

$^{64}\text{Ni}(\text{p},\text{t}) \quad \textcolor{blue}{1975Ko05}$ 

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Alan L. Nichols, Balraj Singh, Jagdish K. Tuli		NDS 113, 973 (2012)	15-Apr-2012

E=40 MeV, spectrograph, FWHM=12 or 50 keV for two settings, DWBA analysis of  $\sigma(\theta)$  data.Other: [1969DaZV](#). $^{62}\text{Ni}$  Levels

E(level)	L <sup>†</sup>	$\sigma$ (relative) @	E(level)	L <sup>†</sup>	$\sigma$ (relative) @	E(level)	L <sup>†</sup>
0.0	0	100	4154 #	6	(4)	5420 5	(4)
1172 2	2	39	4226 5	0	2.5	5447 5	0
2047 2	0	2	4315 5			5465 6	
2300 2	2	57	4409 5	2		5541 5	2
2333 3	4	16	4437 5			5574 5	2
2889 3	0	5	4456 5			5628 6	(3)
3053 5	2	0.6	4504 5	(3)		5679 #	8
3153 5	2	8.9	4623 5	0		5808 6	(3)
3172 5	4	2.9	4655 5	3	1.7	5885 #	8 (4)
3252 5	2		4712 5	2		5912 8	4
3271 5	4	31	4781 5	2		6047 8	(3)
3465 5			4861 5	(2)		6073 #	8
3518 5	0+2 <sup>‡</sup>		4882 5	4		6126 8	
3751 5	3	6.7	4994 #	6	3	6160 #	9
3853 #	6	2	5016 5	4		6253 #	9 (4)
3969 5	2		5148 5	(2)		6313 #	9
3997 5	4	1.9	5203 5	2		6354 8	2
4011 5			5286 #	6	(2)	6398 8	4
4049 5	4		5355 5	4		6454 8	

† From comparison of  $\sigma(\theta)$  with those of low-lying levels with known  $J^\pi$ .‡  $\gamma\gamma$  correlation data from  $^{61}\text{Ni}(n,\gamma)$  ([1970Fa06](#)) does not allow a  $0^+$  level in this group.

# Doublet.

@ Experimental cross sections are compared with theoretical predictions in table IV of [1975Ko05](#).