

$^{58}\text{Ni}(\text{t},\text{p}), (\text{pol t},\text{p}) \quad 1971\text{Da16}$

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	E. Browne, J. K. Tuli		NDS 114, 1849 (2013)	31-Dec-2012

(t,p): E ≈ 12 MeV. Measured $\sigma(\theta)$, $\theta=12.5^\circ$ - 57.5° .
Magnetic spectrograph, enriched target ([1971Da16](#)).

(pol t,p): E=17 MeV. Polarized beam. Measured $\sigma(\theta)$,
analyzing power, $A_y(\theta)$, $\theta=10^\circ$ - 60° .
Enriched target, magnetic spectrograph, FWHM ≈ 40 keV
([1980Al11](#)).
E=17 MeV. Polarized beam. Measured $\sigma(\theta)$, analyzing
power, $A_y(\theta)$, $\theta(\text{c.m.}) \approx 15^\circ$ to 60° , for
reaction to $2620^+, 3^+$ level. Enriched target, magnetic
spectrograph ([1977Bo11](#)).

 ^{60}Ni Levels

E(A),L(A) From [1980Al11](#).

E(level) [†]	L [†]	Comments
0.0	0	
1338 <i>I</i> 0	2	
2160	2	
2293 <i>I</i> 0	0	
2512 <i>I</i> 0	4	
2620		E(level): known $J^\pi=3^+$ level. $\sigma(\theta)$ and analyzing power reproduced reasonably well by sequential transfer reaction calculation (1977Bo11).
3120 <i>I</i> 0		E(level): L=2+4 doublet proposed by 1969Jo04 .
3190 <i>I</i> 0		
3272 <i>I</i> 0	(2)	
3318 <i>I</i> 0		
3394 <i>I</i> 0	2	
3737 <i>I</i> 0		
3875 <i>I</i> 0		
4009 <i>I</i> 0		
4042 <i>I</i> 0	3	
4321 <i>I</i> 0		E(level): probable doublet, L=(2+?).
4359 <i>I</i> 0		
4577 <i>I</i> 0	2	
4849 <i>I</i> 0		
5012 <i>I</i> 0	4	
5064 <i>I</i> 0	(1)	
5233 <i>I</i> 0	4	
5347 <i>I</i> 0		
5398 <i>I</i> 0	3	
5443 <i>I</i> 0	2	
5531 <i>I</i> 0	(0)	
5643 <i>I</i> 0		
5925 <i>I</i> 0		
5985 <i>I</i> 0		
6062 <i>I</i> 0		
6116 <i>I</i> 0		
6149 <i>I</i> 0		
6178 <i>I</i> 0	(1)	
6230 <i>I</i> 0		
6291 <i>I</i> 0		

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

 $^{58}\text{Ni}(\text{t},\text{p})$, (pol t,p) 1971Da16 (continued) **^{60}Ni Levels (continued)**E(level)[†]6324 *I0*6355 *I0*6463 *I0*6586 *I0*

[†] From 1971Da16, except as noted. L from comparison of $\sigma(\theta)$ with levels of known spins.