#### Adopted Levels, Gammas

History								
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date					
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh	ENSDF	25-Mar-2019					

 $Q(\beta^{-})=7178 \ 3; \ S(n)=6846 \ 3; \ S(p)=12122.6 \ 26; \ Q(\alpha)=-994\times10^{1} \ 23 \ 2017Wa10$ 

S(p) deduced by evaluator from mass excess for <sup>61</sup>Mn from 2017Wa10, and that for <sup>61</sup>Cr from 2018Mo14 measurement.  $Q(\beta^{-}n)=1600 4$ , S(2n)=12359 3, S(2p)=28490 160 (2017Wa10).

1985Ru05: first identification of <sup>61</sup>Mn from fragmentation of <sup>82</sup>Se beam at 11.5 MeV/nucleon on tungsten target using on-line mass separator at GSI facility.

1999Ha05: 1-GeV proton-induced spallation of uranium UC<sub>2</sub> target at ISOLDE-CERN facility. The laser ionized Mn isotopes were extracted from the ion source and mass separated. Using tape systems measured  $\beta$ -delayed neutron multiscaling, E $\gamma$  and  $\gamma\gamma$  coincidence.  $\beta$ -delayed neutron collected by multiscaling measurements using Mainz 4 $\pi$  He neutron counter.

Mass measurements: 2012Na15 (also 2012He13), 1994Se12, 1990Tu01.

Theory references: consult the NSR database (www.nndc.bnl.gov/nsr/) for ten references for structure calculations. Additional information 1.

### <sup>61</sup>Mn Levels

#### Cross Reference (XREF) Flags

- A  $^{61}$ Cr  $\beta^-$  decay (234 ms)
- B Coulomb excitation
- C  $^{238}$ U( $^{64}$ Ni,X $\gamma$ )
- **D**  $^{238}$ U( $^{70}$ Zn,X $\gamma$ )

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^{\pi}$	T <sub>1/2</sub>	XREF		Comments
0.0 <sup>@</sup>	5/2 <sup>(-)#</sup>	0.709 s 8	ABCD	$\%\beta^{-}=100; \%\beta^{-}n \le 0.2 \ (2013 \text{Ra}17)$	

- $\mu$ =+3.535 2 (2016Ba44)
- Q=+0.36 3 (2016Ba44)
- Other  $\%\beta$ -n=0.6 *1* from 2000HaZL is not adopted, as values for other Mn isotopes in this work are in disagreement with published data.
- Theoretical  $T_{1/2}=224$  ms,  $\%\beta^{-}n=0.02$  (2003Mo09).

Theoretical  $T_{1/2}=5.7$  s,  $\%\beta^{-}n=0.4$  (2016Ma12).

- $J^{\pi}$ : spin from analysis of hyperfine structure spectrum in 2015Ba49, using collinear laser spectroscopy. Spin of 7/2 gave unrealistically large and negative static quadrupole moment of -5.6 8. Parity from probable allowed  $\beta$  feeding of (3/2<sup>-</sup>) g.s. in <sup>61</sup>Fe from <sup>61</sup>Mn decay. Large-scale shell-model calculations, and systematics of ground states of odd-A Mn nuclei suggest 5/2<sup>-</sup>.
- $\mu$ ,Q: from collinear laser spectroscopy technique at ISOLDE-CERN (2016Ba44) The moments were measured with reference to  $\mu$ =+3.46871790 *9* (1974Lu08) and Q=+0.33 *1* (1979De19) for <sup>55</sup>Mn. Earlier value:  $\mu$ =+3.534 *1* (2015Ba49 and 2015He10, from the same group as 2016Ba44). See also 2017Ne04 review article from the same group.
- $\delta < r^2 > (5^5 \text{Mn}, 6^1 \text{Mn}) = +0.504 \text{ fm}^2$  15(stat) 53(syst) for atomic transitions; +0.504 fm<sup>2</sup> 5(stat) 54(syst) for ionic transitions (2016He14, from hyperfine structures using collinear laser spectroscopy at ISOLDE-CERN).
- Isotope shift:  $\delta < v > (^{55}Mn, ^{61}Mn) = 1843$  MHz 7(stat) 25(syst) for atomic transitions; 1215 MHz 2(stat) 27(syst) for ionic transitions (2016He14, from hyperfine structures using collinear laser spectroscopy at ISOLDE-CERN).
- $J^{\pi}$ : large-scale shell-model predictions and systematics of ground states of odd-A Mn nuclei. Probable allowed  $\beta$  feeding of (3/2<sup>-</sup>) g.s. in <sup>61</sup>Fe from <sup>61</sup>Mn decay supports this assignment, and also suggests the same parity for the ground states of <sup>61</sup>Mn and <sup>61</sup>Fe.
- T<sub>1/2</sub>: weighted average of 0.708 s 8 (2013Ra17, decay curves for several  $\gamma$  rays) and

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

## Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued)

