

$^{59}\text{Co}(\pi^+, \pi^-)$ **1991Mo02**

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	M. Shamsuzzoha Basunia	NDS 151, 1 (2018)		1-Apr-2018

1991Mo02: $E(\pi^+) = 292$ MeV, $\theta_{\text{lab}} = 5^\circ, 11^\circ$; observed double IAS and GDR built on IAS. For further discussion and additional results from authors of [1991Mo02](#), see [1991Fo02](#), [1994Mo04](#), [1994Sm05](#), [1996Mo03](#). Supersedes [1989Mo09](#).

1994Sm05: $E(\pi^+) = 295$ MeV, $\theta_{\text{lab}} = 5^\circ, 11^\circ$; FWHM ≈ 0.5 MeV for elastic peak; measured Q value and cross section for double IAS.

 ^{59}Cu Levels

E(level) [†]	Γ	Comments
11.1×10^3		Double IAS. E from 1991Mo02 based on $Q = -15.9$ MeV <i>I</i> (1991Mo02). Other Q : -16.10 MeV <i>6</i> (1994Sm05).
27.9×10^3 [‡]	7.0 [‡] MeV <i>10</i>	GDR \otimes IAS resonance; not a discrete level. $Q = -32.7$ MeV <i>4</i> (1991Mo02).

[†] From [1991Mo02](#). The evaluator assumes that these values have been corrected for any energy-scale offset resulting from 1.079 gm/cm² target thickness. Authors do not give $Q(\beta^-)$ value or other evidence for g.s. population.

[‡] Deduced by [1991Mo02](#) for the single resonance peak observed. However, this resonance presumably is an unresolved doublet comprised of $T_<$ and $T_>$ components; a two-level fit to the observed resonance gives $Q = -30.9$ MeV *5*, $\Gamma = 3.7$ MeV *9* and $Q = -34.3$ MeV *6*, $\Gamma = 5.0$ MeV *16* for the respective components ([1991Mo02](#)).