58 Fe(p, γ) 1975Br29

History

Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date		
Full Evaluation	M. Shamsuzzoha Basunia	NDS 151, 1 (2018)	1-Apr-2018		

Others: 1972Pe23, 1973PeYY, 1974Ke14, 1977Ri14, 1979PiZO, 1982Ni05, 1993Ti06, 2000Ra27, 2001Fe06. 2000Ra27: E(p)=1.5-3.0 MeV, 90.7% ⁵⁸Fe target, pair spectrometer at 55°, NaI detector; measured primary γ spectra, yield of γ 's directly populating g.s.; deduced radiative strength function from summed primary γ spectra for a sequence of E(p) values (energy steps equal to energy loss in target). See also 2001Fe06.

1993Ti06: E(p)=0.785-4.65 MeV, natural Fe target; measured σ (E).

1977Ri14: E(p)=2227; measured absolute resonance strength.

1975Br29: E(p)=2150-2270 in steps of 0.7 keV. Enriched targets (82% ⁵⁸Fe + 16% ⁵⁶Fe), Ge(Li) and NaI detectors, θ =55°; measured excit ($E\gamma > 5$ MeV), $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$.

1974Ke14: E(p)=2200-2250. Ge(Li) detector; measured $E\gamma(\theta=90^\circ)$, primary γ ray branching (from $I\gamma(\theta=55^\circ)$), excit ($E\gamma>1$ MeV), DSAM at $\theta=0^{\circ}$, 90° , 135° . 14 resonances (or clusters of levels) observed, E(level)=9526-9566; E(p)(res) consistently 3-5 keV higher than in 1975Br29, 7-10 keV higher than in (p,p') of 1971Li14.

For average resonance spectroscopy, see 1982Ni05.

For γ and inelastic p widths of the eight most intense probable fragments of the $p_{3/2}$ ⁵⁹Fe(g.s.) analogue resonance, see 1975Br29. For additional resonances, see 1972Pe23, 1974Ke14.

⁵⁹Co Levels

E(level) [†]	T _{1/2} ‡	Comments
0.0 1098.62 4 1189.78 5 1290.79 5 1433.24 8	≤111 fs	E(level): 1098.9 4 from 1974Ke14. E(level): 1190.2 4 from 1974Ke14. E(level): 1291.3 4 from 1974Ke14.
1459.19 <i>17</i> 1480.97 <i>4</i> 1743.73 <i>5</i> 2060.71 <i>7</i> 2086.24 <i>7</i> 2154&	≤173 fs ≤173 fs ≤97 fs ≤173 fs	E(level): 1481.8 <i>4</i> from 1974Ke14. E(level): 1744.5 <i>4</i> from 1974Ke14. E(level): 2064.5 <i>6</i> from 1974Ke14. E(level): 2087.6 <i>6</i> from 1974Ke14.
2154 ^{cc} 2203.68 7 2395 ^{&}		
2478.04 <i>11</i> 2540 ^{&}	≤13 fs	E(level): 2479.1 6 from 1974Ke14.
2581.82 10 2711.74 8 2769.01 10 2781.74 22 2816.7 5 2828.23 8 2912& 2955.16 11 2963.17 21 2973.0 3 3015& 3063& 3086.6 5 3121.94 12 3141.17 14 3162.50 19 3193.9 5	0.21 ps +10-6	E(level): 2582.8 6 from 1974Ke14.

