

$^{64}\text{Zn}(\text{p},\text{t}) \quad \text{2019Le11,1974Hi05,1973Ku05}$ 

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh, Huang Xiaolong, and Wang Xianghan		NDS 204,1 (2025)	30-Jun-2023

**2019Le11** (also [2013Le25](#), [2018Ga22](#)):  $E(\text{p})=24$  MeV from MP tandem Van de Graaff accelerator at the Maier-Leibnitz-Laboratory (MLL) of Ludwig-Maximilians-University (LMU) and Technical University of Munich (TUM) in Garching. Target was  $\approx 100 \mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$  thick, and >99% enriched in  $^{64}\text{Zn}$ , with a  $10 \mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$  carbon backing. Tritons were momentum analyzed using Q3D magnetic spectrograph, and were detected using a cathode-strip detector, with FWHM of 10 keV. Measured energies and intensities of the triton peaks, and differential  $\sigma(\theta)$  at eight angles from  $10^\circ$  to  $50^\circ$ . Deduced levels, J, and  $\pi$ . Comparison with finite-range, coupled-channel DWBA calculations of  $\sigma(\theta)$  using FRESCO code for calculations of  $\sigma(\theta)$ , and with ab-initio shell-model calculations using chiral effective field theory ( $\chi$ EFT). The optical-model parameters for proton and triton were chosen to reproduce observed elastic scattering  $\sigma(\theta)$  data. Relevance to nuclear structure data for  $0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$  superallowed Fermi  $\beta$  decays.

**1974Hi05**:  $E=35$  MeV, Enge split-pole magnetic spectrograph, measured  $\sigma(\theta)$  from 3 to  $90^\circ$  (lab), photographic emulsions, FWHM=20 keV. DWBA analysis of  $\sigma(\theta)$  distributions. Uncertainty in excitation energy is given as 10 keV up to about 4.2 MeV, and 20-30 keV for higher levels.

**1973Ku05**:  $E=51.9$  MeV, 98.3% enriched target, broad range magnetic spectrometer, measured  $\sigma(\theta)$  from 4.8 to  $44.8^\circ$  (lab) in  $4^\circ$  steps, FWHM=80 keV. Uncertainties in cross sections=20%. DWBA analysis of  $\sigma(\theta)$  distributions. Uncertainty in excitation energy is given as 20 keV. Differential cross sections listed in Table I of [1973Ku05](#) are not specified as to the angle. From  $\sigma(\theta)$  figures, these appear to be at  $20.8^\circ$  for which triton spectrum is shown in Fig. 1.

**1972Fa08**:  $E=27.5$  MeV, 99% enriched target, measured  $\sigma(\theta)$  from 8 to  $110^\circ$  (lab),  $\Delta E$ -E Si telescope, FWHM=80-100 keV, DWBA analysis of  $\sigma(\theta)$  distributions. Deduced transition strengths and enhancement factors from experimental and calculated (DWBA) cross sections, assuming  $1f_{5/2}^2$  configuration of two neutrons in most cases.

Others:

**1988Ca04**: analyzed cross-section data for first excited  $0^+$  and g.s.

**1985Mi06**, **1980Or04**:  $E=51.9$  MeV. Measured ground state transition strength.

**1976Gu08**:  $E=23.3$ -42.4 MeV, 98.9% enriched target, measured  $\sigma(\theta)$  from 12 to  $90^\circ$  (lab),  $\Delta E$ -E Si detector telescope, FWHM=120 keV. DWBA calculations. Measurements for 0, 950, 2750+2810, 3160+3210 levels.

**1970BrZI**:  $E=40$  MeV, measured  $\sigma(\theta)$ ,  $\Delta E$ -E Si telescope.

**1966Mc15**:  $E=17.5$  MeV, measurement for g.s.

**1964Ba34**:  $E=39.8$  MeV, measurements for g.s. and first excited  $2^+$  state.

 $^{62}\text{Zn}$  Levels

Cross sections from [1973Ku05](#) are at  $20.8^\circ$  (lab).

Cross sections from [1974Hi05](#) are at  $12^\circ$  (lab).

Transition strength  $N=[d\sigma/d\Omega]_{\text{expt}}[2J+1]/[9.72*\sigma(\text{DW})]$ , units are arbitrary ([1974Hi05](#)).

