

$^{63}\text{Cu}(\text{p},2\text{n}\gamma)$ **1976Br33**

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh, Huang Xiaolong, and Wang Xianghan		NDS 204,1 (2025)	30-Jun-2023

1976Br33: E=22-31 MeV, measured E_γ , I_γ , $\gamma\gamma$, $\gamma(\theta)$.

1973Ku05: E=17.0-32.5 MeV, measured E_γ , I_γ , excitation functions. This work mainly concerns (p,t) experiment.

 ^{62}Zn Levels

E(level)	J^π [†]
0.0	0^+
954.0 4	2^+
1804.6 4	2^+
2185.8 6	4^+
2384.6 6	3^+
2743.3 12	(4^+)
3586.3? 12	
3707.3 8	$6^{(+)}$
4042.8 12	
4903.3 13	(7)

[†] As proposed in 1976Br33, based on previous assignments for low-lying levels, and multipolarities for selected transitions from $\gamma(\theta)$ data.

 $\gamma(^{62}\text{Zn})$

E_γ [†]	I_γ [†]	E_i (level)	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [‡]	δ [‡]	Comments
557.4 5	10 2	2743.3	(4^+)	2185.8	4^+			E_γ : doublet. Contribution of 557γ in ^{62}Cu has been estimated and subtracted. $\Delta I_\gamma = \pm 25\%$. $A_2 = -0.42$ 10; $A_4 = 0.0$ 1 E_γ : unresolved from the 844γ in ^{27}Al . $A_2 = -0.13$ 3; $A_4 = 0.00$ 4 Additional information 2 .
580.0 5	6 1	2384.6	3^+	1804.6	2^+	$(\text{M1+E2})^a$	-0.5 1	
843 1		3586.3?		2743.3	(4^+)			
850.5 5	14 2	1804.6	2^+	954.0	2^+	$(\text{M1+E2})^a$	-1.2 +4-5	
859.2 @	8.0 @ 7	4903.3	(7)	4042.8				
^x 925.2 #&	40.7 # 9							E_γ : weak multiplet γ . $A_2 = +0.08$ 3; $A_4 = 0.00$ 3 Additional information 1 .
939 1		2743.3	(4^+)	1804.6	2^+			
953.9 5	100 10	954.0	2^+	0.0	0^+	(Q)		
^x 998.7 #	18.9 # 8							
1196 1	<2	4903.3	(7)	3707.3	$6^{(+)}$			E_γ, I_γ : unresolved from 1194-keV γ in ^{62}Cu . $\Delta I_\gamma = \pm 20\%$. $A_2 = +0.08$ 3; $A_4 = 0.00$ 3 $\delta(O/Q) = 0.00$ 5 Additional information 4 .
1231.7 5	42 4	2185.8	4^+	954.0	2^+	(Q)		
^x 1285.6 #	15.5 # 9							
^x 1427.7 #	$\approx 14.9^b$	2384.6	3^+	954.0	2^+			Additional information 5 . E_γ : unresolved from 1428γ in ^{59}Ni and 1430γ in ^{62}Cu .
1431 1								

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{63}\text{Cu}(\text{p},2\text{n}\gamma)$ 1976Br33 (continued)

$\gamma(^{62}\text{Zn})$ (continued)

E_γ^{\dagger}	I_γ^{\dagger}	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [‡]	Comments
1521.5 5	8 I	3707.3	6 ⁽⁺⁾	2185.8	4 ⁺	Q	$A_2=+0.29\ 3$; $A_4=-0.12\ 5$ $\delta(O/Q)=-0.05\ +3-4$.
1804.6 5	9 I	1804.6	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺		E_γ : weakly influenced by the presence of 1808 γ from ^{26}Mg . I_γ : other: $I_\gamma(1805)/I_\gamma(851)=0.67\ 10$ (1973Ku05). Additional information 3 .
1857 I	<3	4042.8		2185.8	4 ⁺		E_γ, I_γ : unresolved from 1861-keV γ in ^{62}Cu . $\Delta I_\gamma=\pm 20\%$.

[†] From [1976Br33](#), at 55°, $E=26$ MeV, $\Delta I_\gamma=\pm 10\%$, except as noted.

[‡] From $\gamma(\theta)$ data ([1976Br33](#)). Mult=Q is most likely E2.

Unplaced γ reported by [1973Ku05](#), probably contributed by an impurity.

@ γ reported by [1973Ku05](#), tentative placement by evaluator.

& Uncertain γ ray.

^a $\gamma(\theta)$ data give $\Delta J=1$, D+Q; large mixing ratio consistent with M1+E2 from RUL.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

