

^{61}Cu ε decay (3.336 h) 1978Me10,1988Sa26

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh	ENSDF	20-Jan-2020

Parent: ^{61}Cu : $E=0.0$; $J^\pi=3/2^-$; $T_{1/2}=3.336$ h 10; $Q(\varepsilon)=2237.8$ 10; $\% \varepsilon + \% \beta^+$ decay=100.0

^{61}Cu - J^π : From ^{61}Cu Adopted Levels.

^{61}Cu - $T_{1/2}$: weighted average (NRM) of 3.321 h 25 (2019Gy04, γ -decay); 3.323 h 10 (2015Cv01, γ -decay, measured 0.06% 4 difference in half-life for the samples in oxide and metallic forms); 3.36 h 6 (2006Ab30, γ -decay); 3.333 h 5 (1982Gr10, γ -decay, uncertainty is statistical only, increased by evaluator to 0.010 h to include possible systematic uncertainty); 3.25 h 16 (1982Ma41, γ decay); 3.39 h 4 (1973Ne02, γ -decay); 3.37 h 2 (1972Cr02, γ -decay, unweighted average of 14 measurements, for different γ -ray energies and different beam energies); 3.26 h 15 (1972Du09, γ -decay); 3.408 h 10 (1969Ri04, γ -decay); 3.27 h 10 (1956Ru45, thesis, β^+ decay); 3.32 h 3 (1954Be84, 4π scintillation counter); 3.35 h 10 (1950Bo34, ionization chamber); 3.4 h 1 (1937Ri01, electroscopes); 3.4 h 1 (1937Th01, electroscopes and ionization chamber). In the averaging procedure, uncertainty in 1969Ri04 got adjusted to 0.027 h. Regular weighted averaging gives 3.353 h 10, but with reduced χ^2 of 4.0 as compared to critical $\chi^2=1.7$, while unweighted average gives 3.340 h 14. Others: 3.4 h (1950Ku05, Geiger counter and electrometer); 3.33 h (1948Co08, β^+ detection by a magnetic spectrometer).

^{61}Cu - $Q(\varepsilon)$: From 2017Wa10.

1978Me10: chemical separation. Measured E_γ , I_γ , by a Compton-suppressed Ge(Li) detector.

1988Sa26: measured E_γ , I_γ by Ge(Li). Confirmed the presence of 215.6, 625.5, 947.3, 1014.4 and 701.1 γ rays. But presence of 190.8, 276.7, 443.5, 629.9, 820.9 and 1089 γ rays was not confirmed.

Source produced by $^{59}\text{Co}(\alpha, 2n)$ (1988Sa26, 1967Be12, 1967Bo01), $^{60}\text{Ni}(d, n)$ (1967Sc02), and $^{63}\text{Cu}(\gamma, 2n)$ (1969Ri04).

Decay scheme is from $\gamma\gamma$ coin results of 1967Wa04 and energy sums.

Other γ -ray studies using Ge(Li) detectors: 1982Gr10, 1977Wa03, 1971VoZX, 1969Ri04, 1967Be12, 1967Bo01, 1967Sc02, 1967Wa04.

Pre-1960 studies of x ray, β and γ rays from ^{61}Cu decay: 1958Bu07, 1957Ku57, 1956Nu02, 1953En06, 1950Co05, 1950Ow03, 1950Bo34, 1949Bo16, 1949Hu21, 1948Co08, 1945Br02, 1938Al02.

From RADLIST code, deduced total decay energy is 2063 keV 81 as compared to 2238 keV 1 from $Q(\varepsilon)$ value. It suggests that the decay scheme is reasonably complete.

 ^{61}Ni Levels

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0.0	$3/2^-$		
67.416 7	$5/2^-$	5.34 ns 16	$T_{1/2}$: from $\beta^+\gamma(t)$, average of values from 1971AzZZ and 1975Ro25. Other: 4.1 ns 3 (1971VoZX) from (1150 β)(67 γ)(t).
282.955 7	$1/2^-$	22 ps 4	$T_{1/2}$: from $\beta^+\gamma(t)$ (1967Be12).
656.017 7	$1/2^-$	<21 ps	$T_{1/2}$: from $\beta^+\gamma(t)$ (1967Be12). J^π : 2015Cv01 suggest $3/2^-$ based on branching ratios, low log ft value and systematics of levels in neighboring nuclides. Measured branching ratios (1977Wa03): $I_\gamma(373):I_\gamma(589):I_\gamma(656)=15\ 1:9\ 1:76\ 1$. Measured branching ratios (1977Wa03): $I_\gamma(625):I_\gamma(841):I_\gamma(908)=2\ 1:18\ 3:80\ 3$.
908.620 11	$5/2^-$		Measured branching ratios (1977Wa03): $I_\gamma(816):I_\gamma(1032):I_\gamma(1099)=54\ 5:8\ 2:38\ 5$.
1014.8 4	$7/2^-$		Measured branching ratios (1977Wa03): $I_\gamma(1064):I_\gamma(1132)=34\ 8/66\ 8$.
1099.619 11	$3/2^-$		Measured branching ratios (1977Wa03): $I_\gamma(529):I_\gamma(902):I_\gamma(1118):I_\gamma(1185)=9\ 1:2\ 1:1\ 1:88\ 1$.
1132.333 18	$5/2^-$		Measured branching ratios (1977Wa03): $I_\gamma(1542):I_\gamma(1609)=64\ 10/36\ 10$.
1185.239 11	$3/2^-$		Measured branching ratios (1977Wa03): $I_\gamma(1073):I_\gamma(1446):I_\gamma(1662):I_\gamma(1729)=19\ 6:30\ 5:30\ 5:21\ 4$.
1609.642 22	$5/2^-$		
1729.473 11	$3/2^-$		
1997.8 7	$5/2^-$		
2120.0?			
2123.48 4	$1/2^-$		