Enhancement factors are from [1972Fa08](#). Deduced from experimental and calculated (DWBA) cross sections, assuming  $1f_{5/2}^2$  configuration of two neutrons in most cases.

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <sup>π</sup> &	L	$\delta\sigma/d\Omega (\mu\text{b}/\text{sr})^c$	Comments
0.0	$0^+ b$	0	$684 \times 10^1 \ 34$	$d\sigma/d\Omega=6836 \ \mu\text{b}/\text{sr} \ 344$ ( <a href="#">2019Le11</a> ), $911 \ \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ ( <a href="#">1974Hi05</a> ), $442 \ \mu\text{b}/\text{sr} \ 12$ at $19^\circ$ and $E(\text{p})=51.9$ MeV ( <a href="#">1985Mi06</a> ); $280.5 \ \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ ( <a href="#">1973Ku05</a> ). L: from <a href="#">2019Le11</a> , <a href="#">1976Gu08</a> , <a href="#">1974Hi05</a> , <a href="#">1973Ku05</a> , <a href="#">1972Fa08</a> , <a href="#">1966Mc15</a> , <a href="#">1964Ba34</a> . Transition strength=490 ( <a href="#">1974Hi05</a> ). Enhancement factor=71 ( <a href="#">1972Fa08</a> ). E(level): <a href="#">2019Le11</a> quoted the value from <a href="#">2012Ni08</a> evaluation, but was not in their energy calibration procedure. Others: 957 5 ( <a href="#">1972Fa08</a> ), 950 20 ( <a href="#">1973Ku05</a> ). L: from <a href="#">2019Le11</a> , <a href="#">1976Gu08</a> , <a href="#">1974Hi05</a> , <a href="#">1973Ku05</a> , <a href="#">1972Fa08</a> , <a href="#">1964Ba34</a> . $d\sigma/d\Omega=150 \ \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ ( <a href="#">1974Hi05</a> ); $46.4 \ \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ ( <a href="#">1973Ku05</a> ). Transition strength=197 ( <a href="#">1974Hi05</a> ). Enhancement factor=2.4 ( <a href="#">1972Fa08</a> ). E(level): others: 1801 7 ( <a href="#">1972Fa08</a> ), 1800 20 ( <a href="#">1973Ku05</a> ).
953.84 9	$2^+$	2	133 7	
1805.2 4	$2^+$	2	9.3 7	

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{64}\text{Zn}(\text{p,t}) \quad \text{2019Le11, 1974Hi05, 1973Ku05 (continued)}$  $^{62}\text{Zn}$  Levels (continued)

