

**Adopted Levels, Gammas**

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Alan L. Nichols, Balraj Singh, Jagdish K. Tuli		NDS 113,973 (2012)	15-Apr-2012

Q( $\beta^-$ )=5322 19; S(n)=6598 19; S(p)=9792 19; Q( $\alpha$ )=-8021 19    [2012Wa38](#)  
 Note: Current evaluation has used the following Q record 5315    20 6605    20 9800    20-8029    20    [2011AuZZ](#).  
 S(2n)=15924 20, S(2p)=23041 20 ([2011AuZZ](#)).  
 Values in [2003Au03](#): Q( $\beta^-$ )=5315 20, S(n)=6604 20, S(p)=9799 28, Q( $\alpha$ )=-7950 40, S(2n)=15925 20, S(2p)=22830 90.  
<sup>62</sup>Co produced and identified by [1949Pa01](#) in <sup>64</sup>Ni(d, $\alpha$ ) and <sup>62</sup>Ni(n,p) reactions followed by chemical separation. Later studies:  
[1957Ga15](#), [1968Ki08](#), [1969Wa16](#), [1969Mo04](#), [1969Es03](#), [1970Jo12](#).

Other reactions:  
[1995Wi09](#): <sup>62</sup>Ni(n,p) E=198 MeV; measured summed Gamow-Teller strength functions up to 30 MeV excitation energy.  
[1987Ah01](#): <sup>63,65</sup>Cu(n,X) E=9,11 MeV; measured cross sections and level-density parameter.  
[1987GI05](#): <sup>64</sup>Ni(<sup>7</sup>Li,<sup>7</sup>Be) E=78 MeV; measured  $\sigma$ , deduced particle-hole gross structure.  
[1984Br03](#): <sup>62</sup>Ni(n,p) E=60 MeV; measured  $\sigma(\theta)$ , deduced analog isovector resonance excitation mechanism.  
[1984UI01](#): <sup>62</sup>Ni(n,p) E=59.6 MeV; measured  $\sigma(\theta)$ , deduced GDR analog, sum rule and blocking.  
[1979Gr16](#): <sup>66</sup>Zn( $\alpha$ ,<sup>8</sup>B) E=109 MeV; measured  $\sigma(\theta)$ ; possible observation of <sup>62</sup>Co g.s. population.

**Additional information 1.**

[1999Ca29](#): shell model calculations, levels.

<sup>62</sup>Co Levels

Cross Reference (XREF) Flags

- A    <sup>62</sup>Fe  $\beta^-$  decay (68 s)
- B    <sup>48</sup>Ca(<sup>18</sup>O,p3n $\gamma$ )
- C    <sup>62</sup>Ni(t,<sup>3</sup>He)
- D    <sup>64</sup>Ni(d, $\alpha$ ),(pol d, $\alpha$ )

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <sup><math>\pi</math></sup>	T <sub>1/2</sub>	XREF	Comments
0.0	(2) <sup>+</sup>	1.54 min 10	A CD	$\% \beta^- = 100$ J <sup><math>\pi</math></sup> : log ft=5.71 and 5.57 to 2 <sup>+</sup> states; L(d, $\alpha$ )=(2,0+2); shell model predictions ( <a href="#">1999Ca29</a> ). Lack of $\beta$ feedings to 0 <sup>+</sup> and 4 <sup>+</sup> states is consistent with J=2. T <sub>1/2</sub> : weighted average of 1.4 min 2 ( <a href="#">1970Jo12</a> , from decay curve of 1129 $\gamma$ followed for more than five half-lives, also <a href="#">1971JoZN</a> thesis – half-life plots given for several gamma rays); 1.50 min 4 ( <a href="#">1969Wa16</a> , from $\gamma\gamma$ coin and high energy $\beta$ (E>3 MeV) – only lists half-life, no decay curves shown – uncertainty increased to 0.2 by the evaluators); 1.5 min 1 ( <a href="#">1962Va23</a> , from $\beta$ -decay curve followed over about four half-lives – no discussion of contaminants – uncertainty increased to 0.2 by the evaluators); 1.9 min 3 ( <a href="#">1960Pr05</a> , from $\beta$ decay curve – only lists half-life, no decay curves shown); 1.6 min 2 ( <a href="#">1949Pa01</a> , from $\beta$ decay curves followed over six half-lives).
22.5	(5) <sup>+</sup>	13.86 min 9	BCD	$\% \beta^- > 99$ ; $\%IT < 1$ ( <a href="#">1970Jo12</a> ) E(level): may be a doublet according to (t, <sup>3</sup> He) results with second component being 2 <sup>+</sup> . J <sup><math>\pi</math></sup> : log ft=5.4 to 4 <sup>+</sup> ; L(d, $\alpha$ )=4; $\sigma(\theta)$ and A <sub>y</sub> ( $\theta$ ) patterns consistent with J <sup><math>\pi</math></sup> =5 <sup>+</sup> . Shell model predictions ( <a href="#">1999Ca29</a> ) suggest a 5 <sup>+</sup> level at $\approx$ 250 keV. T <sub>1/2</sub> : from weighted average of 13.5 min 3 ( <a href="#">1970Jo12</a> , from decay curves of 1163 $\gamma$ and 1173 $\gamma$ followed for more than two half-lives, also <a href="#">1971JoZN</a> thesis – half-life plots shown); 13.8 min 5 ( <a href="#">1969Mo04</a> , from decay curves of 1163, 1172, 1717, 2003, and 2103 $\gamma$ rays followed over about six half-lives – half-life plots shown); 14.00 min 24 ( <a href="#">1969Wa16</a> , from $\beta$ (E>1.5 MeV) and $\gamma$ (E>1.4 MeV) decay curves – only lists half-life, no decay curves shown); 13.9 min 2 ( <a href="#">1962Va23</a> , from $\beta$ -decay curve shown and followed over about two half-lives – no discussion of contaminants); 13.8 min 2 ( <a href="#">1960Pr05</a> , from $\beta$ decay curve – only lists half-life, no decay curves shown);

