

**Coulomb excitation 2015Ga38**

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh, Huang Xiaolong, and Wang Xianghan		NDS 204,1 (2025)	30-Jun-2023

**2015Ga38:**  $^{62}\text{Fe}$  beam was produced in-beam via the  $\beta$ -decay of  $^{62}\text{Mn}$ . The  $^{62}\text{Mn}$  beam was produced by bombarding a thick  $\text{UC}_x$  target with a 1.4 GeV proton beam at REX-ISOLDE-CERN facility. The trapping and charge breeding times were varied to produce the  $^{62}\text{Fe}$  beam via in-trap method. The final energy of the beam was 2.86 MeV/nucleon. A 4.0 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> thick  $^{109}\text{Ag}$  target was used for safe Coulomb excitation. The scattered projectiles and the recoiling target nuclei were detected using a compact-disc shaped DSSSD placed 32.5 mm downstream from the target position.  $\gamma$ -rays were detected using HPGe detectors of Miniball array. Measured: scattered particles, recoiling target nuclei,  $E_\gamma$ ,  $I_\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ -coin, (particle) $\gamma$ -coin, (particle)(particle)-coin, (particle) $\gamma\gamma$ -coin, Deduced B(E2) and quadrupole moment of the first  $2^+$  state. Data analyzed using GOSIA2 which allows a simultaneous least-squares fit of matrix elements in the target and projectile systems.

Sub-barrier Coulomb excitation using 2.86 MeV/nucleon  $^{62}\text{Fe}$  beam and  $^{109}\text{Ag}$  target.

 $^{62}\text{Fe}$  Levels

E(level)	$J^\pi$	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0.0	$0^+$		
877.3	$2^+$	5.7 ps 18	Q=-0.08 40 (2015Ga38) Q: from comparison of 877 $\gamma$ to GOSIA calculations, constrained by the $T_{1/2}$ measurements in 2011Ro02 and 2010Lj01, units are eb. 2015Ga38 give static quadrupole moment=-8 efm <sup>2</sup> 40. $T_{1/2}$ : deduced by evaluator from B(E2) $\uparrow$ =0.095 29 (quoted as B(E2) $\downarrow$ =13 W.u. +4-3 in 2015Ga38 and based on the assumption of Q=0).

 $\gamma(^{62}\text{Fe})$ 

$E_\gamma$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Comments
877.3	877.3	$2^+$	0.0	$0^+$	$I_\gamma$ : 210 40 relative to $I_\gamma$ =1520 40 and 2300 60 for the 311 $\gamma$ and 415 $\gamma$ in $^{109}\text{Ag}$ target excitation, respectively. Uncertainties due to background subtraction and relative efficiency were included. B(E2)(W.u.)=14.0 +13-11 (2015Ga38, from minimization of the two-dimensional $\chi^2$ surface constrained by the $T_{1/2}$ measurements in 2011Ro02 and 2010Lj01, and 13 +4-3 by projecting the $\chi^2$ surface, assuming Q=0).

---

**Coulomb excitation 2015Ga38****Level Scheme**