⁵⁸Fe(p,γ) **1975Br29** (continued)

⁵⁹Co Levels (continued)

E(level) [†]	J^{π}	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
3220 &			
3240.86 16			
3319.93 14			
3487.55 16			
3562.03 19			
3570.1 <i>3</i>			
3621.4 3			
3649.46 20			
4009.3 3			
4406.88 21			
4412.1 3			
4508.58 15			E(layal) = 0.524.4 from $E(r)(lab) = 2109 lm V (1075 Pr 20) and S(r) = 7262.6.4 (2017 We 10)$
9324.31 9	(2.12-)		E(level): 9324.4 from E(p)(lab)=2198 keV (1975B129) and S(p)=7305.0 4 (2017Wa10).
9541.31" <i>13</i>	$(3/2^{-})$	≈15.5 eV	E(level): 9542.0 from E(p)(lab)=2216 keV (19/5Br29) and S(p)=/363.6 4 (2017Wa10).
			J^{n} : if fragment of J^{s} Fe(g.s.) analogue.
			$\Gamma_{\gamma} = 0.81 \text{ eV} (1975 \text{Br}29), \text{ if } \Gamma \approx \Gamma_{p0}; 1.0 \text{ eV} (1972 \text{Pe}23).$
9549.71 [#] 11	$(3/2^{-})$	≈102 eV	E(level): 9549.9 from E(p)(lab)=2224 keV (1975Br29) and S(p)=7363.6 4 (2017Wa10).
			J^{π} : if fragment of ⁵⁹ Fe(g.s.) analogue.
			Γ_{γ} =0.62 eV (1975Br29), if $\Gamma \approx \Gamma_{p0}$; 0.92 eV (1972Pe23).
9553.02 ^{#@} 8	$(3/2^{-})$	≈57 eV	E(level): 9552.85 from E(p)(lab)=2227 keV (1975Br29) and S(p)=7363.6 4 (2017Wa10).
			J^{π} : if fragment of ⁵⁹ Fe(g.s.) analogue.
			Γ =1.06 eV (1975Br29), if $\Gamma \approx \Gamma_{p0}$; 1.40 eV (1972Pe23); 1.25 eV 20 (1977Ri14), if
			$\Gamma = \Gamma_{\rm p0}.$
11197	$(5/2^{-})$		E(level): from E(p)(lab)=3900 keV (1993Ti06) and S(p)=7363.6 4 (2017Wa10).
			J^{π} : analogue of 5/2 ⁻⁵⁹ Fe(1570 level).

[†] From least-squares fit to γ -ray energies. Uncertainty for all γ rays were doubled during the fit, differing from least squares adjustment by at least 3σ or more (identified by footnote), except 1098.5 γ 6055.4 γ , and 7467.0 γ from 1098.5, 9541.3, and 9553.0 keV levels, respectively.

[±] From 1974Ke14, DSAM at E(p)=2233 keV if E(level)<9500; from $\Gamma_{\gamma}+\Gamma_{p0}+\Gamma_{p1}$ from fig.2 of 1972Pe23 otherwise.

[#] Probable fragment of ⁵⁹Fe(g.s.) analogue. In addition to the 3 whose decays are detailed here, 1975Br29 report g.s. analogue fragments at E(p)=2213, 2220, 2223, 2231, and 2234 keV with $\Gamma_{\gamma} \leq 0.31$ eV.

[@] Resonance strength [(2J+1) $\Gamma_p\Gamma_{\gamma}/\Gamma$]=5.0 eV 8 (1977Ri14).

& 2000Ra27 report population of this level by primary γ for E(p)=2.8 MeV, Δ (E(p))=220 keV; uncertainty unstated by authors.

$\gamma(^{59}\text{Co})$

Unplaced I $\gamma \approx 5\%$ of total observed intensity (1975Br29).

E _i (level)	E_{γ}^{\dagger}	E_f	E_i (level)	E_{γ}^{\dagger}	E_f	E_i (level)	E_{γ}^{\dagger}	E_f
1098.62	1098.50 [‡] 5	0.0	2060.71	579.68 6	1480.97	2711.74	1613.03 7	1098.62
1189.78	1189.70 5	0.0		2061.7 5	0.0	2769.01	1335.70 7	1433.24
1290.79	1290.74 6	0.0	2086.24	795.43 6	1290.79	2781.74	2781.96 25	0.0
1433.24	334.56 7	1098.62		2086.65 [‡] 10	0.0	2816.7	2816.7 9	0.0
1459.19	1459.00 21	0.0	2203.68	722.77 7	1480.97	2828.23	1729.56 7	1098.62
1480.97	382.25 7	1098.62		913.05 20	1290.79		2826 1	0.0
	1481.03 5	0.0		2203.51 22	0.0	2955.16	1856.47 11	1098.62
1743.73	553.88 5	1189.78	2478.04	2478.10 11	0.0	2963.17	1219.3 4	1743.73
	1743.85 7	0.0	2581.82	2581.62 12	0.0		1774.1 6	1189.78