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

**Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued)**

$^{62}\text{Co}$  Levels (continued)

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <sup>π</sup>	T <sub>1/2</sub>	XREF	Comments
				13.91 min 5 (1957Ga15, from $\gamma$ decay measured in a well-type scintillation detector, minor $^{64}\text{Cu}$ and $^{65}\text{Ni}$ impurities detected – only lists half-life, no decay curves shown; uncertainty inflated to 0.2 by the evaluators); 13.9 min 2 (1949Pa01, from $\beta$ decay curves followed over six half-lives).
				Searches for a 22-keV isomeric transition as photons or Co x rays following possible heavily-converted IT decay have proved negative (1969Es03,1970Jo12). Implies almost 100% $\beta^-$ decay of the isomer.
230@ 5			Cd	J <sup>π</sup> : (3 <sup>+</sup> ) proposed in (t, <sup>3</sup> He). See also comment for 244 level.
244@ 5			Cd	E(level): 246 10 doublet in (d, $\alpha$ ) probably corresponds to 230+244 seen in (t, <sup>3</sup> He). J <sup>π</sup> : (3 <sup>+</sup> ,4 <sup>+</sup> ) proposed in (t, <sup>3</sup> He). L(d, $\alpha$ )=(2) for a 246-keV doublet suggests (1 <sup>+</sup> ,2 <sup>+</sup> ,3 <sup>+</sup> ) for one of the components.
506.1 1	1 <sup>+</sup>		A CD	J <sup>π</sup> : L(d, $\alpha$ )=0+2; also allowed $\beta$ transition from 0 <sup>+</sup> parent with log ft $\approx$ 4.1.
532& 8	1 <sup>+</sup>		CD	J <sup>π</sup> : L(d, $\alpha$ )=0+2.
609.71# 14	(5) <sup>+</sup>		BCD	J <sup>π</sup> : L(d, $\alpha$ )=4; $\sigma(\theta)$ pattern in (pol d, $\alpha$ ) consistent with J=5, also analogy with $\sigma(\theta)$ pattern for 5 <sup>+</sup> g.s. in $^{60}\text{Co}$ . (5 <sup>+</sup> ,6 <sup>+</sup> ) suggested in (t, <sup>3</sup> He).
696 10			cD	E(level): unresolved from 706 group in (d, $\alpha$ ).
706 <sup>a</sup> 10	3 <sup>+</sup> ,4 <sup>+</sup> ,5 <sup>+</sup>		cD	J <sup>π</sup> : L(d, $\alpha$ )=4. J <sup>π</sup> =(2 <sup>+</sup> ) suggested for a 701 5 group in (t, <sup>3</sup> He).
863 10			D	
901 <sup>a</sup> 10			cD	E(level): doublet at 912 5 in $^{62}\text{Ni}$ (t, <sup>3</sup> He).
920 <sup>a</sup> 10			cD	
1172 <sup>a</sup> 10			CD	XREF: C(?).
1216.30# 15	(6)		BCD	J <sup>π</sup> : $\Delta J=1$ , dipole $\gamma$ to (5) <sup>+</sup> . J <sup>π</sup> =(5 <sup>+</sup> ,6 <sup>+</sup> ) proposed in (t, <sup>3</sup> He).
1248 10			D	
1271 5			C	J <sup>π</sup> : (3 <sup>+</sup> ,4 <sup>+</sup> ) proposed in (t, <sup>3</sup> He) for a doublet.
1359& 5	1 <sup>+</sup> ,2 <sup>+</sup> ,3 <sup>+</sup>		CD	J <sup>π</sup> : L(d, $\alpha$ )=2; comparison of $\sigma(\theta)$ pattern with known cases in neighboring nuclei favors L=2, J=3 in preference to J=2. (2 <sup>+</sup> ) proposed in (t, <sup>3</sup> He).
1469& 8			CD	J <sup>π</sup> : (1 <sup>+</sup> ,2 <sup>+</sup> ,3 <sup>+</sup> ) suggested in $^{62}\text{Ni}$ (t, <sup>3</sup> He).
1500 10			D	
1543.22# 19	(7)	1.32 ps 28	BCD	J <sup>π</sup> : $\Delta J=1$ , dipole $\gamma$ to (6). (5 <sup>+</sup> ,6 <sup>+</sup> ) proposed in $^{62}\text{Ni}$ (t, <sup>3</sup> He). T <sub>1/2</sub> : from DSAM in $^{48}\text{Ca}$ ( <sup>18</sup> O,p3n $\gamma$ ).
1667& 10			CD	
1692& 10			CD	
1805& 10	(1 <sup>+</sup> ,2 <sup>+</sup> ,3 <sup>+</sup> )		CD	J <sup>π</sup> : L(d, $\alpha$ )=(2).
1820 10			C	
1873 15			C	E(level): doublet.
1979& 10			CD	
2079 10			D	
2120 15			C	
2135@ 15			Cd	E(level): doublet in (t, <sup>3</sup> He). E=2149 10 in (d, $\alpha$ ) probably corresponds to 2135+2165 groups in (t, <sup>3</sup> He).
2165 20			Cd	E(level): see comment for 2135 level.
2281 10			D	
2309.7# 9	(8)	<0.28 ps	B	J <sup>π</sup> : $\Delta J=1$ , dipole $\gamma$ to (7). T <sub>1/2</sub> : from DSAM in $^{48}\text{Ca}$ ( <sup>18</sup> O,p3n $\gamma$ ).
2344 10	(7 <sup>+</sup> ) <sup>‡</sup>		D	
2420 10			D	
2521 10	(1 <sup>+</sup> ,2 <sup>+</sup> ,3 <sup>+</sup> )		D	J <sup>π</sup> : L(d, $\alpha$ )=(2); comparison of $\sigma(\theta)$ pattern with known cases in neighboring nuclei favors L=2, J=3 in preference to J=2.
2647 10			D	

