

**Coulomb excitation 2015Ga38**

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh, Huang Xiaolong, and Wang Xianghan		NDS 204,1 (2025)	30-Jun-2023

**2015Ga38:**  $^{62}\text{Mn}$  beam (mixed with  $^{62}\text{Fe}$ ) produced by bombarding a thick  $\text{UC}_x$  target with a 1.4 GeV proton beam at REX-ISOLDE-CERN facility. A 4.0 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> thick  $^{109}\text{Ag}$  target was used for safe Coulomb excitation. The scattered projectiles and the recoiling target nuclei were detected using a DSSSD placed downstream from the target position. The  $\gamma$  rays were detected using HPGe detectors of Miniball array. Measured scattered particles, recoiling target nuclei,  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ -coin, (particle) $\gamma$ -coin, (particle) $\gamma\gamma$ -coin, (particle)(particle)-coin. Data analyzed using GOSIA2 which allows a simultaneous least-squares fit of matrix elements in the target and projectile systems.

**2015Ga38** stated that the 671-ms, ( $4^+$ ) isomer in the  $^{62}\text{Mn}$  beam was extracted with a much higher intensity than the 92-ms, ( $1^+$ ) activity, as with a >700 ms trapping plus charge breeding time, only the long lived-state is expected to be present at the target position. Relative position of the two  $\beta$ -decaying states in  $^{62}\text{Mn}$  ( $1^{(+)}$  and  $4^{(+)}$ ) was not known until this experiment.

The 418 $\gamma$  in **2015Ga38** is shown as deexciting a state of this energy, as it is not seen in coincidence with any other  $\gamma$  ray. Nearly independent of spin, such an assumption leads to a  $B(E2)(\text{W.u.}) \approx 30$ , more than double that of the  $2^+$  to  $0^+$  transition in  $^{62}\text{Fe}$ , which is considered unlikely. **2015Ga38** thus propose that while the final state of the decay is the ( $1^+$ ), 92-ms state proceeding via the excitation of an intermediate ( $2^+, 3^+$ ) level. Through GOSIA analysis, comparison of the observed  $\gamma$ -ray yield of 418 $\gamma$  as a function of level-energy difference between the newly-proposed state and the ( $4^+$ ), 671-ms isomeric state plotted with  $B(E2)(\text{W.u.})$  ranging from  $1 \times 10^{-10}$  W.u. to 5 W.u. suggests that the excitation of the intermediate level at an energy of 72 keV  $+8-3$ , above the energy of the 671-ms, ( $4^+$ ) isomer, which fixes the relative ordering of the two  $\beta$ -decaying states in  $^{62}\text{Mn}$ .

Sub-barrier Coulomb excitation using 2.86 MeV/nucleon  $^{62}\text{Mn}$  beam and  $^{109}\text{Ag}$  target.

 $^{62}\text{Mn}$  Levels

E(level)	$J^\pi$	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0	$1^+{}^\dagger$	92 ${}^\dagger$ ms 13	
343 4	$4^+{}^\dagger$	671 ${}^\dagger$ ms 5	E(level): Based on 346 $+3-8$ from analysis of Coulomb excitation data in <b>2015Ga38</b> .
418 2	( $2^+, 3^+$ )		E(level): from $E\gamma$ . Other: 72 keV $+8-3$ above the 671-ms, ( $4^+$ ) isomer deduced in <b>2015Ga38</b> from GOSIA analysis, by plotting the observed yield of 418 $\gamma$ as a function of difference of energy of this level and that of the 671-ms isomeric state, for $B(E2)(\text{W.u.})$ values of 5.0 W.u. to $10^{-10}$ W.u. for the 418-keV transition, as shown in Fig. 8 of <b>2015Ga38</b> .
			$J^\pi$ : proposed by <b>2015Ga38</b> from the GOISA calculations and comparison with similar levels in the neighboring isotopes and isotones.

${}^\dagger$  From the Adopted Levels.

 $\gamma(^{62}\text{Mn})$ 

$E_\gamma$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Comments
418 2	418	( $2^+, 3^+$ )	0	$1^+$	$I_\gamma$ : 970 50 relative to $I_\gamma=1520$ 40 and 2300 60 for 311 $\gamma$ and 415 $\gamma$ from $^{109}\text{Ag}$ target excitation, respectively. Uncertainties due to background subtraction, relative efficiency, and normalization to the $^{109}\text{Ag}$ target excitation are included. No $\gamma$ rays were observed in coincidence with this transition.

---

**Coulomb excitation 2015Ga38**Level Scheme