

Adopted Levels

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh	ENSDF	25-Mar-2019

$Q(\beta^-)=17280$  SY;  $S(n)=3090$  SY;  $S(p)=18780$  CA;  $Q(\alpha)=-17560$  CA    [2017Wa10,1997Mo25](#)

Estimated uncertainties ([2017Wa10](#)): 720 for  $Q(\beta^-)$ , 780 for  $S(n)$ .

$Q(\beta^-)$  and  $S(n)$  from [2017Wa10](#),  $S(p)$  and  $Q(\alpha)$  from [1997Mo25](#).

$Q(\beta^-n)=15190$  670,  $S(2n)=4910$  720 (syst,[2017Wa10](#)).  $S(2p)=42610$  (theoretical,[1997Mo25](#)).  $Q(\beta^-2n)=10300$  630,  $Q(\beta^-3n)=7830$  630 and  $Q(\beta^-4n)=2570$  650, deduced by evaluator from mass excesses in [2017Wa10](#).

[2009Ta24](#), [2009Ta05](#):  $^{61}\text{Sc}$  identified by fragmentation of  $^{76}\text{Ge}$  beam at 132 MeV/nucleon at NSCL facility using A1900 fragment separator combined with S800 analysis beam line to form a two stage separator system. The transmitted fragments were analyzed event-by-event in momentum and particle identification. The nuclei of interest were stopped in eight Si diodes which provided measurement of energy loss, nuclear charge and total kinetic energy. The time-of-flight of each particle that reached the detector stack was measured in four different ways using plastic scintillators, Si detectors, and parallel-plate avalanche counters. The simultaneous measurement of  $\Delta E$  signals, the magnetic rigidity, total kinetic energy and the time-of-flight (TOF) provided unambiguous identification of the atomic number, charge state and mass number.

[1995Ri05](#): shell model calculations; predicted spin, binding energy, and mass defect.

Theory references: consult the NSR database ([www.nndc.bnl.gov/nsr/](http://www.nndc.bnl.gov/nsr/)) for six references for structure calculations.

 $^{61}\text{Sc}$  Levels

E(level)	Comments
0	<p><math>\% \beta^- = 100</math>; <math>\% \beta^- n = ?</math>; <math>\% \beta^- 2n = ?</math>; <math>\% \beta^- 3n = ?</math>; <math>\% \beta^- 4n = ?</math></p> <p><math>\beta^-</math> is the only possible decay mode, followed by <math>\beta^-</math>-delayed neutron emissions, thus 100% <math>\beta^-</math> decay is assigned by inference, although, no radiation from the decay of <math>^{61}\text{Sc}</math> has yet been observed.</p> <p>Theoretical <math>T_{1/2}=5.4</math> ms, <math>\% \beta^- n=46.1</math>, <math>\% \beta^- 2n=1.3</math>, <math>\% \beta^- 3n=2.3</math>, <math>\% \beta^- 4n=0.0</math> (<a href="#">2003Mo09</a>).</p> <p>Theoretical <math>T_{1/2}=8.1</math> ms, <math>\% \beta^- n=94.4</math>, <math>\% \beta^- 2n=1.4</math>, <math>\% \beta^- 3n=1.0</math> (<a href="#">2016Ma12</a>).</p> <p>Measured cross section=<math>8 \text{ fb} +14-5</math> for Be target and <math>74 \text{ fb} +133-56</math> for W target (data supplied by O. Tarasov in e-mail reply of Nov 11, 2009, corresponding to Fig. 2 in <a href="#">2009Ta05</a> and Fig. 8 in <a href="#">2009Ta24</a>).</p> <p>E(level): fragments observed by <a href="#">2009Ta05</a> and <a href="#">2009Ta24</a> are assumed to be in the ground state of <math>^{61}\text{Sc}</math>.</p> <p><math>J^\pi</math>: <math>7/2</math> predicted by <a href="#">1997Mo25</a> and <a href="#">1995Ri05</a>, and <math>7/2^-</math> from systematics (<a href="#">2017Au03</a>).</p> <p><math>T_{1/2}</math>: half-life of the decay of <math>^{61}\text{Sc}</math> has not yet been measured. <math>T_{1/2}&gt;650</math> ns from time-of-flight of 620-650 ns, given in e-mail reply of Sept 23, 2009 from O. Tarasov. From a general decreasing trend of half-lives with increasing neutron number, <math>T_{1/2}</math> for <math>^{61}\text{Sc}</math> g.s. is expected to be <math>&lt;10</math> ms, based on measured half-lives of 12 ms for <math>^{58}\text{Sc}</math>, 22 ms for <math>^{57}\text{Sc}</math>, 26 ms for <math>^{56}\text{Sc}</math>, and 96 ms for <math>^{55}\text{Sc}</math> available in the ENSDF and/or XUNDL database (as of March 25, 2019). <a href="#">2017Au03</a> give 2 ms from systematic trend.</p>