}^\ddagger$							
697	16	1443.7	(1,2 <sup>+</sup> )	746.6	2 <sup>+</sup>		
$x_{704.5}^\ddagger$							
746.7 <sup>#</sup>	100	746.6	2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>	E2	
$x_{877}^\ddagger$							
910	0.19	4226.9	(1,2 <sup>+</sup> )	3317.0	(0,1,2)		
920	0.58	4226.9	(1,2 <sup>+</sup> )	3306.8	(1,2 <sup>+</sup> )		
1017 <sup>@</sup>	$\approx 1.2$ @	1763	4 <sup>+</sup>	746.6	2 <sup>+</sup>	E2	This $\gamma$ from the 1763 level is confirmed in the study of $^{65}\text{Mn} \beta^-$ n decay by 2013Ol06.
1105	5.2	1853.2	(1,2 <sup>+</sup> )	746.6	2 <sup>+</sup>		
1240	3.37	3093.4	(0,1,2)	1853.2	(1,2 <sup>+</sup> )		
$x_{1262}^\ddagger$							
1370	11.1	2116.7	(1,2 <sup>+</sup> )	746.6	2 <sup>+</sup>		
$x_{1394}^\ddagger$							
1443.7	0.3	1443.7	(1,2 <sup>+</sup> )	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>		
1452.3	2.04	3306.8	(1,2 <sup>+</sup> )	1853.2	(1,2 <sup>+</sup> )		
1464	13.5	3317.0	(0,1,2)	1853.2	(1,2 <sup>+</sup> )		
1853.7	1.92	1853.2	(1,2 <sup>+</sup> )	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>		
2110	0.46	4226.9	(1,2 <sup>+</sup> )	2116.7	(1,2 <sup>+</sup> )		
2116.7	1.24	2116.7	(1,2 <sup>+</sup> )	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>		
2347	3.66	3093.4	(0,1,2)	746.6	2 <sup>+</sup>		
2374	0.59	4226.9	(1,2 <sup>+</sup> )	1853.2	(1,2 <sup>+</sup> )		
2562	7.4	3306.8	(1,2 <sup>+</sup> )	746.6	2 <sup>+</sup>		
3306		3306.8	(1,2 <sup>+</sup> )	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>		
3480	1.33	4226.9	(1,2 <sup>+</sup> )	746.6	2 <sup>+</sup>		
4226.7	0.03	4226.9	(1,2 <sup>+</sup> )	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>		

† From level scheme Fig. 5.1 of 2000HaZL, unless otherwise stated. As per E-mail (March 9, 2006) from author of 2000HaZL, corresponding values listed in Fig. 5.3 are preliminary.

‡ Assignment to  $^{64}\text{Mn}$  decay is uncertain, could also belong to the decay of daughter activity ( $^{64}\text{Fe}$ ) or grand-daughter ( $^{64}\text{Co}$ ).

# 2006Ho20 mention that 746 $\gamma$  also seen independently by I. Matea (Ph.D. thesis, University de Caen (2003); ref. 15 in 2006Ho20).

@  $\gamma$  identified (by 2006Ho20) from a spectrum obtained from the measurement by 1999Ha05. Intensity estimated by the evaluator from this spectrum.

& From the Adopted Gammas.

<sup>x</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