E(level) <sup>a</sup>	J <sup>π</sup> <sup>b</sup>	L	$\delta\sigma/\text{d}\Omega (\mu\text{b}/\text{sr})^c$	Comments
2186.09 5	4 <sup>+</sup> <sup>b</sup>	4	5.0 4	L: from 2019Le11, 1974Hi05, 1973Ku05, 1972Fa08. $d\sigma/d\Omega=19 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ (1974Hi05); 6.4 $\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ (1973Ku05). Transition strength=23 (1974Hi05). Enhancement factor=0.38 (1972Fa08). E(level): others: 2180 10 (1974Hi05), 2190 20 (1973Ku05), 2170 10 (1972Fa08). L: from 2019Le11, 1974Hi05, 1973Ku05, 1972Fa08; experimental $\sigma(\theta)$ to DWBA fits for L=4 are generally poor in all the studies. $d\sigma/d\Omega=2.6 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ (1974Hi05); 6.1 $\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ (1973Ku05). Transition strength=15 (1974Hi05). Enhancement factor=0.38 (1972Fa08). E(level): others: 2330 10 (1974Hi05), 2380 20 (1973Ku05), 2340 20 (1972Fa08). Level in 1973Ku05 could correspond to 2384.3 in 2019Le11.
2342.2 5	0 <sup>+</sup>	0	3.9 4	L,J <sup>c</sup> : from 1974Hi05, 1973Ku05. Other: L=2, $J^\pi=2^+$ in 2019Le11; however in the study of $^{62}\text{Ga}$ $\varepsilon$ decay in 2020Ma59 (several authors same as in 2019Le11), this level was assigned 0 <sup>+</sup> from $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ data. $d\sigma/d\Omega=1.5 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ (1974Hi05), 0.7 $\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ (1973Ku05). Transition strength=0.7 (1974Hi05). Level also discussed in 2018Ga22.
2384.3 3			0.45 11	E(level): others: 2750 10 (1974Hi05), 2750 20 (1973Ku05), 2740 20 (1972Fa08).
2743.71 5	4 <sup>+</sup>	4	4.2 <sup>d</sup> 3	L: from 2019Le11 and 1974Hi05. Others: L=3,4 (1973Ku05); 3 (1972Fa08); 4+2 for 2750+2810 doublet (1976Gu08). $d\sigma/d\Omega=23 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ (1974Hi05), 63.2 $\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ for 2750+2800 (1973Ku05). Transition strength=130 (1974Hi05).
2802.87 9	2 <sup>+</sup>	2	27.6 17	E(level): others: 2810 10 (1974Hi05), 2800 20 (1973Ku05). L: from 2019Le11, 1974Hi05 and 1973Ku05. Other: L=4+2 for 2750+2810 doublet (1976Gu08). $d\sigma/d\Omega=43 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ (1974Hi05), 63.2 $\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ for 2750+2800 (1973Ku05). Transition strength=49 (1974Hi05).
2883.0 3	2 <sup>+</sup>	2	5.4 4	E(level): others: 2890 10 (1974Hi05), 2870 20 (1973Ku05), 2880 20 (1972Fa08). L: from 2019Le11. L=(2) in 1974Hi05.
3045.5 4	0 <sup>+</sup>	0	10.3 9	$d\sigma/d\Omega=16 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ ; transition strength=18 (1974Hi05). E(level): other: 3060 10 (1974Hi05). L: from 2019Le11. Other: L=2 (1974Hi05). $d\sigma/d\Omega=4.3 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ ; transition strength=4.9 (1974Hi05).
3146.21 8	<sup>a</sup>		2.5 <sup>d</sup> 2	E(level): others: 3160 10 (1974Hi05).
3181.1 3	2 <sup>+</sup>	2	4.3 5	L: from 2019Le11 and 1974Hi05. Other: L=4+2 for 3160+3210 (1976Gu08). $d\sigma/d\Omega=11 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ ; transition strength=13 (1974Hi05).
3209.72 5	4 <sup>+</sup>	4	7.2 6	E(level),L: from 2019Le11. Others: L=4+2 for 3160+3210 (1976Gu08). Levels of 3216 with L=3 (1974Hi05); 3220 20 (1973Ku05) with L=4+2; and 3216 16 (1972Fa08) with L=4 probably correspond to 3209.72 (L=4) + 3223.72 (L=3) in 2019Le11.
3223.72 5	3 <sup>-</sup>	3	16.7 11	E(level),L: from 2019Le11. Others: levels of 3216 (1974Hi05) with L=3, but with poor fit for L=3 or 4; 3220 20 (1973Ku05) with L=4+2; and 3216 16 (1972Fa08) with L=4 probably correspond to 3209.72 (L=4) + 3223.72 (L=3) in 2019Le11. $d\sigma/d\Omega=39 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ (1974Hi05), 38.3 $\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ (1973Ku05). Enhancement factor=0.35 (1972Fa08).
3374 2	1 <sup>-</sup>	1	1.5 <sup>e</sup> 2	E(level): others: 3350 20 (1974Hi05). L: from 2019Le11. L=(1) in 1974Hi05. $d\sigma/d\Omega=2.0 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ (1974Hi05).
3406 <sup>@</sup> 2			0.22 <sup>e</sup> 17	
3443 <sup>@</sup> 2			0.48 <sup>e</sup> 18	
3474 2	2 <sup>+</sup>	2	8.1 6	E(level): others: 3470 10 (1974Hi05), 3440 20 (1973Ku05), 3440 10 (1972Fa08). Levels in 1973Ku05 and 1972Fa08 could correspond to 3474+3443 in 2019Le11.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

**$^{64}\text{Zn}(\text{p,t}) \quad 2019\text{Le11}, 1974\text{Hi05}, 1973\text{Ku05}$  (continued)** **$^{62}\text{Zn}$  Levels (continued)**