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

**Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued)**
 $^{62}\text{Co}$  Levels (continued)

<u>E(level)<sup>†</sup></u>	<u>J<sup>π</sup></u>	<u>XREF</u>	<u>E(level)<sup>†</sup></u>	<u>J<sup>π</sup></u>	<u>XREF</u>	<u>E(level)<sup>†</sup></u>	<u>J<sup>π</sup></u>	<u>XREF</u>
2754 10		D	3.79×10 <sup>3</sup>		D	4.45×10 <sup>3</sup> 3	(7 <sup>+</sup> ) <sup>‡</sup>	D
2.88×10 <sup>3</sup> 2	(7 <sup>+</sup> ) <sup>‡</sup>	D	4.10×10 <sup>3</sup>		D	4.60×10 <sup>3</sup> 3	(7 <sup>+</sup> ) <sup>‡</sup>	D
2.92×10 <sup>3</sup>		D	4.18×10 <sup>3</sup> 3	(7 <sup>+</sup> ) <sup>‡</sup>	D			
3.46×10 <sup>3</sup>		D	4.38×10 <sup>3</sup> 3	(7 <sup>+</sup> ) <sup>‡</sup>	D			

<sup>†</sup> From  $\gamma$ -ray studies when possible. Energies of levels populated only in particle-transfer reactions taken from  $^{62}\text{Ni}(t,^3\text{He})$  and  $^{64}\text{Ni}(d,\alpha)$  for levels below 2.8 MeV, and from  $^{64}\text{Ni}(\text{pol } d,\alpha)$  for higher energies.

<sup>‡</sup> From comparison of experimental  $\sigma(\theta)$  and  $A_y(\theta)$  distributions in (pol  $d,\alpha$ ) with empirical patterns for L=6 and J=7 (1988Na01).

# Based on fixed value of 22-keV level, without including the specified uncertainty of 5 keV.

@ From (t,<sup>3</sup>He).

& Weighted average from (t,<sup>3</sup>He) and (d, $\alpha$ ).

<sup>a</sup> From (d, $\alpha$ ).

 $\gamma(^{62}\text{Co})$ 

<u>E<sub>i</sub>(level)</u>	<u>J<sub>i</sub><sup>π</sup></u>	<u>E<sub>γ</sub></u>	<u>I<sub>γ</sub></u>	<u>E<sub>f</sub></u>	<u>J<sub>f</sub><sup>π</sup></u>	<u>Mult.<sup>†</sup></u>	<u>Comments</u>
506.1	1 <sup>+</sup>	506.1 1	100	0.0	(2) <sup>+</sup>		E <sub>γ</sub> : from $^{62}\text{Fe } \beta^-$ decay.
609.71	(5) <sup>+</sup>	587.71 14	100	22	(5) <sup>+</sup>	D	
1216.30	(6)	606.44 15	33 5	609.71	(5) <sup>+</sup>	D	
		1194.45 18	100 5	22	(5) <sup>+</sup>	D	
1543.22	(7)	326.92 12	100	1216.30	(6)	D	
2309.7	(8)	766.5 9	100	1543.22	(7)	D	

<sup>†</sup> From  $\gamma(\theta)$  in  $^{48}\text{Ca}(^{18}\text{O},p3n\gamma)$ .

**Adopted Levels, Gammas****Level Scheme**

Intensities: Relative photon branching from each level

