

$^{63}\text{Cu}(\text{d},\text{p}) \quad \text{1969Pa07,1967Hj02,1958De32}$

Type	Author	Citation	History	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh and Jun Chen	NDS 178, 41 (2021).		12-Nov-2021

$J^\pi(^{63}\text{Cu g.s.})=3/2^-$.

[1969Pa07](#): E=12.0 MeV, magnetic spectrograph, FWHM=7.5 keV, $\sigma(\theta)$ (from 8° to 50°). DWBA calculations. Cross section uncertainty 15%.

[1967Hj02](#): E=15 MeV, magnetic spectrograph, $\sigma(\theta)$, DWBA.

[1958De32](#): E=6.09 MeV, magnetic spectrograph. The spectrum shows at least 65 more levels from 3.8 to 6.0 MeV.

[1962Ne15](#): E=13.6 MeV. Measured $\sigma(\theta)$. Four groups reported.

[1959Sc28](#): E=21.6, 10, 4.37 MeV. Measured $\sigma(\theta)$. Four groups reported.

[1951Ha06](#): E=14 MeV. Measured Q value.

Reaction mechanism studied: [1976Ma10](#) (theory), [1975Ch03](#) (E=27.5 MeV), [1975ChZL](#), [1971Ok04](#) ($E \leq 11$ MeV).

Yield measurement: [1983Va33](#).

 ^{64}Cu Levels

E(level) [†]	L [‡]	(2J+1)S [‡]	E(level) [†]	L [‡]	(2J+1)S [‡]	E(level) [†]	L [‡]	(2J+1)S [‡]
0	1+3	0.17+0.73	2050 8	(0,1)	0.039 ^d	2913? 11	1	0.031 ^b
158 3	1+3	0.84+1.09	2069 8	4	2.51	2931 11	0+2	0.065+0.26
276 3	1+3	0.85+0.92	2090 8	(3)	0.325	2970 ^a 11	0+4	0.008+0.72
342 3	1	1.18	2115? 10	1	0.028 ^b	2985 11	0+2	0.006+0.043
361 3	1+3	0.14+3.29	2141 8	1	0.032	3009 11	0(+2)	0.011+0.019
573 4	3	3.64	2191 9	1	0.074	3030 11	2	0.161
606 4	1	0.74	2212 9	(3)	0.38	3043? 11		^b
661 4	1	0.16	2230 9	(3)	0.36	3055? 11		^b
742# 4	1	1.73	2265# 9	2+4(+0)	0.16+0.80	3077 11	4	0.49
876 5	1	0.17	2311 9	1	0.142	3089 11	(4)	1.17
893 5	1+3	0.20+0.89	2327 9	0(+2)	0.017+0.023	3154 10	(2)	0.148
923 5	1	0.40	2354? 10		^b	3192 10	0 ^c	0.005 ^c
1236 6	1	0.74	2375? 9		^b	3233 10	^f	^f
1285? 10		^b	2389? 9		^b	3260 10		
1294 6	1	0.44	2415?& 10	0+4	0.004+0.17	3290 10	0 ^c	0.007 ^c
1349 6	1+3	0.09+0.22	2462 9	0+2	0.042+0.258	3311 10	^f	^f
1435 6	1+3	0.05+0.15	2494 9	2	0.050	3411 20	(0) ^c	0.011 ^c
1458# 10	0+4(+2)	0.005+0.09	2520?# 10	(0)	0.023	3448 10	^f	^f
1495 6	0	0.018	2534?# 10	0(+2)	0.014+0.049	3475 10	2 ^c	0.155 ^c
1517 7	1	0.40	2581 10	3	0.515	3492 10	^f	^f
1546@ 7	4	3.68	2596? 10		^b	3515 10	^g	^g
1589# 7	4	6.34	2611# 10	0	0.012	3604 10	2 ^c	0.364 ^c
1607?		^b	2622? 10		^b	3623 10	^f	^f
1630? 10	(3)	0.29 ^b	2634# 10	2(+0,1)	0.27+0.02	3687 10		
1648? 10	(2+0)	0.022+0.002 ^b	2647@ 10	4(+0)	1.17+0.017	3712 10		
1678# 7	0(+3) ^e	0.063+1.23	2670? 10	0	0.019 ^b	3763 10	(0) ^c	0.015 ^c
1701 7	2+4	0.64+2.35	2692@ 10	0	0.028	3791 10	^f	^f
1737? 10		^b	2720@ 10	0+2	0.019+0.03	≈3900	0	0.038
1775 7	3(+1)	0.38+0.02	2760# 10	0+2	0.016+0.053	≈4020	2	0.742
1848 8	1	0.09	2774 10	1	0.180	≈4130	2	0.491
1884? 10		^b	2800 10	0	0.012	≈4310	(2)	0.193
1900 8	1	0.245	2823@ 10	0+2	0.023+0.172	≈4420	0	0.026
1939? 10	(1)	0.04	2854@ 11	1	0.116	≈5000	2	0.512
1980 8	(1,3)		2876 11	1+3	0.054+0.29			
2016 8	(1+3)	0.042+0.24	2891 11	1+3	0.048+0.14			

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

 $^{63}\text{Cu}(\text{d},\text{p})$ 1969Pa07,1967Hj02,1958De32 (continued)

 ^{64}Cu Levels (continued)

[†] From 1969Pa07 up to 3089, from 1958De32 for 3154 to 3791, from 1967Hj02 for higher levels.

[‡] From 1969Pa07 up to 3089 level, from 1967Hj02 above 3089. Values for levels below 3089 level are also given by 1967Hj02.

The S factors are based on average value for p_{1/2} and p_{3/2} orbitals (for L=1); d_{5/2} (for L=2); f_{5/2} (for L=3) and g_{9/2} (for L=4) (1969Pa07).

Doublet.

@ Possible doublet.

& Triplet.

^a Possible triplet.

^b Weakly populated (1969Pa07), not reported by 1958De32 and 1967Hj02.

^c From 1967Hj02 for unresolved doublet or triplet.

^d For L=1.

^e 0+2 also possible.

^f See values for level above.

^g See values for 3475 level.