### <sup>58</sup>Ni( $\gamma, \gamma'$ ),(pol $\gamma, \gamma'$ ) **2000Ba63,1981Ac02,1970Me18**

	History		
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Caroline D. Nesaraja, Scott D. Geraedts and Balraj Singh	NDS 111,897 (2010)	12-Jan-2010

2000Ba63:  $(\gamma, \gamma')$  and  $(\text{pol } \gamma, \gamma')$ : Bremsstrahlung beam. E=6.5, 10 MeV for unpolarized beam, 12 MeV for partially linearly polarized photon beams. Measured E $\gamma$ , I $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma(\theta)$ , polarization asymmetry.

1981Ac02:  $(\gamma, \gamma')$  E=6-10 MeV. Measured E $\gamma$ , I $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma(\theta)$ , deduced widths for 10 levels from 6030 to 9842 keV.

1970Me18:  $(\gamma, \gamma')$  E<4.5 MeV. Measured E $\gamma$ , I $\gamma$ , deduced widths for six levels from 1453 to 4108.

Others:

1998Is13: E<6.7 MeV. Measured parameters for 6030 state.

1981Ca10: E<1.65 MeV, first 2<sup>+</sup> state.

1972ArZD (thesis): measured resonance fluorescence for first 2<sup>+</sup> state.

#### <sup>58</sup>Ni Levels

All half-lives listed in this dataset are deduced from measured  $\Gamma_0^2/\Gamma$  and branching ratios from Adopted Levels, gammas dataset.

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	Jπ <b>d</b>	$\Gamma_0 (eV)^{b}$	I <sub>s</sub> eV.b <sup>C</sup>	Comments
0.0	$0^{+}$			
1454.0 <sup>@&amp;</sup> 1	2+ <sup>@</sup>	0.00065 eV 4		$T_{1/2}$ =0.70 ps 4 from weighted averaged Γ=0.00065 eV 4 from the following measurements: 0.00074 eV 10 (1981Ca10), 0.000613 eV 43 (1972ArZD), 0.00067 6 (1970Me18), 0.00106 35 (1964Bo22).
2014.9? <sup>‡a</sup> 4		0.0079 <sup><i>a</i></sup> eV 11	23 <sup>a</sup> 3	
2034.6? <sup>‡a</sup> 3	2	0.014 <sup><i>a</i></sup> eV 1	67 <mark>a</mark> 5	
2385.1? <sup>‡</sup> 9	(2)	0.0017 eV 4	5.9 13	
2598.4? <sup>‡a</sup> 3		0.078 <sup><i>a</i></sup> eV 9	134 <sup>a</sup> 15	
2632.8? <sup>‡</sup> 13	(1)	0.0040 eV 11	6.7 18	
2741.8? <sup>‡a</sup> 7	(2)	0.0046 <sup><i>a</i></sup> eV 10	12 <b>a</b> 3	
2775.2 <sup>@</sup> 2	2+ <sup>@</sup>			
2901.8 <sup>@</sup> 2	1 <sup>+</sup> @			
2942.4 <sup>@</sup> 2	$0^{+}$			
3037.8 <sup>&amp;</sup> 7	2	0.00156 eV <i>34</i>	3.2 8	Γ: from 1970Me18. Other: Γ <sub>0</sub> =0.0015 eV 4 (2000Ba63), from which $\Gamma_0^2/\Gamma$ =0.00060 eV 16 using adopted $\Gamma_0/\Gamma$ =0.402 14, which is in disagreement with value from 1970Me18. It appears that in this case value listed as $\Gamma_0$ in 2000Ba63 actually corresponds to $\Gamma_0^2/\Gamma$ . T <sub>1/2</sub> =47 fs +13-9 from average $\Gamma_0^2/\Gamma$ =0.00156 eV 34 and $\Gamma_0/\Gamma$ =0.402 14 from adopted gammas.
3202.2? <sup>‡a</sup> 3		0.027 <sup><i>a</i></sup> eV 4	30 <sup>a</sup> 4	
3253.7? <sup>‡a</sup> 4		0.075 <sup><i>a</i></sup> eV 4	82 <sup>a</sup> 4	
3263.9 <sup>&amp;</sup> 6	2	0.012 eV 3	10 <i>I</i>	$\Gamma_0^2/\Gamma$ =0.0056 eV 7 deduced from $\Gamma_0$ =0.012 eV 3 and $\Gamma_0/\Gamma$ =0.47 10 in 2000Ba63 compared with $\Gamma_0^2/\Gamma$ =0.0053 eV 8 from 1970Me18, average value=0.0055 eV 7. Note that Uncertainty of 0.10 for $\Gamma_0/\Gamma$ is from table III of 2000Ba63, 0.01 in authors; table IV seems a misprint; the former seems more realistic in view of weak population of this level. Also uncertainty for $\Gamma_0$ is from table III, it is 0.002 in table IV.
2260 14 8	( <b>2</b> )	0.0067 <mark>8</mark> N 11	120 2	$T_{1/2}=30$ fs 4 from $\Gamma_0^2/\Gamma=0.0055$ eV 7 and adopted $\Gamma_0/\Gamma=0.598$ 11.
3273.7 <sup><i>a</i></sup> 7	(2) (2)	0.007  eV  11 $0.0078^{a} \text{ eV } 11$	$14^{a}$ 2	$T_{1/2} > 50$ fs.
3450.9 <sup><i>a</i></sup> 5	(-)	$0.037^{a}$ eV 4	36 <sup><i>a</i></sup> 4	$T_{1/2} > 11$ fs.
3595.2 <mark>&amp;</mark> 9		0.0073 eV 13	6.9 14	E(level): this level is assumed to be the same as a nearby level