[†] From least-squares fit to E_γ data. Reduced $\chi^2=1.8$ is below the critical χ^2 .

[‡] From the Adopted Levels.

^{61}Cu ε decay (3.336 h) 1978Me10,1988Sa26 (continued)

ε, β^+ radiations						
E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^+$ ‡	$I\varepsilon$ ‡	Log ft	$I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)^{\dagger\ddagger}$	Comments
(114.3 10)	2123.48		0.043 7	5.01 8	0.043 7	$\varepsilon K=0.8739$ 2; $\varepsilon L=0.10766$ 12; $\varepsilon M+=0.018459$ 23
(117.8# 10)	2120.0?		<0.009	>5.7	<0.009	$\varepsilon K=0.8743$ 2; $\varepsilon L=0.10728$ 11; $\varepsilon M+=0.018385$ 21
(240.0 12)	1997.8		0.0041 14	6.7 2	0.0041 14	$\varepsilon K=0.8817$; $\varepsilon L=0.10114$ 3; $\varepsilon M+=0.017198$ 6
(508.3 10)	1729.473		0.22 4	5.64 8	0.22 4	$\varepsilon K=0.8851$; $\varepsilon L=0.09822$; $\varepsilon M+=0.01664$
(628.2 10)	1609.642		0.068 12	6.34 8	0.068 12	$\varepsilon K=0.8857$; $\varepsilon L=0.09774$; $\varepsilon M+=0.01654$
(1052.6 10)	1185.239		4.2 7	5.00 8	4.2 7	$\varepsilon K=0.8867$; $\varepsilon L=0.09692$; $\varepsilon M+=0.01639$
(1105.5 10)	1132.333		0.15 3	6.49 9	0.15 3	$\varepsilon K=0.8867$; $\varepsilon L=0.09685$; $\varepsilon M+=0.01637$
(1138.2 10)	1099.619		0.68 11	5.86 7	0.68 11	$\varepsilon K=0.8863$; $\varepsilon L=0.09678$; $\varepsilon M+=0.01636$
(1329.2 10)	908.620	0.033 5	1.27 20	5.72 7	1.30 21	av $E\beta=132.90$ 42; $\varepsilon K=0.8642$ 3; $\varepsilon L=0.09419$ 3; $\varepsilon M+=0.015918$ 6
(1581.8 10)	656.017	2.5 4	10.8 17	4.95 7	13.3 21	av $E\beta=238.58$ 43; $\varepsilon K=0.7180$ 9; $\varepsilon L=0.07811$ 10; $\varepsilon M+=0.013198$ 16
(1954.8 10)	282.955	5.8 10	4.3 7	5.53 8	10.1 17	av $E\beta=399.03$ 44; $\varepsilon K=0.3792$ 8; $\varepsilon L=0.04118$ 9; $\varepsilon M+=0.006957$ 15
(2170.4 10)	67.416	2.1 4	0.80 14	6.35 8	2.9 5	av $E\beta=493.97$ 45; $\varepsilon K=0.2438$ 5; $\varepsilon L=0.02645$ 6; $\varepsilon M+=0.004469$ 10
(2237.8 10)	0.0	51 4	16 1	5.07 4	67 5	av $E\beta=523.96$ 45; $\varepsilon K=0.2127$ 5; $\varepsilon L=0.02308$ 5; $\varepsilon M+=0.003898$ 8 E(decay): endpoint $E(\beta^+)=1220$ 15 (1956Nu02). $I\beta^+$: from measured β^+ spectrum (1956Nu02).

† From $I(\gamma+ce)$ imbalance at each level except g.s.

‡ Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

Existence of this branch is questionable.