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

58 **Fe**(**p**, γ) 1975Br29 (continued)

γ ⁽⁵⁹Co) (continued)</sup>

$E_i(\text{level})$	Eγ [†]	$I_{\gamma}^{\&}$	E _f	E_i (level)	J_i^{π}	Eγ [†]	$\frac{I_{\gamma}^{\&}}{2}$	E _f
2963.17	2963.5 5		0.0	9524.51		9524.1 <i>3</i>	8	0.0
2973.0	1230.8 9		1743.73	9541.31	$(3/2^{-})$	5034.5 # 4	3	4508.38
3121.94	2023.31 11		1098.62			5128.5 7	1	4412.1
3141.17	1397.45 <i>14</i>		1743.73			5134.5 5	2	4406.88
	1681.73 24		1459.19			5532.0 6	2	4009.3
3162.50	2064.4 3		1098.62			5892.0 6	1	3649.46
3240.86	2142.34 17		1098.62			5920.2 9	2	3621.4
3319.93	1839.05 15		1480.97			6055.4 [‡] 5	4	3487.55
	2220.7 4		1098.62			6221.7 5	2	3319.93
3487.55	2006.6 2		1480.97			6300.6 7	2	3240.86
	2198.4 12		1290.79			6346.8 8	1	3193.9
	2388.7 4		1098.62			6420.0 7	1	3121.94
3562.03	1475.95 <i>21</i>		2086.24			6585 2	3	2955.16
	2083.0 [#] 4		1480.97			6712.2 4	5	2828.23
	2269.6 [#] 4		1290.79			6770.2 [‡] 5	3	2769.01
3621.4	3621.7 6		0.0			6958.8 4	12	2581.82
3649.46	2550.7 3		1098.62			7454.1 6	3	2086.24
4009.3	2576.5 5		1433.24			7479.5 6	4	2060.71
4406.88	2345.0 5		2060.71			8058.9 5	8	1480.97
	4408 6 5		0.0			8106 7 7	3	1433 24
4412.1	1456 9 4		2955.16			8249 2 5	7	1290 79
1112.1	2930 4 5		1480.97			8441 6 4	11	1098.62
	2980 1		1433.24			9540.3 4	18	0.0
4508 38	1345 7 3		3162.50	05/10/71	$(3/2^{-})$	5038 1	1	4508 38
+500.50	1706 /0 17		2711 74	<i>yyy,ii</i>	(3/2)	5137 5 0	2	4308.38
	2306.4.6		2711.74			51/2 3 3	5	4412.1
9524 51	5015 4 4	2	4508 38			5541.0.6	1	4009 3
7527.51	5874 9 3	3	3649 46			5898 9 5	2	3649.46
	5002 7 2	2	2621.4			5020 1 7	2	2621.4
	5902.7 3	2	3621.4			5930.4* /	2	3621.4
	5955.2 0 5062 0 4	2	3570.1			5979.5 5 6061 1 5	2	3370.1 2497 55
	5902.9 4	2	3302.03			6220 1	5 1	3467.33
	6204 1 5	2	3467.33			6200 1 7	1	3319.93
	6284.3.6	1	3240.86			6387.8 1	2	3162.50
	6330 3 5	1	3193.9			6463 0 8	1	3086.6
	6381 1	2	31/1 17			657638	5	2073.0
	6437 4 5	$\frac{2}{2}$	3086.6			6586 1 4	5	2973.0
	6552.2	<1	2973.0			6731.6.6	2	2816.7
	6561 4 8	1	2963.17			6767 3 9	2	2781 74
	6568.5.4	3	2955.16			6779.3 6	$\frac{2}{2}$	2769.01
	6605.0.5	3	2928.23			6834 0 0 5	2	2711 74
	6708 7 7	3	2826.23			696773	14	2711.74
	6743 6 5	2	2781 74			7072 0 4	4	2301.02
	6753.0.7	2	2760.01			7465 0 4 6	2	2086.24
	0735.97	2	2709.01			7403.0* 0	2	2080.24
	6808.3 6	2	2711.74			8067.5 5	2	1480.97
	6942.3 9	3	2581.82			8115.9 4	9	1433.24
	7047.7+ 4	<1	2478.04			8258.3 <i>3</i>	18	1290.79
	7320.8 2	7	2203.68			8450.6 5	3	1098.62
	/436.7 4	2	2086.24	0552.02	(2)2=>	9548.7 4	5	0.0
	/462.5 5	3	2060.71	9553.02	$(3/2^{-})$	5044.4 2	3	4508.38
	7780.0 3	6	1/43.73			5140.4 7	1	4412.1
	8044.4 5	2	1480.97			5145.8 4	2	4406.88
	8089.3 6	2	1433.24			5543.0 5	2	4009.3
	8255.02	21	1290.79			0003.2 /	2	348/.33
	8424.9 3	1	1098.62			0.90.90	2	3102.50
				Conti	nued on n	ext page (foot	notes at	end of tab

