

$^9\text{Be}(^{65}\text{Mn}, ^{64}\text{Cr}\gamma)$  2021Ga02

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh and Jun Chen		NDS 178, 41 (2021).	12-Nov-2021

Includes  $^9\text{Be}(^{66}\text{Fe}, ^{64}\text{Cr}\gamma)$  and  $^9\text{Be}(^{68}\text{Co}, ^{64}\text{Cr}\gamma)$  reactions, with composite  $^{66}\text{Fe}+^{68}\text{Co}$  beam.

**2021Ga02:** E( $^{65}\text{Mn}$ ) and E( $^{66}\text{Fe}, ^{68}\text{Co}$ )=90-95 MeV/nucleon from 140 MeV/nucleon  $^{82}\text{Se}$  primary beams from the Coupled Cyclotron Facility at NSCL-MSU incident on  $^9\text{Be}$  production targets. Beams of  $^{66}\text{Fe}$  and  $^{68}\text{Co}$  could not be separated. Reaction fragments were separated and selected by the A1900 separator and impinged on a  $^9\text{Be}$  secondary target. Projectile-like recoils were identified by the detector system of the S800 spectrograph consisting of a 16-fold ionization chamber for energy-loss measurement and two scintillators for time-of-flight;  $\gamma$  rays were detected with the GRETINA array of fifteen 36-fold segmented HPGe detectors. Measured  $E_\gamma$ , Doppler-shifted  $\gamma$  spectra,  $\gamma\gamma$ - and (particle) $\gamma$ -coin. Comparison with calculated level scheme and B(E2) strengths based on shell-model with LNPS effective interaction, and deduced evidence of collective structure.

$^{64}\text{Cr}$  Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J $\pi$ <sup>‡</sup>	Comments
0	0 <sup>+</sup>	
422 6	2 <sup>+</sup>	E(level): 462, first 2 <sup>+</sup> predicted from shell-model calculations (2021Ga02).
1132 9	(4 <sup>+</sup> )	E(level): 1202, first 4 <sup>+</sup> predicted from shell-model calculations (2021Ga02).
2094 11	(6 <sup>+</sup> )	E(level): 2156, first 6 <sup>+</sup> predicted from shell-model calculations (2021Ga02), where the second 2 <sup>+</sup> state is predicted at 1827 keV.

<sup>†</sup> From  $E_\gamma$  values.

<sup>‡</sup> As given by 2021Ga02.

$\gamma(^{64}\text{Cr})$

$E_\gamma$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Comments
422 6	422	2 <sup>+</sup>	0	0 <sup>+</sup>	$E_\gamma$ : from 423 6 in ( $^{65}\text{Mn}, ^{64}\text{Cr}$ ) reaction, and 420 7 in ( $^{66}\text{Fe}, ^{64}\text{Cr}$ )+( $^{68}\text{Co}, ^{64}\text{Cr}$ ). More precise energy is 430 2 from $^{64}\text{V}$ $\beta^-$ decay (2014Su11).
710 6	1132	(4 <sup>+</sup> )	422	2 <sup>+</sup>	$E_\gamma$ : from 710 6 in ( $^{65}\text{Mn}, ^{64}\text{Cr}$ ) reaction, and 711 6 in ( $^{66}\text{Fe}, ^{64}\text{Cr}$ )+( $^{68}\text{Co}, ^{64}\text{Cr}$ ).
962 7	2094	(6 <sup>+</sup> )	1132	(4 <sup>+</sup> )	$E_\gamma$ : from summed $\gamma$ -ray spectrum from all the three reactions. Others: 962 7 in ( $^{65}\text{Mn}, ^{64}\text{Cr}$ ) reaction, and 964 8 in ( $^{66}\text{Fe}, ^{64}\text{Cr}$ )+( $^{68}\text{Co}, ^{64}\text{Cr}$ ).

<sup>x</sup>1016<sup>†</sup> 8

<sup>x</sup>1200<sup>‡</sup> 10

<sup>x</sup>1233<sup>†</sup> 9

<sup>x</sup>1518<sup>†</sup> 7

<sup>x</sup>1667<sup>†</sup> 10

Uncertain  $\gamma$  ray.

$E_\gamma$ : 1520 from projection of  $\gamma\gamma$ -coin matrix.

Uncertain  $\gamma$  ray.

<sup>†</sup> From summed  $\gamma$ -ray spectrum from all the three reactions.

<sup>‡</sup> From projection of  $\gamma\gamma$ -coin matrices.

<sup>x</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

${}^9\text{Be}({}^{65}\text{Mn}, {}^{64}\text{Cr}\gamma)$  2021Ga02

Legend

Level Scheme

● Coincidence