$\gamma(^{61}\text{Ni})$

I_γ normalization: Summed transition ($I_\gamma + \text{ce}$) intensity to g.s.=33 5, based on measured $I\beta^+$ (g.s.)=51% 5 ([1956Nu02](#)) and theoretical ε/β^+ value, which gives $I\varepsilon$ (g.s.)=16% 1. This normalization implies an annihilation radiation intensity of 1180 200 relative to 100 for 656 γ , in agreement with the measured relative intensities of 1350 43 ([1967Sc02](#)) and 1265 63 ([1967Bo01](#)).

Measured intensity of 511-keV annihilation radiation=1350 43 ([1967Sc02](#)) and 1265 64 ([1967Bo01](#)) relative to 100 for 656-keV γ ray.

Following γ rays are reported only by [1969Ri04](#): tentative 940 5 and 989 5 both placed from 2125 level; tentative and unplaced 1153 2 with $I_\gamma=0.17$ 17; 1216 2 with $I_\gamma=0.07$ 4 placed from 2125 level; tentative and unplaced 1585.5 20 with $I_\gamma=0.06$ 5; tentative 1715 1 with $I_\gamma=0.04$ 3 placed from 1997 level.

A 1020 γ with $I_\gamma=1.6$ and a 2023 γ with $I_\gamma=0.32$, both relative to 100 for 656-keV γ ray, in [1971VoZX](#) are not reported in other studies.

E_γ [†]	I_γ ^{‡a}	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. &	δ &	α^b	Comments
67.412 10	38.6 12	67.416	5/2 ⁻	0.0	3/2 ⁻	(M1+E2)	0.0076 5	0.1370	% I_γ =4.0 6 $\alpha(K)=0.1225$ 18; $\alpha(L)=0.01262$ 18; $\alpha(M)=0.001778$ 25 $\alpha(N)=7.38 \times 10^{-5}$ 11 I_γ : weighted average: $I_\gamma=39.3$ 13 (1988Sa26), 36.9 12 (1978Me10), 59 15 (1969Ri04), 50 5 (1967Bo01), 41 3 (1967Sc02), 38 4 (1967Be12). Other: 41 (1971VoZX). E_γ : uncertainty=0.003 in 1978Me10 .
117.5 [#]	0.10 5	1132.333	5/2 ⁻	1014.8	7/2 ⁻	[M1+E2]		0.17 14	% I_γ =0.010 5 $\alpha(K)=0.15$ 13; $\alpha(L)=0.017$ 14; $\alpha(M)=0.0023$ 20 $\alpha(N)=8.4 \times 10^{-5}$ 68 $I_\gamma=0.10$ 5 (1988Sa26).
190.79 12	0.047 [@] 9	1099.619	3/2 ⁻	908.620	5/2 ⁻	[M1+E2]		0.029 21	% I_γ =0.0049 12 $\alpha(K)=0.026$ 18; $\alpha(L)=0.0027$ 19; $\alpha(M)=3.8 \times 10^{-4}$ 27 $\alpha(N)=1.5 \times 10^{-5}$ 10 $I_\gamma=0.047$ 9 (1978Me10).
215.55 18	0.12 8	282.955	1/2 ⁻	67.416	5/2 ⁻	[E2]		0.0311	% I_γ =0.013 9 $\alpha(K)=0.0278$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.00288$ 5; $\alpha(M)=0.000402$ 6 $\alpha(N)=1.586 \times 10^{-5}$ 23 I_γ : unweighted average: $I_\gamma=0.20$ 7 (1988Sa26), 0.042 11 (1978Me10).
276.688 53	0.25 [@] 12	1185.239	3/2 ⁻	908.620	5/2 ⁻	[M1+E2]		0.0080 45	% I_γ =0.026 13 $\alpha(K)=0.0071$ 41; $\alpha(L)=7.2 \times 10^{-4}$ 42; $\alpha(M)=1.01 \times 10^{-4}$ 58 $\alpha(N)=4.1 \times 10^{-6}$ 23 $I_\gamma=0.25$ 12 (1978Me10).
282.956 10	122 5	282.955	1/2 ⁻	0.0	3/2 ⁻	(M1+E2)	0.044 4	0.00330	% I_γ =12.7 20 $\alpha(K)=0.00296$ 5; $\alpha(L)=0.000295$ 5; $\alpha(M)=4.15 \times 10^{-5}$ 6 $\alpha(N)=1.773 \times 10^{-6}$ 25 E_γ : uncertainty=0.002 in 1978Me10 .

