

$^{58}\text{Ni}(\text{Li},\text{p}\gamma)$ 1981Wa09

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Alan L. Nichols, Balraj Singh, Jagdish K. Tuli		NDS 113, 973 (2012)	15-Apr-2012

1981Wa09: E=15-24 MeV, measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma$, $n\gamma$ coin., excitation functions, $\gamma(\theta)$, γ (linear polarization), lifetimes by DSAM and RDM. Comparisons made with the shell and IBA models.

 ^{62}Zn Levels

E(level) ^a	J ^b	T _{1/2}	Comments
0.0	0 ⁺		
953.7 3	2 ⁺	2.91@ ps 21	
1804.7 3	2 ⁺	2.63@ ps 42	
2185.8 4	4 ⁺	0.53# ps +24-14	
2384.2 4	3 ⁺	1.7 ps 11	T _{1/2} : <2.8 ps from RDM; >0.7 ps from DSAM.
2743.2 4	4 ⁺	2.36@ ps 21	J ^c : 2 ^{+,3-} or 4 ⁺ from $\gamma(\theta)$ and γ (lin pol); 4 ⁺ from RUL.
3208.9 13			
3586.1 6	5 ⁺	0.63# ps +63-21	J ^c : possible 3 or 5 from $\gamma(\theta)$; >4 from excitation function.
3706.7 5	6 ⁺	0.25# ps +17-7	J ^c ,T _{1/2} : from $n\gamma$ coin. spectra.
4042.3 8	5	0.69# ps +14-49	J ^c : 3 or 5 from $\gamma(\theta)$ and γ (lin pol); 5 from excitation function. Possibly the first 5 ⁻ from systematics of even-A Zn nuclei.
4347.0 5	6 ⁺	0.28# ps +28-14	J ^c : 4 or 6 from $\gamma(\theta)$; excitation function supports 6 ⁺ ; transition strength arguments (RUL) exclude negative parity.
4903.6 7	7 ⁽⁻⁾	8.3@ ps 35	J ^c : 5 or 7 from $\gamma(\theta)$ and γ (lin pol); excitation function supports 7.
5122.5 8	5,7	2.1 ps 14	J ^c : 3,5 or 7 from $\gamma(\theta)$ and γ (lin pol); yrast population disfavors 3; transition strength arguments (RUL) restrict the parity to be the same as that for 4042 level.
5130.1 8	(6)	>0.7 ps	T _{1/2} : <3.5 ps from RDM; >0.7 ps from DSAM for 5122 and 5130 levels.
			T _{1/2} : from DSAM for 5122+5130 levels.
			J ^c : from excitation function.
5142.8 9	6 ^{+,7⁺}	0.42# ps +21-14	J ^c : 6 or 7 from excitation function; parity from $\gamma(\theta)$ and γ (lin pol).
5481.82 13	6,7,8 ⁺	0.28# ps +14-7	J ^c : from $\gamma(\theta)$, γ (lin pol), and excitation function. J ^c =(8 ⁺) in Adopted Levels.
6080.5 9	7 ^{(-),9⁽⁻⁾}	3.8 ps 32	J ^c : 5,7 or 9 from $\gamma(\theta)$ and γ (lin pol) with same parity as that of the 4904 level; 5 rejected by excitation function.
			T _{1/2} : <7 ps from RDM, >0.7 ps from DSA.
6112.3 10			
6628.5 22			
7422.4 12			

^a From least-squares fit to $E\gamma$ data.

^b As proposed in 1981Wa09 based on their $\gamma(\theta)$, γ (lin pol), and lifetime measurements.

[#] From DSAM.

@ From RDM.

 $\gamma(^{62}\text{Zn})$

E _{γ}	I _{γ} ^a	E _i (level)	J _i ^b	E _f	J _f ^b	Mult. ^b	δ^c	Comments
359.1 2	1.45 8	2743.2	4 ⁺	2384.2	3 ⁺	M1+E2	-0.9 +4-6	A ₂ =-0.39 4, A ₄ =+0.02 5, pol=+0.2 3.
556.9 5	2.9& 7	4903.6	7 ⁽⁻⁾	4347.0	6 ⁺			Additional information 7.
557.3 5	13& 3	2743.2	4 ⁺	2185.8	4 ⁺	M1+E2	-0.35 3	Additional information 3.
580.0 5	8.6 6	2384.2	3 ⁺	1804.7	2 ⁺	M1+E2	-1.1 7	A ₂ =+0.14 2, A ₄ =-0.04 3, pol=+0.63 7. δ : -0.6 to -1.7.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{58}\text{Ni}({}^6\text{Li},\text{p}\gamma)$ 1981Wa09 (continued) $\gamma(^{62}\text{Zn})$ (continued)