ole)

				58	Fe(p,γ)	1975Br2	9 (continued)
					γ ⁽⁵⁹ Co) (continued)		
E _i (level)	E_{γ}^{\dagger}	$I_{\gamma}^{\&}$	E_f	E _i (level)	E_{γ}^{\dagger}	$I_{\gamma}^{\&}$	E _f
9553.02	6411 <i>1</i>	2	3141.17	9553.02	7075.1 6	2	2478.04
	6579.8 <i>3</i>	3	2973.0		7467.0‡ 3	3 3	2086.24
	6590.4 <i>6</i>	4	2963.17		8071.5 2	5	1480.97
	6724.5 4	2	2828.23		8119.2 2	19	1433.24
	6781.8 [@] 3	2	2769.01		8261.6 2	17	1290.79
	6838.9 [#] 4	2	2711.74		8454.0 2	5	1098.62
	6970.3 2	20	2581.82		9552.8 5	1	0.0

[†] γ ray data are from 1975Br29 except as noted. E γ shown for secondary γ rays is weighted average of data from all four resonances (if available), doublets excluded. Note, however, that E γ appears to be consistently lower than data from other reactions, and a least squares analysis suggests that ΔE_{γ} is grossly underestimated. E γ differing from least squares adjusted value by at least 3 σ are indicated.

^{\ddagger} E γ differs from least-squares adjusted value between 3 to 4σ .

[#] E γ differs from least-squares adjusted value between 4 to 5 σ .

[@] E γ differs from least-squares adjusted value between 5 to 6σ .

 $^{\&}$ % photon branching from resonance; 10-20% uncertainty for the most prominent lines; from 1975Br29 unless indicated otherwise. I γ from 1975Br29 and 1974Ke14 are in qualitative agreement.

 $x \gamma$ ray not placed in level scheme.

4

Level Scheme

Intensities: % photon branching from each level



⁵⁹₂₇Co₃₂

5

Level Scheme (continued)

Intensities: % photon branching from each level





Level Scheme (continued)

Intensities: % photon branching from each level





Level Scheme (continued)

Intensities: % photon branching from each level



⁵⁹₂₇Co₃₂

Level Scheme (continued)

Intensities: % photon branching from each level



⁵⁹₂₇Co₃₂