⁶¹Cu ε decay (3.336 h) 1978Me10,1988Sa26 (continued) $\gamma(^{61}\text{Ni})$ (continued)

E_γ [†]	I_γ ^{‡a}	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. &	α^b	Comments
373.050 10	20.5 5	656.017	1/2 ⁻	282.955	1/2 ⁻	(M1)	1.71×10 ⁻³	I_γ : unweighted average: $I_\gamma=113.2$ 27 (1988Sa26), 126 3 (1982Gr10, uncertainty estimated by evaluator), 117.2 24 (1978Me10), 113 6 (1969Ri04), 148 8 (1967Bo01), 128 4 (1967Sc02), 109 11 (1967Be12). Weighted average is 120 3, but with reduced $\chi^2=5.0$ as compared to critical $\chi^2=2.1$. $\%I_\gamma=2.14$ 33 $\alpha(K)=0.001531$ 22; $\alpha(L)=0.0001513$ 22; $\alpha(M)=2.13\times 10^{-5}$ 3 $\alpha(N)=9.14\times 10^{-7}$ 13 E_γ : uncertainty=0.005 in 1978Me10. I_γ : weighted average: $I_\gamma=19.9$ 5 (1988Sa26), 21.2 5 (1982Gr10), 20.2 6 (1978Me10), 19.8 16 (1969Ri04), 22.3 9 (1967Sc02), 20.2 20 (1967Bo01), 16.5 17 (1967Be12). Other: 16.0 (1971VoZX).
443.5 1	0.035 @ 12	1099.619	3/2 ⁻	656.017	1/2 ⁻	[M1+E2]	0.00179 65	$\%I_\gamma=0.0037$ 14 $\alpha(K)=0.00161$ 58; $\alpha(L)=1.60\times 10^{-4}$ 59; $\alpha(M)=2.25\times 10^{-5}$ 82 $\alpha(N)=9.4\times 10^{-7}$ 34 $I_\gamma=0.035$ 12 (1978Me10). $\%I_\gamma=0.37$ 6 $\alpha(K)=9.7\times 10^{-4}$ 28; $\alpha(L)=9.6\times 10^{-5}$ 28; $\alpha(M)=1.35\times 10^{-5}$ 40 $\alpha(N)=5.7\times 10^{-7}$ 16 I_γ : weighted average: $I_\gamma=3.49$ 8 (1988Sa26), 3.63 14 (1982Gr10), 3.9 7 (1978Me10), 4.1 3 (1969Ri04), 3.4 3 (1967Sc02), 2.0 10 (1967Bo01), 4.2 4 (1967Be12). Other: 2.4 (1971VoZX).
529.169 22	3.56 8	1185.239	3/2 ⁻	656.017	1/2 ⁻	[M1+E2]	0.00108 31	$\%I_\gamma=0.006$ 4 $\alpha(K)=8.9\times 10^{-4}$ 25; $\alpha(L)=8.8\times 10^{-5}$ 25; $\alpha(M)=1.24\times 10^{-5}$ 35 $\alpha(N)=5.3\times 10^{-7}$ 15 $I_\gamma=0.054$ 34 (1988Sa26). E_γ : a 545 5 γ also reported by 1969Ri04 in coin with 656 γ . γ not reported by 1978Me10.
544.8 #c	0.054 34	1729.473	3/2 ⁻	1185.239	3/2 ⁻	[M1+E2]	9.9×10 ⁻⁴ 28	$\%I_\gamma=1.17$ 18 $\alpha(K)=0.000901$ 13; $\alpha(L)=8.93\times 10^{-5}$ 13; $\alpha(M)=1.256\times 10^{-5}$ 18 $\alpha(N)=5.30\times 10^{-7}$ 8 E_γ : uncertainty=0.009 in 1978Me10. I_γ : weighted average: $I_\gamma=10.83$ 19 (1988Sa26), 11.40 27 (1982Gr10), 11.25 12 (1978Me10), 10.7 4 (1969Ri04), 11.9 4 (1967Sc02), 10.6 32 (1967Bo01), 11.3 11 (1967Be12). Other: 10.4 (1971VoZX).
588.605 10	11.18 12	656.017	1/2 ⁻	67.416	5/2 ⁻	[E2]	1.00×10 ⁻³	$\%I_\gamma=0.044$ 8 $\alpha(K)=0.000753$ 11; $\alpha(L)=7.45\times 10^{-5}$ 11; $\alpha(M)=1.048\times 10^{-5}$ 15 $\alpha(N)=4.43\times 10^{-7}$ 7 I_γ : weighted average: $I_\gamma=0.37$ 4 (1988Sa26), 0.47 4 (1978Me10). $\%I_\gamma=0.062$ 27
625.605 24	0.42 5	908.620	5/2 ⁻	282.955	1/2 ⁻	[E2]	8.38×10 ⁻⁴	
629.90 25	0.059 @ 24	1729.473	3/2 ⁻	1099.619	3/2 ⁻	[M1+E2]	0.00067 15	

⁶¹Cu ε decay (3.336 h) 1978Me10,1988Sa26 (continued)