E_γ	I_γ^{\dagger}	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ^b	δ^c	Comments
640.3 2	0.53 5	4347.0	6 ⁺	3706.7	6 ⁺			$A_2=-0.37$ 2, $A_4=+0.08$ 3, $\text{pol}=+0.25$ 7.
833.4 10	2.0 [#] 3	4042.3	5	3208.9				Additional information 2.
842.7 5	5.6 3	3586.1	5 ⁺	2743.2	4 ⁺	M1+E2	-2.17 +84-5	Additional information 6.
850.6 5	13.0 7	1804.7	2 ⁺	953.7	2 ⁺	M1+E2	-5.1 +29-34	Additional information 5.
938.2 5	9.2 3	2743.2	4 ⁺	1804.7	2 ⁺	E2		$A_2=-0.17$ 2, $A_4=-0.03$ 3, $\text{pol}=-0.03$ 8.
953.5 [‡] 3	100	953.7	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2		Mult.: $\delta(M3/E2)=-0.13$ +11-5. $A_2=+0.14$ 3, $A_4=-0.05$ 3, $\text{pol}=+0.4$ 1.
1024.0 10	2.3 ^a 10	3208.9		2185.8	4 ⁺			$A_2=+0.19$ 2, $A_4=-0.06$ 3, $\text{pol}=+0.37$ 7.
1080.2 3	2.8 [@] 1	5122.5	5,7	4042.3	5	M1+E2,E2		Mult.: from $\gamma(\theta)$ and RUL. Mult.: $\delta(E2/M1)=-0.31$ 4 for 5 to 5. $\delta(M3/E2)=-0.05$ +4-3 for 7 to 5.
1087.8 3	1.27 [@] 6	5130.1	(6)	4042.3	5	D+Q	-4.7 26	$A_2=+0.28$ 3, $A_4=-0.12$ 3, $\text{pol}=+0.7$ 3.
1176.9 6	4.7 [@] 3	6080.5	7 ^(-),9⁽⁻)	4903.6	7 ⁽⁻⁾	M1+E2		$\delta: -4.8 +26-25$ for transition between opposite parity states; $-4.3 +22-30$ if the parities are the same.
1196.6 6	4.6 [@] 2	4903.6	7 ⁽⁻⁾	3706.7	6 ⁺			$A_2=-0.25$ 5, $A_4=+0.12$ 5, $\text{pol}=-0.1$ 6.
1202.2 6	4.5 2	3586.1	5 ⁺	2384.2	3 ⁺	E2		Mult.: from $\gamma(\theta)$ and RUL. Mult.: $\delta(E2/M1)=-0.41$ +17-6 for 7 to 7. $\delta(M3/E2)=-0.07$ +22-6 for 9 to 7.
1208.7 7		6112.3		4903.6	7 ⁽⁻⁾			$A_2=-0.16$ 3, $A_4=-0.03$ 3, $\text{pol}=+0.7$ 2.
1231.9 [‡] 3	57.1 23	2185.8	4 ⁺	953.7	2 ⁺	E2		Mult.: $\delta(M3/E2)=-0.02$ +5-3. $A_2=+0.29$ 2, $A_4=-0.10$ 3, $\text{pol}=+0.5$ 1.
1299.3 12	3.5 [#] 4	4042.3	5	2743.2	4 ⁺			Additional information 4.
1341.9 8		7422.4		6080.5	7 ^(-),9⁽⁻)			
1430.6 8	11.8 [#] 6	2384.2	3 ⁺	953.7	2 ⁺			
1506.0 20		6628.5		5122.5	5,7			
1520.7 4	17.8 8	3706.7	6 ⁺	2185.8	4 ⁺	E2		Mult.: $\delta(M3/E2)=-0.03$ 4. $A_2=+0.36$ 4, $A_4=-0.19$ 5, $\text{pol}=+0.6$ 2.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{58}\text{Ni}(^6\text{Li},\text{p}\gamma)$ **1981Wa09 (continued)** $\gamma(^{62}\text{Zn})$ (continued)

E_γ	I_γ^\dagger	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ^b	Comments
1556.7 7	3.2 [@] 2	5142.8	$6^+, 7^+$	3586.1	5^+	M1+E2,E2	Mult.: $\delta(E2/M1)=+2.8 +4I-23$ for 6 to 5. $\delta(M3/E2)=+0.5 +10I-6$ for 7 to 5. $A_2=+0.16$ 3, $A_4=+0.04$ 3, pol= $+0.3$ 3.
1604.2 7	6.9 4	4347.0	6^+	2743.2	4^+	E2	Mult.: $\delta(M3/E2)=-0.08$ 6. $A_2=+0.29$ 4, $A_4=-0.20$ 5.
1775.1 12	4.8 [#] 3	5481.82	$6, 7, 8^+$	3706.7	6^+		
1805.1 [‡] 4	10.1 5	1804.7	2^+	0.0	0^+	E2	$A_2=+0.18$ 3, $A_4=-0.08$ 3, pol= $+0.7$ 2. Additional information 1 .
1856.4 8	7.3 [@] 4	4042.3	5	2185.8	4^+		$\delta: -2.5 +20-17$ for 5^+ to 4^+ ; $+0.02 +2-4$ for 5^- to 4^+ . $A_2=-0.30$ 3, $A_4=+0.04$ 4, pol= $+0.95$ 28.

[†] From $\gamma(\theta)$ at 20 MeV, unless stated otherwise.[‡] Value taken from 1979-NDS ([1979Ha01](#)) and used for calibration.# From $n\gamma(\theta)$.@ From $\gamma(\theta)$ at 24 MeV.& From $\gamma\gamma$ coin. data at 20 MeV.^a From $\gamma\gamma$ coin. data at 24 MeV.^b From $\gamma(\theta)$ and γ (linear polarization) combined with RUL when applicable.^c From minimization of $\gamma(\theta)$ and γ (linear polarization) data.

$^{58}\text{Ni}(\text{Li},\text{pn}\gamma)$ 1981Wa09

Legend

- $I_{\gamma} < 2\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- $I_{\gamma} < 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- $I_{\gamma} > 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- Coincidence