#### <sup>61</sup>Mn Levels (continued)

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^{\pi}$	XREF	Comments			
			0.71 s <i>I</i> (1985Ru05, from $\gamma$ timing). Other: 623 ms <i>I0</i> (1999Ha05, method not given, possibly $\beta$ -fragment correlations). Low and discrepant value from 1999Ha05 is probably due to mixture of some impurities. Values obtained from $\gamma$ -ray measurements, being more specific, are preferred here.			
157.1 <sup>@</sup> 2	$(7/2^{-})^{\#}$	ABCD	$T_{1/2}$ : <1.7 ns (minimum flight time between the secondary target and the particle detector (2009Va16).			
1034.6 <sup>@</sup> 3	$(9/2^{-})^{\#}$	BCD				
1142.3 4	(1/2 <sup>-</sup> ,3/2)	A	J <sup><math>\pi</math></sup> : $\gamma$ to 5/2 <sup>(-)</sup> ; probably low spin, as the level is not populated in heavy-ion in-beam studies.			
1281.8 <sup>@</sup> 3	$(11/2^{-})^{\#}$	BCD	$J^{\pi}$ : $\Delta J=(2)$ , (Q) $\gamma$ to (7/2 <sup>-</sup> ).			
1497.2 4	(3/2,5/2,7/2)	Α	$J^{\pi}$ : log ft=4.9 from (5/2 <sup>-</sup> ) parent value.			
1860.8 4	$(3/2, 5/2, 7/2)^{\ddagger}$	Α				
2031.8? 7		Α				
2201.9? 4		С				
2378.2 4	$(3/2,5/2,7/2)^{\ddagger}$	Α				
2502.2 <sup>@</sup> 4	$(15/2^{-})$	С	$J^{\pi}$ : $\Delta J=(2)$ , (Q) $\gamma$ to (11/2 <sup>-</sup> ).			
2607.5? 5		С				
2753.1 <sup>@</sup> 5		С				
3131.6 <sup>@</sup> 6		С				
3572.4 <sup>@</sup> 7		С				

<sup>†</sup> From least-square fit to  $E\gamma$  data.

<sup>‡</sup>  $\gamma$  to  $5/2^{(-)}$  suggests  $1/2^-$  to  $9/2^-$ ; 1/2 or 9/2 less likely from apparent  $\beta$  feeding from  $(5/2^-)$  parent state. Parity would be negative if the  $\beta$  transition is allowed, as suggested by apparent log *ft* value.

<sup>#</sup> Systematics of  $J^{\pi}$  of ground states of odd Mn nuclei and shell-model calculations (2009Cr02,2008Va08). See also shell-model calculations by 2013Ji04, level spectrum shown in figure 3.

<sup>@</sup> Seq.(A):  $\gamma$  cascade based on (5/2<sup>-</sup>).

# $\gamma(^{61}Mn)$

E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$\mathbf{E}_f = \mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}$	Mult. <sup>‡</sup>	α <b>#</b>	Comments
157.1	(7/2-)	157.1 2	100	0.0 5/2 <sup>(-)</sup>	(M1+E2)	0.044 35	B(E2)(W.u.)=30 4 (2009Va16) E <sub>\gamma</sub> : unweighted average of 157.2 5 ( $\beta^-$ data), 157.3 2 (( $^{64}$ Ni,X <sub>Y</sub> ) data), 156.7 1 (( $^{70}$ Zn,X <sub>Y</sub> ) data). Weighted average is 156.8 2 but with reduced $\chi^2$ =3.9. $\alpha$ : value overlaps M1 and E2. B(E2)(W.u.) is obtained by 2009Va16 with constrained B(M1)=0.008 based on T <sub>1/2</sub> (157 level)<1.7 ns (minimum flight time between the secondary target and the particle detector) since mixing ratio of the 157-keV transition could not be obtained from their $\gamma(\theta)$ data.
1034.6	(9/2 <sup>-</sup> )	877.6 <i>3</i>	100	157.1 (7/2 <sup>-</sup> )	[M1(+E2)]		B(E2)(W.u.)=0 (2009Va16)
		(1035)		0.0 5/2 <sup>(-)</sup>	[E2]		$B(E2)(W.u.) = 7.5 \ 15 \ (2009Va16)$ B(E2)(W.u.) from Coulomb excitation.
1142.3	$(1/2^-, 3/2)$	1142.2 4	100	0.0 5/2 <sup>(-)</sup>			

## Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued)

E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathrm{J}_i^\pi$	$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$E_f$	$\mathrm{J}_f^\pi$	Mult.‡	Comments
1281.8	$(11/2^{-})$	247.2 2	20 8	1034.6	(9/2 <sup>-</sup> )		
		1124.6 2	100 20	157.1	$(7/2^{-})$	(Q)	
1497.2	(3/2,5/2,7/2)	354.8 4	100 13	1142.3	$(1/2^{-}, 3/2)$		
		1497.3 5	56 13	0.0	$5/2^{(-)}$		
1860.8	(3/2,5/2,7/2)	1860.8 4	100	0.0	$5/2^{(-)}$		
2031.8?		534.6 <sup>@</sup> 5	100	1497.2	(3/2,5/2,7/2)		
2201.9?		920.1 <sup>@</sup> 2	100	1281.8	$(11/2^{-})$		
2378.2	(3/2, 5/2, 7/2)	2378.2 4	100	0.0	$5/2^{(-)}$		
2502.2	$(15/2^{-})$	1220.4 2	100	1281.8	$(11/2^{-})$	(Q)	
2607.5?		405.6 <sup>@</sup> 3	100 50	2201.9?			
		1325.7 <sup>@</sup> 10	100 50	1281.8	$(11/2^{-})$		
2753.1		250.9 <i>3</i>	100	2502.2	$(15/2^{-})$	(D)	Mult.: $\Delta J=(0)$ .
3131.6		378.5 <i>3</i>	100	2753.1			
3572.4		440.8 <i>3</i>	100	3131.6			

# $\gamma(^{61}Mn)$ (continued)

<sup>†</sup> Weighted averages from all available data, unless noted otherwise. <sup>‡</sup> Tentative assignment from  $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$  data in <sup>238</sup>U(<sup>64</sup>Ni,X $\gamma$ ).

<sup>#</sup> Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on  $\gamma$ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

<sup>@</sup> Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.



<sup>61</sup><sub>25</sub>Mn<sub>36</sub>

# Adopted Levels, Gammas



 $^{61}_{25}Mn_{36}$