$\gamma(^{61}\text{Ni})$ (continued)									
E_γ [†]	I_γ ^{‡a}	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. &	δ &	α ^b	Comments
656.008 10	100.0 17	656.017	1/2 ⁻	0.0	3/2 ⁻	(M1+E2)	1.6 9	0.00066 10	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.00060$ 14; $\alpha(\text{L})=6.0\times 10^{-5}$ 14; $\alpha(\text{M})=8.4\times 10^{-6}$ 19 $\alpha(\text{N})=3.6\times 10^{-7}$ 8 $I_\gamma=0.059$ 24 (1978Me10). $\%I_\gamma=10.4$ 16 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.00059$ 9; $\alpha(\text{L})=5.9\times 10^{-5}$ 9; $\alpha(\text{M})=8.2\times 10^{-6}$ 13 $\alpha(\text{N})=3.5\times 10^{-7}$ 5 E_γ : uncertainty=0.004 in 1978Me10. $I_\gamma=100.0$ 17 (1988Sa26), 100.0 24 (1982Gr10), 100.0 23 (1978Me10, 2% uncertainty assigned by evaluator), 100 4 (1969Ri04), 100 3 (1967Sc02), 100 5 (1967Bo01), 100 10 (1967Be12). Other: 100 (1971VoZX).
701.1 ^c 3	0.106 [@] 24	1609.642	5/2 ⁻	908.620	5/2 ⁻	[M1+E2]		0.00051 10	$\%I_\gamma=0.0111$ 30 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.00046$ 9; $\alpha(\text{L})=4.5\times 10^{-5}$ 9; $\alpha(\text{M})=6.4\times 10^{-6}$ 12 $\alpha(\text{N})=2.7\times 10^{-7}$ 5 $I_\gamma=0.106$ 24 (1978Me10). $\%I_\gamma=0.35$ 5 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.000276$ 5; $\alpha(\text{L})=2.69\times 10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(\text{M})=3.79\times 10^{-6}$ 7 $\alpha(\text{N})=1.64\times 10^{-7}$ 3 I_γ : weighted average (NRM): $I_\gamma=2.85$ 7 (1988Sa26), 3.28 13 (1982Gr10), 3.39 7 (1978Me10), 3.84 16 (1969Ri04), 3.30 16 (1967Sc02), 2.5 12 (1967Bo01), 4.0 4 (1967Be12). Uncertainty got adjusted to 0.21 in 1988Sa26 value in the averaging procedure. Other: 1.6 (1971VoZX).
816.692 13	3.37 8	1099.619	3/2 ⁻	282.955	1/2 ⁻	M1+E2	+0.23 7	3.06×10^{-4} 6	$\%I_\gamma=0.022$ 4 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.00031$ 5; $\alpha(\text{L})=3.1\times 10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(\text{M})=4.3\times 10^{-6}$ 7 $\alpha(\text{N})=1.8\times 10^{-7}$ 3 $I_\gamma=0.211$ 24 (1978Me10). $\%I_\gamma=0.24$ 4 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.000316$ 6; $\alpha(\text{L})=3.10\times 10^{-5}$ 6; $\alpha(\text{M})=4.36\times 10^{-6}$ 8 $\alpha(\text{N})=1.87\times 10^{-7}$ 4 I_γ : weighted average (NRM): $I_\gamma=1.99$ 6 (1988Sa26), 2.47 10 (1982Gr 2.32 9 (1978Me10), 2.21 9 (1969Ri04), 2.29 13 (1967Sc02), 1.7 8 (1967Bo01), 2.45 25 (1967Be12). Uncertainty was doubled in 1988Sa26 for averaging procedure. Other: 1.6 (1971VoZX).
820.89 17	0.211 [@] 24	1729.473	3/2 ⁻	908.620	5/2 ⁻	[M1+E2]		0.00035 5	$\%I_\gamma=0.087$ 13
841.211 17	2.28 9	908.620	5/2 ⁻	67.416	5/2 ⁻	M1+E2	+1.83 20	3.51×10^{-4} 7	
902.294 20	0.831 24	1185.239	3/2 ⁻	282.955	1/2 ⁻	[M1+E2]		0.00028 4	

⁶¹Cu ε decay (3.336 h) 1978Me10,1988Sa26 (continued)