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <sup>π</sup> &	L	$\delta\sigma/d\Omega$ ( $\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ ) <sup>c</sup>	Comments
3571 @ 2			0.70 15	L: from <a href="#">2019Le11</a> , <a href="#">1974Hi05</a> , <a href="#">1973Ku05</a> , <a href="#">1972Fa08</a> . $d\sigma/d\Omega=19 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ ( <a href="#">1974Hi05</a> ), $5.9 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ ( <a href="#">1973Ku05</a> ). Transition strength=21 ( <a href="#">1974Hi05</a> ).
3583 2		(2)	0.64 15	E(level): other: 3590 10 ( <a href="#">1974Hi05</a> ). L: from <a href="#">1974Hi05</a> , poor fit. $d\sigma/d\Omega=5.6 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ , transition strength=6.1 ( <a href="#">1974Hi05</a> ).
3621 @ 2		2	0.94 16	E(level): others: 3640 10 ( <a href="#">1974Hi05</a> ). L: from <a href="#">1974Hi05</a> . $d\sigma/d\Omega=4.4 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ , transition strength=4.8 ( <a href="#">1974Hi05</a> ).
3689 @ 2			0.43 13	E(level): other: 3690 20 ( <a href="#">1972Fa08</a> ). L: (2) ( <a href="#">1972Fa08</a> ).
3708 2	6 <sup>+</sup> <sup>b</sup>	6	0.16 12	E(level): other: 3730 10 ( <a href="#">1974Hi05</a> ). L: from <a href="#">2019Le11</a> , $\sigma(\theta)$ fits poorly with DWBA calculations. Other: L=(4,3) ( <a href="#">1974Hi05</a> ). $d\sigma/d\Omega=1.9 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ ( <a href="#">1974Hi05</a> ).
3788 @ 2 <sup>a</sup>			7.5 5	E(level): other: 3780 20 ( <a href="#">1973Ku05</a> ). L: 1,2 from <a href="#">1973Ku05</a> . $d\sigma/d\Omega=5.8 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ ( <a href="#">1973Ku05</a> ).
3817 2		2	0.39 13	E(level): other: 3830 10 ( <a href="#">1974Hi05</a> ). L: from <a href="#">1974Hi05</a> . $d\sigma/d\Omega=13 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ , transition strength=14 ( <a href="#">1974Hi05</a> ).
3862 2	0 <sup>+</sup> <sup>b</sup>	0	2.0 2	L: from <a href="#">2019Le11</a> .
3884 2			0.12 13	
3936 6	0 <sup>+</sup>	0	6.8 6	L: from <a href="#">2019Le11</a> . E(level),L: <a href="#">2019Le11</a> matched this L=0 level with 4008.4 7, 0 <sup>+</sup> level in the ENSDF database, however, the energy matching is poor, difference being 72 keV 6. Others: 3920 10 with L=(4,3); and 4000 10 with L=0 ( <a href="#">1974Hi05</a> ); 3930 20 with L=0 and 4000 20 with L=(0) ( <a href="#">1973Ku05</a> ); 3980 20 with L=0 ( <a href="#">1972Fa08</a> ). $d\sigma/d\Omega=6.1 \text{ mb}/\text{sr}$ for 4000 10 ( <a href="#">1974Hi05</a> ); $\sigma=2.7 \mu\text{b}$ for 3930 20, $3.4 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ for 4000 ( <a href="#">1973Ku05</a> ). Transition strength=1.5 for 4000 10 ( <a href="#">1974Hi05</a> ). Enhancement factor=1.4 for 3980 20 ( <a href="#">1972Fa08</a> ).
3994 @ 6			0.32 12	
4021 6 <sup>a</sup>			5.8 5	
4141 @ 6 <sup>a</sup>			3.5 3	Others: 4090 10 with L=(4) and $d\sigma/d\Omega=3.8 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ ( <a href="#">1974Hi05</a> ); 4160 20 with L=0,4 and $\sigma=9.9 \mu\text{b}$ ( <a href="#">1973Ku05</a> ); 4170 10 with L=4 and enhancement factor=0.15 ( <a href="#">1972Fa08</a> ).
4200 @ 6			0.21 11	
4218 6			0.16 10	Other: 4220 10 with L=(3) and $d\sigma/d\Omega=11 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ ( <a href="#">1974Hi05</a> ), level could correspond to 4218+4200 in <a href="#">2019Le11</a> .
4257 @ 6			0.83 16	
4282 @ 6			0.69 15	
4306 @ 6 5 <sup>-</sup>	5		0.38 12	L: from <a href="#">2019Le11</a> . Others: 4330 20 with L=2 and $d\sigma/d\Omega=4.3 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ ( <a href="#">1974Hi05</a> ); 4320 20 with L=3 and $\sigma=4.0 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ ( <a href="#">1973Ku05</a> ); 4320 20 ( <a href="#">1972Fa08</a> ). Levels in <a href="#">1974Hi05</a> , <a href="#">1973Ku05</a> and <a href="#">1972Fa08</a> could correspond to 4331+4306 in <a href="#">2019Le11</a> .
4331 6			1.8 3	
4413 @ 9			0.41 15	Other: 4380 20 with L=(4) and $d\sigma/d\Omega=3.3 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ ( <a href="#">1974Hi05</a> ).
4432 @ 9			0.31 15	Other: 4440 20 ( <a href="#">1974Hi05</a> ).
4483 @ 9 1 <sup>-</sup>	1		2.9 2	E(level): <a href="#">2019Le11</a> matched this level with 4448.0 3, (1 <sup>+</sup> ) level in the ENSDF database, but the energy matching is poor, difference being 35 keV 9. L: from <a href="#">2019Le11</a> .

