

$^{16}\text{O}(^{48}\text{Ca},\text{p}2\text{n}\gamma)$ 1996Re18

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Kazimierz Zuber, Balraj Singh		NDS 125, 1 (2015)	25-Jan-2015

1996Re18: ^{61}Co produced in $^{16}\text{O}(^{48}\text{Ca},\text{p}2\text{n})$ reaction at a beam energy of 110 MeV. Measured E_γ , I_γ , $\gamma(\theta)$, $\text{p}\gamma$, $\text{n}\text{p}\gamma$ and $\text{p}\gamma\gamma$ coin, lifetimes by the Doppler shift attenuation method (DSAM).

 ^{61}Co Levels

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	$T_{1/2}$ [#]	Comments
0.0	7/2 ⁻		
1028.0 5	(3/2)		
1284.5 ^a 5	9/2 ⁻	0.55 ps 14	
1326.0 9	(1/2)		J^π : 1/2 consistent with isotropic $\gamma(\theta)$.
1663.8 [@] 6	11/2 ⁻	7 ps +7-2	
2338.1 ^a 8	(11/2 ⁻)	1.7 ps +4-3	
2373.8 [@] 7	(13/2 ⁻)		
3126.2 [@] 7	(15/2 ⁻)		
3471.0 ^a 8	(13/2 ⁻)		
3657.4 ^{&} 8	(15/2 ⁻)		
4093.1 ^{&} 9	(17/2 ⁻)	0.76 ps 21	
4801.6 ^{&} 18	(19/2 ⁻)		

[†] From a least-squares fit to E_γ data.

[‡] From 1996Re18 based on $\gamma(\theta)$ data and decay pattern, assuming yrast type of level population. See also Adopted Levels for confirming or different assignments in some cases.

[#] From Doppler shift attenuation method (DSAM). Because of the incomplete nature of the decay scheme, the side feeding into the gamma transitions used for $T_{1/2}$ determination is not well known. Hence, the intrinsic lifetimes of the states could not be accurately deduced, and only apparent lifetimes, and should be treated as lower limits.

[@] Band(A): γ cascade based on 11/2⁻.

[&] Band(B): γ cascade based on (15/2⁻).

^a Band(C): γ cascade based on 9/2⁻.

 $\gamma(^{61}\text{Co})$

Angular distributions for two angles $I_\gamma(135^\circ)/I_\gamma(90^\circ)$, measuring the anisotropy using the 90° and 135° detectors, negative value of A_2 is for dipole transition and positive value for quadrupole or a J to J dipole transition. Mixed dipole/quadrupole transitions can have either positive or negative value of A_2 .

E_γ	I_γ [†]	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [‡]	Comments
186.4 5	29 4	3657.4	(15/2 ⁻)	3471.0	(13/2 ⁻)	(D)	$A_2 = -0.10$ 13 $I_\gamma(135^\circ)/I_\gamma(90^\circ) = 0.93$ 9.
298.0 7	1 1	1326.0	(1/2)	1028.0	(3/2)		$A_2 = +0.17$ 38 $I_\gamma(135^\circ)/I_\gamma(90^\circ) = 1.1$ 4.
379.1 5	25 4	1663.8	11/2 ⁻	1284.5	9/2 ⁻		$A_2 = -0.04$ 15 $I_\gamma(135^\circ)/I_\gamma(90^\circ) = 0.97$ 12.
435.7 5	28 5	4093.1	(17/2 ⁻)	3657.4	(15/2 ⁻)	(D)	$A_2 = -0.13$ 15 $I_\gamma(135^\circ)/I_\gamma(90^\circ) = 0.91$ 11.
531.2 5	12 3	3657.4	(15/2 ⁻)	3126.2	(15/2 ⁻)	(D)	$A_2 = +0.45$ 29 $I_\gamma(135^\circ)/I_\gamma(90^\circ) = 1.4$ 3. $\gamma(\theta)$ consistent with $\Delta J = 0$, dipole transition.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{16}\text{O}(^{48}\text{Ca}, \text{p}2\text{n}\gamma)$ **1996Re18 (continued)** $\gamma(^{61}\text{Co})$ (continued)

E_γ	$I_\gamma^\dagger$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ‡	Comments
708.5 15	28 6	4801.6	(19/2 ⁻)	4093.1	(17/2 ⁻)	(D)	$A_2 = -0.16$ 12 for the unresolved doublet: 708.5 and 710.0 keV.
710.0 5	42.7 6	2373.8	(13/2 ⁻)	1663.8	11/2 ⁻	(D)	$I_\gamma(135^\circ)/I_\gamma(90^\circ) = 0.89$ 8. I_γ : deduced from p- γ - γ coin data.
752.4 5	18.2 40	3126.2	(15/2 ⁻)	2373.8	(13/2 ⁻)	D	$A_2 = -0.16$ 12 for the unresolved doublet: 708.5 and 710.0 keV. $I_\gamma(135^\circ)/I_\gamma(90^\circ) = 0.89$ 8.
1028.0 5	6.4 9	1028.0	(3/2)	0.0	7/2 ⁻		$A_2 = -0.24$ 21 $I_\gamma(135^\circ)/I_\gamma(90^\circ) = 0.84$ 14. $\Delta J = 1$ transition.
1053.9 7	24.5 6	2338.1	(11/2 ⁻)	1284.5	9/2 ⁻	D	$A_2 = -0.13$ 27 $I_\gamma(135^\circ)/I_\gamma(90^\circ) = 0.91$ 20.
1133.3 7	7.3 3	3471.0	(13/2 ⁻)	2338.1	(11/2 ⁻)	(D)	$A_2 = -0.27$ 19 $I_\gamma(135^\circ)/I_\gamma(90^\circ) = 0.82$ 14. $\gamma(\theta)$ consistent with a $\Delta J = 1$ transition.
1284.5 6	92.7 8	1284.5	9/2 ⁻	0.0	7/2 ⁻		$A_2 = +0.06$ 29 $I_\gamma(135^\circ)/I_\gamma(90^\circ) = 1.1$ 3.
1462.4 8	4.5 2	3126.2	(15/2 ⁻)	1663.8	11/2 ⁻	Q	$A_2 = +0.5$ 5 $I_\gamma(135^\circ)/I_\gamma(90^\circ) = 1.5$ 5.
1663.8 7	100 14	1663.8	11/2 ⁻	0.0	7/2 ⁻	(E2)	$A_2 = +0.38$ 13 $I_\gamma(135^\circ)/I_\gamma(90^\circ) = 1.35$ 17.
1806.5 10	29 4	3471.0	(13/2 ⁻)	1663.8	11/2 ⁻	(D)	$A_2 = -0.13$ 14 $I_\gamma(135^\circ)/I_\gamma(90^\circ) = 0.91$ 10.

† Relative intensities from p- γ data, normalized to $I_\gamma(1663.8\gamma) = 100$, unless indicated otherwise.

‡ From $\gamma(\theta)$ and ΔJ^π .

$^{16}\text{O}(^{48}\text{Ca}, p2n\gamma)$ 1996Re18

Level Scheme

Intensities: Relative I_γ

Legend

- $\longrightarrow$ $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
 $\longrightarrow$ $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
 $\longrightarrow$ $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$