$\gamma(^{61}\text{Ni})$ (continued)									Comments
E_γ [†]	I_γ ^{‡a}	E_i (level)	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.&	δ &	α ^b	
908.631 17	10.46 20	908.620	5/2 ⁻	0.0	3/2 ⁻	M1+E2	-0.18 5	2.44×10 ⁻⁴	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.00025$ 3; $\alpha(\text{L})=2.4\times 10^{-5}$ 3; $\alpha(\text{M})=3.4\times 10^{-6}$ 5 $\alpha(\text{N})=1.48\times 10^{-7}$ 17 I_γ : weighted average: $I_\gamma=0.77$ 5 (1988Sa26), 0.844 24 (1978Me10), 1.0 4 (1969Ri04). % $I_\gamma=1.09$ 17 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.000219$ 4; $\alpha(\text{L})=2.14\times 10^{-5}$ 4; $\alpha(\text{M})=3.02\times 10^{-6}$ 5 $\alpha(\text{N})=1.305\times 10^{-7}$ 20 I_γ : weighted average: $I_\gamma=10.22$ 19 (1988Sa26), 10.47 29 (1982Gr10), 11.4 4 (1978Me10), 10.9 5 (1969Ri04), 10.3 5 (1967Sc02), 9.6 10 (1967Bo01), 10.7 11 (1967Be12). Other: 8.0 (1971VoZX).
947.4 4	0.027 15	1014.8	7/2 ⁻	67.416	5/2 ⁻	M1+E2	+2.46 15	2.68×10 ⁻⁴	% $I_\gamma=0.0028$ 16 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.000241$ 4; $\alpha(\text{L})=2.36\times 10^{-5}$ 4; $\alpha(\text{M})=3.32\times 10^{-6}$ 5 $\alpha(\text{N})=1.425\times 10^{-7}$ 21 I_γ : weighted average: $I_\gamma=0.09$ 5 (1988Sa26), 0.023 12 (1978Me10).
1014.8	0.009 5	1014.8	7/2 ⁻	0.0	3/2 ⁻	E2		2.33×10 ⁻⁴	% $I_\gamma=0.0009$ 5 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.000210$ 3; $\alpha(\text{L})=2.05\times 10^{-5}$ 3; $\alpha(\text{M})=2.89\times 10^{-6}$ 4 $\alpha(\text{N})=1.241\times 10^{-7}$ 18 I_γ : from branching ratios in Adopted dataset. Measured values in this decay are: 0.097 34 (1988Sa26), <0.012 (1978Me10). Value from 1988Sa26 seems too high.
1032.162 27	0.53 8	1099.619	3/2 ⁻	67.416	5/2 ⁻	[M1+E2]		2.06×10 ⁻⁴ 19	% $I_\gamma=0.055$ 12 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.000185$ 17; $\alpha(\text{L})=1.81\times 10^{-5}$ 17; $\alpha(\text{M})=2.54\times 10^{-6}$ 24 $\alpha(\text{N})=1.10\times 10^{-7}$ 10 I_γ : unweighted average: $I_\gamma=0.392$ 34 (1988Sa26), 0.62 11 (1978Me10), 0.31 19 (1969Ri04), 0.57 10 (1967Sc02), 0.75 10 (1967Be12).
1064.896 20	0.48 4	1132.333	5/2 ⁻	67.416	5/2 ⁻	M1+E2	+0.14 12	1.76×10 ⁻⁴ 3	% $I_\gamma=0.050$ 9 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.000159$ 3; $\alpha(\text{L})=1.54\times 10^{-5}$ 3; $\alpha(\text{M})=2.18\times 10^{-6}$ 4 $\alpha(\text{N})=9.43\times 10^{-8}$ 16 I_γ : weighted average: $I_\gamma=0.447$ 34 (1988Sa26), 0.56 5 (1978Me10), 0.48 15 (1969Ri04), 0.40 7 (1967Sc02), 0.68 10 (1967Be12).
1073.465 25	0.40 10	1729.473	3/2 ⁻	656.017	1/2 ⁻	[M1+E2]		1.89×10 ⁻⁴ 16	% $I_\gamma=0.042$ 12 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.000170$ 15; $\alpha(\text{L})=1.66\times 10^{-5}$ 15; $\alpha(\text{M})=2.33\times 10^{-6}$ 20 $\alpha(\text{N})=1.01\times 10^{-7}$ 9 I_γ : unweighted average: $I_\gamma=0.302$ 28 (1988Sa26), 0.50 4 (1978Me10). Others: 0.21 10 (1969Ri04), 0.08 6 (1967Sc02), 0.54 21 (1967Be12).
1089.11 ^c	≤0.006 [@]	1997.8	5/2 ⁻	908.620	5/2 ⁻	[M1+E2]		1.83×10 ⁻⁴ 15	% $I_\gamma\leq 0.0006$

^γ(⁶¹Ni) (continued)