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

**$^{64}\text{Zn}(p,t)$  2019Le11, 1974Hi05, 1973Ku05 (continued)** **$^{62}\text{Zn}$  Levels (continued)**

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <sup>π</sup> & <sup>c</sup>	L	$\delta\sigma/d\Omega$ ( $\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ )	Comments
4518 9	6 <sup>+</sup>	6	2.5 2	Other: 4500 20 ( <a href="#">1974Hi05</a> ). L: from <a href="#">2019Le11</a> .
4544 9			0.77 13	
4552 <sup>@</sup> 9	0 <sup>+</sup>	0	6.4 4	L: from <a href="#">2019Le11</a> . L=0 for 4552 level in <a href="#">2013Le25</a> .
4576 9			0.30 11	
4590 9			0.75 13	Others: 4620 20 with L=(0) and $d\sigma/d\Omega=10 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ ( <a href="#">1974Hi05</a> ); 4600 20 with $\sigma=5.6 \mu\text{b}$ ( <a href="#">1973Ku05</a> ); 4570 10 with L=0 and enhancement factor of 2.1 ( <a href="#">1972Fa08</a> ). Level in <a href="#">1972Fa08</a> could correspond to 4590+4576 in <a href="#">2019Le11</a> .
4670 9			1.65 17	
4688 <sup>@</sup> 9			0.36 12	Other: 4680 10 with L=4 and $d\sigma/d\Omega=4.3 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ ( <a href="#">1974Hi05</a> ); level correspond to 4688+4670 in <a href="#">2019Le11</a> .
4725 <sup>@</sup> 9	2 <sup>+</sup>	2	2.2 <sup>d</sup> 2	L: from <a href="#">2019Le11</a> .
4771 9	2 <sup>+</sup>	2	3.9 <sup>e</sup> 3	L: from <a href="#">2019Le11</a> . Others: 4810 30 ( <a href="#">1974Hi05</a> ); 4750 20 ( <a href="#">1973Ku05</a> ); 4760 20 with L=2,(3) ( <a href="#">1972Fa08</a> ). Levels in <a href="#">1974Hi05</a> , <a href="#">1973Ku05</a> and <a href="#">1972Fa08</a> could correspond to 4771+4778 in <a href="#">2019Le11</a> .
4778 <sup>@</sup> 9			0.40 <sup>e</sup> 20	
4869 9	5 <sup>-</sup>	5	0.93 19	L: from <a href="#">2019Le11</a> . Others: 4860 30 with L=(3,4) and $d\sigma/d\Omega=3.9 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ ( <a href="#">1974Hi05</a> ); 4860 20 ( <a href="#">1973Ku05</a> ); 4860 20 with L=(2) ( <a href="#">1972Fa08</a> ). Levels in <a href="#">1974Hi05</a> , <a href="#">1973Ku05</a> and <a href="#">1972Fa08</a> could correspond to 4869+4894+4905 in <a href="#">2019Le11</a> .
4894 9			0.36 19	
4905 11			0.8 2	Other: 4910 30 with L=(2) and $d\sigma/d\Omega=5.6 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ ( <a href="#">1974Hi05</a> ); level could correspond to 4905+4933+4894 in <a href="#">2019Le11</a> .
4933 11			0.2 2	
4997 <sup>@</sup> 11 <sup>a</sup>			2.1 2	
5018 <sup>@</sup> 11			0.46 17	Other: 5020 20 with L=4 and $\sigma=8.2 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ ( <a href="#">1973Ku05</a> ); level could correspond to 5018+5040+4997 in <a href="#">2019Le11</a> .
5040 <sup>@</sup> 11			0.80 18	
5059 11	2 <sup>+</sup>	2	4.1 3	L: from <a href="#">2019Le11</a> . Others: 5050 30 with L=(2) ( <a href="#">1974Hi05</a> ); 5090 20 ( <a href="#">1973Ku05</a> ); 5050 20 with L=2,(3) ( <a href="#">1972Fa08</a> ). Levels in <a href="#">1974Hi05</a> and <a href="#">1972Fa08</a> could correspond to 5059+5040+5018 in <a href="#">2019Le11</a> ; level in <a href="#">1973Ku05</a> could correspond to 5059+5115+5059 in <a href="#">2019Le11</a> .
5115 11			0.49 17	
5151 11			0.40 16	
5194 <sup>@</sup> 11 <sup>a</sup>			0.64 19	Other: 5190 30 ( <a href="#">1974Hi05</a> ); level could correspond to 5194+5151 in <a href="#">2019Le11</a> . Other: 5240 20 with L=(0) ( <a href="#">1974Hi05</a> ).
5243 11 <sup>a</sup>			1.9 2	
5282 <sup>@</sup> 11			0.76 20	
5313 11			0.9 2	
5358 11	3 <sup>-</sup>	3	4.4 4	L: from <a href="#">2019Le11</a> . Others: 5370 20 with L=(4) and enhancement factor of 0.48 ( <a href="#">1972Fa08</a> ); 5400 20 with $\sigma=8.2 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ ( <a href="#">1973Ku05</a> ). Levels in <a href="#">1973Ku05</a> and <a href="#">1972Fa08</a> could correspond to 5387+5410 in <a href="#">2019Le11</a> .
5387 11			1.2 3	
5410 <sup>@</sup> 11			0.2 3	
5560 <sup>#</sup> 20				
6360 <sup>#</sup> 20				
6590 <sup>#</sup> 20				
7200 <sup>‡</sup>				
7340 <sup>#</sup> 20				