E_γ [†]	I_γ ^{‡a}	E_i (level)	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.&	δ &	α ^b	Comments
1099.560 19	2.57 8	1099.619	3/2 ⁻	0.0	3/2 ⁻	M1+E2		1.79×10 ⁻⁴ 15	α (K)=0.000164 14; α (L)=1.60×10 ⁻⁵ 14; α (M)=2.26×10 ⁻⁶ 19 α (N)=9.8×10 ⁻⁸ 8 $I_\gamma \leq 0.006$ (1978Me10), $\%I_\gamma = 0.27$ 4 α (K)=0.000161 13; α (L)=1.57×10 ⁻⁵ 13; α (M)=2.21×10 ⁻⁶ 19 α (N)=9.6×10 ⁻⁸ 8 I_γ : weighted average (NRM): $I_\gamma = 2.28$ 6 (1988Sa26), 2.51 9 (1982Gr10), 2.66 9 (1978Me10), 3.55 28 (1969Ri04), 2.60 13 (1967Sc02), 2.3 8 (1967Bo01), 2.9 3 (1967Be12). Uncertainties got adjusted to 0.13 in 1988Sa26 and 0.40 in 1969Ri04. Other: 2.4 (1971VoZX).
1117.822 43	0.42 6	1185.239	3/2 ⁻	67.416	5/2 ⁻	[M1+E2]		1.74×10 ⁻⁴ 14	$\%I_\gamma = 0.044$ 9 α (K)=0.000155 12; α (L)=1.52×10 ⁻⁵ 12; α (M)=2.14×10 ⁻⁶ 17 α (N)=9.2×10 ⁻⁸ 7; α (IPF)=1.21×10 ⁻⁶ 23 I_γ : unweighted average: $I_\gamma = 0.30$ 4 (1988Sa26), 0.46 4 (1978Me10), 0.66 17 (1969Ri04), 0.27 5 (1967Sc02), 0.43 13 (1967Bo01), 0.42 7 (1967Be12).
1132.351 32	0.87 4	1132.333	5/2 ⁻	0.0	3/2 ⁻	M1+E2	-0.47 9	1.62×10 ⁻⁴ 3	$\%I_\gamma = 0.091$ 14 α (K)=0.0001441 24; α (L)=1.404×10 ⁻⁵ 24; α (M)=1.98×10 ⁻⁶ 4 α (N)=8.56×10 ⁻⁸ 15; α (IPF)=1.59×10 ⁻⁶ 5 $I_\gamma = 0.83$ 4 (1988Sa26), 0.93 4 (1978Me10), 1.09 17 (1969Ri04), 0.64 13 (1967Sc02), 0.60 18 (1967Bo01), 0.80 11 (1967Be12). Other: 0.6 (1971VoZX).
1185.234 15	34.9 7	1185.239	3/2 ⁻	0.0	3/2 ⁻	(M1+E2)	0.17 3	1.48×10 ⁻⁴	$\%I_\gamma = 3.6$ 6 α (K)=0.0001286 19; α (L)=1.251×10 ⁻⁵ 18; α (M)=1.763×10 ⁻⁶ 25 α (N)=7.65×10 ⁻⁸ 11; α (IPF)=4.87×10 ⁻⁶ 8 I_γ : weighted average: $I_\gamma = 34.8$ 7 (1988Sa26), 36.1 9 (1982Gr10), 34.6 7 (1978Me10), 34.5 10 (1967Sc02), 33.0 17 (1967Bo01), 40 4 (1967Be12). Others: 28.0 (1971VoZX), 41.7 17 (1969Ri04, seems a discrepant value).
1446.492 19	0.442 24	1729.473	3/2 ⁻	282.955	1/2 ⁻	[M1+E2]		1.62×10 ⁻⁴ 14	$\%I_\gamma = 0.046$ 7 α (K)=9.2×10 ⁻⁵ 5; α (L)=8.9×10 ⁻⁶ 5; α (M)=1.26×10 ⁻⁶ 6 α (N)=5.44×10 ⁻⁸ 25; α (IPF)=6.0×10 ⁻⁵ 9 I_γ : weighted average: $I_\gamma = 0.418$ 27 (1988Sa26), 0.469 24 (1978Me10), 0.66 12 (1969Ri04), 0.27 9 (1967Sc02), 0.43 13 (1967Bo01), 0.35 11 (1967Be12). Other: 0.36 (1971VoZX).
1542.204 23	0.313 41	1609.642	5/2 ⁻	67.416	5/2 ⁻	M1+E2	-0.07 5	1.66×10 ⁻⁴	$\%I_\gamma = 0.033$ 7 α (K)=7.78×10 ⁻⁵ 11; α (L)=7.55×10 ⁻⁶ 11; α (M)=1.063×10 ⁻⁶ 15 α (N)=4.62×10 ⁻⁸ 7; α (IPF)=7.95×10 ⁻⁵ 12 I_γ : unweighted average: $I_\gamma = 0.238$ 20 (1988Sa26), 0.317 12 (1978Me10), 0.46 5 (1969Ri04), 0.18 7 (1967Sc02), 0.29 9 (1967Bo01), 0.39 10 (1967Be12). Other: 0.24 (1971VoZX).

$\gamma(^{61}\text{Ni})$ (continued)

E_γ [†]	I_γ ^{‡a}	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ^{&}	δ ^{&}	α ^b	Comments
1609.625 48	0.233 22	1609.642	5/2 ⁻	0.0	3/2 ⁻	M1+E2	-0.33 14	1.86×10 ⁻⁴ 4	%I _γ =0.024 4 α(K)=7.24×10 ⁻⁵ 11; α(L)=7.02×10 ⁻⁶ 11; α(M)=9.90×10 ⁻⁷ 16 α(N)=4.30×10 ⁻⁸ 7; α(IPF)=0.000105 3 I _γ : weighted average: I _γ =0.197 19 (1988Sa26), 0.258 24 (1978Me10), 0.37 5 (1969Ri04), 0.19 6 (1967Sc02), 0.27 8 (1967Bo01), 0.28 8 (1967Be12). Other: 0.24 (1971VoZX).
1662.000 19	0.492 24	1729.473	3/2 ⁻	67.416	5/2 ⁻	[M1+E2]		2.16×10 ⁻⁴ 20	%I _γ =0.051 8 α(K)=7.0×10 ⁻⁵ 3; α(L)=6.8×10 ⁻⁶ 3; α(M)=9.6×10 ⁻⁷ 4 α(N)=4.16×10 ⁻⁸ 15; α(IPF)=0.000138 17 I _γ : weighted average: I _γ =0.490 27 (1988Sa26), 0.492 24 (1978Me10), 0.56 5 (1969Ri04), 0.36 10 (1967Sc02), 0.45 13 (1967Bo01), 0.42 10 (1967Be12). Other: 0.36 (1971VoZX).
1729.473 18	0.48 6	1729.473	3/2 ⁻	0.0	3/2 ⁻	[M1+E2]		2.37×10 ⁻⁴ 22	%I _γ =0.050 10 α(K)=6.51×10 ⁻⁵ 23; α(L)=6.32×10 ⁻⁶ 23; α(M)=8.9×10 ⁻⁷ 4 α(N)=3.86×10 ⁻⁸ 13; α(IPF)=0.000165 20 I _γ : unweighted average: I _γ =0.500 27 (1988Sa26), 0.75 5 (1978Me10), 0.50 6 (1969Ri04), 0.30 8 (1967Sc02), 0.42 13 (1967Bo01), 0.42 6 (1967Be12). Other: 0.36 (1971VoZX).
1840.7 2	0.016 [@] 5	2123.48	1/2 ⁻	282.955	1/2 ⁻	[M1]		2.51×10 ⁻⁴	%I _γ =0.0017 6 α(K)=5.64×10 ⁻⁵ 8; α(L)=5.46×10 ⁻⁶ 8; α(M)=7.70×10 ⁻⁷ 11 α(N)=3.35×10 ⁻⁸ 5; α(IPF)=0.000189 3 I _γ =0.016 5 (1978Me10).
1997.73 85	0.036 11	1997.8	5/2 ⁻	0.0	3/2 ⁻	M1+E2	-0.27 6	3.13×10 ⁻⁴	%I _γ =0.0038 13 α(K)=4.89×10 ⁻⁵ 7; α(L)=4.74×10 ⁻⁶ 7; α(M)=6.68×10 ⁻⁷ 10 α(N)=2.90×10 ⁻⁸ 4; α(IPF)=0.000259 4 I _γ : weighted average: I _γ =0.036 11 (1988Sa26), 0.035 12 (1978Me10), 0.041 20 (1969Ri04). Other: 0.16 for a 1990 _γ (1971VoZX).
2120.0 ^{#c}	<0.09	2120.0?		0.0	3/2 ⁻				%I _γ <0.0095 I _γ <0.09 (1988Sa26).
2123.432 35	0.397 24	2123.48	1/2 ⁻	0.0	3/2 ⁻	[M1+E2]		0.00039 4	%I _γ =0.041 7 α(K)=4.49×10 ⁻⁵ 12; α(L)=4.35×10 ⁻⁶ 12; α(M)=6.12×10 ⁻⁷ 17 α(N)=2.66×10 ⁻⁸ 7; α(IPF)=0.00034 4 E _γ : unweighted averaged value of 2123.78 18 obtained

61Cu ε decay (3.336 h) 1978Me10,1988Sa26 (continued)

γ(61Ni) (continued)

Eγ†	Ei(level)	Comments
		from 2123.432 35, 2124.0 7 from (α,nγ) and 2123.90 3 from (n,γ) is listed in Adopted dataset. Eγ=2128.0 8 in 1967Sc02, 2119.8 5 in 1967Bo01.
		Iγ=0.384 27 (1988Sa26), 0.375 24 (1978Me10), 0.44 3 (1969Ri04), 0.36 11 (1967Sc02), 0.38 13 (1967Bo01), 0.42 4 (1967Be12).

† From 1978Me10 unless otherwise stated. Uncertainties of five γ-ray energies quoted in 1978Me10 are unrealistically small. Evaluator assigns a minimum energy uncertainty of 0.010 keV, while uncertainty listed in 1978Me10 is given under comments.

‡ From averages of values from different studies as stated in comments.

γ from 1988Sa26 only.

@ γ from 1978Me10 only.

& From Adopted Gammas.

a For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.104 16.

b Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ-ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

c Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^{61}Cu ϵ decay (3.336 h) 1978Me10,1988Sa26