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

---

 $^{64}\text{Zn}(\text{p},\text{t})$     2019Le11, 1974Hi05, 1973Ku05 (continued) $^{62}\text{Zn}$  Levels (continued)E(level)<sup>†</sup>

7400<sup>‡</sup>  
7550<sup>#</sup> 20  
9800<sup>‡</sup>  
10300<sup>‡</sup>  
10800<sup>‡</sup>  
13400<sup>‡</sup>

<sup>†</sup> From 2019Le11 unless otherwise stated. Evaluators' note: below 3.3-MeV excitation, some of the energy uncertainties of 0.05 to 0.3 keV in the paper seem unrealistically low in consideration of 10 keV FWHM for the cathode-strip detector. It is possible that the uncertainties given by the authors are only statistical.

<sup>‡</sup> Level reported only by 1970BrZI.

<sup>#</sup> From 1973Ku05. Four levels above 6 MeV are only given in Fig. 1 of 1973Ku05, and not in authors' Table I.

<sup>@</sup> New level proposed by 2019Le11.

<sup>&</sup> From 2019Le11, based on comparison of measured  $\sigma(\theta)$  with the DWBA calculations of  $\sigma(\theta)$  using FRESCO code. Exceptions are noted.

<sup>a</sup> The  $\sigma(\theta)$  distribution is shown in Fig. 8 of 2019Le11, but from observed featureless pattern, no  $J^\pi$  assignment could be made.

<sup>b</sup> Evaluators' note: it is not clear from  $\sigma(\theta)$  distribution shown in authors' Figs. 6 and 7, how a definite  $J^\pi$  assignment can be made, as the observed  $\sigma(\theta)$  distribution seems to deviate significantly from the DWBA calculation.

<sup>c</sup> Values are from 2019Le11, measured at 10° with respect to the beam direction, unless otherwise stated. Authors have added 5% systematic uncertainty, arising from target thickness and other effects, in quadrature to statistical uncertainties.

<sup>d</sup> Value at 15°.

<sup>e</sup> Value at 20°.