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

# $^{58}\text{Ni}(\gamma,\gamma'),(\text{pol}~\gamma,\gamma')$ 2000Ba63,1981Ac02,1970Me18 (continued)

# <sup>58</sup>Ni Levels (continued)

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	Jπ <b>d</b>	$\Gamma_0 (eV)^{b}$	I <sub>s</sub> eV.b <sup>C</sup>	Comments
				populated in <sup>58</sup> Cu decay, $(n,n'\gamma)$ and $(p,p'g)$ . The measured branching $\Gamma_0/\Gamma=0.24$ 3 in 2000Ba63 is in severe disagreement with 0.63 3 in adopted gammas. It seems that the branching in 2000Ba63 is in error, it would be in better agreement if 0.24 refers to branching for $\gamma$ ray to the excited state. $\Gamma$ : from $\Gamma_0^2/\Gamma=0.0046$ eV 8 (1970Me18) and adopted $\Gamma_0/\Gamma=0.63$ 3.
				Other: 0.033 eV 8 (2000Ba63).
3898	$2^{+}$			$T_{1/2}=39$ fs 7 from $\Gamma_0^2/\Gamma=0.0046$ eV 8 and adopted $\Gamma_0/\Gamma=0.63$ 3. E(level): from 1970Me18, not reported by 2000Ba63.
				$T_{1/2}=13$ fs +10-5 for $\Gamma_0/\Gamma=0.24$ 2, $\Gamma_0^2/\Gamma=0.0021$ eV 9 (1970Me18).
3943.6 <sup><i>a</i></sup> 12		$0.016^{u} \text{ eV } 3$	$12^{a} 3$	$T_{1/2}>24$ fs.
4106.4 <sup><i>&amp;u</i></sup> 10		0.0022 <sup><i>u</i></sup> eV 13	u	Γ: from $\Gamma_0^2/\Gamma$ =0.0010 eV 6 (1970Me18) and adopted $\Gamma_0/\Gamma$ =0.465 22. Other: 0.027 eV 2 (2000Ba63) with feeding effects.
				$T_{1/2}=0.10 \text{ ps} + 16-4 \text{ for J}=2, \text{ from } \Gamma_0^2/\Gamma=0.0010 \text{ eV } 6 \text{ (1970Me18)}$ and adopted $\Gamma_0/\Gamma=0.465 22.$
4574.1? <sup>#</sup> 5	1	0.022 eV 3	12 2	$T_{1/2}=21$ fs 3.
4954.0 8	1	0.032 eV 5	15 2	$T_{1/2} = 14$ fs 2.
5359.3? <sup>#a</sup> 16 5394.0 9		0.012 <sup><i>a</i></sup> eV 3 0.011 eV 2	4.8 <sup><i>a</i></sup> 12 4.2 10	$T_{1/2}>29$ fs. $T_{1/2}=41$ fs 8.
5452.2? <sup>#a</sup> 4	1	0.030 <sup><i>a</i></sup> eV 4	12 <sup><i>a</i></sup> 2	$T_{1/2} > 13$ fs.
5528.0 <sup><i>a</i></sup> 4	(1)	$0.056^{a}$ eV 8	$21^{a}$ 3	$T_{1/2} > 7$ fs.
5905.3 <sup><i>a</i></sup> 7	1+	$0.018^{a}$ eV 3	10 <sup><i>a</i></sup> 2	$T_{1/2}=25$ fs 4. B(M1)( $\uparrow$ )=0.023 4 (2000Ba63).
6027.3 <sup>&amp;</sup> 7	1	0.435 eV 22	112 3	$\Gamma_0^2/\Gamma=0.352$ eV 11 deduced from $\Gamma_0=0.435$ eV 22 and $\Gamma_0/\Gamma=0.81$ 3 in 2000Ba63.
				Γ: uncertainty of 0.012 in 2000Ba63 increased to 0.022 using uncertainties in $\Gamma_0/\Gamma$ and in cross section as in 2000Ba63. T <sub>1/2</sub> =0.85 fs 5 from $\Gamma_0$ and $\Gamma_0/\Gamma$ =0.81 3 of 2000Ba63; ; 1.4 fs +8-4 for $\Gamma_0$ =0.33 eV 12, $\Gamma_0/\Gamma$ =1 (1981Ac02).
				Note that $\Gamma_0^2/\Gamma=0.173$ eV 20 (1998Is13) is in disagreement with values from 1981Ac02 and 2000Ba63 by a factor of $\approx 2$ .
6424.9? <sup>#</sup> 9	1	0.049 eV 7	14 2	$T_{1/2}=9.3$ fs 13.
6430.7? <sup>#</sup> 10	1	0.066 eV 7	18 2	$T_{1/2}=6.9$ fs 7.
6685.0? <sup>#</sup> 9	1	0.126 eV 14	33 4	$T_{1/2}=3.6$ fs 4.
6892.9? <sup>#</sup> 15	(1)	0.040 eV 20	10 5	$T_{1/2}=11$ fs 5.
7048.2 <sup>&amp;</sup> 9	1-	0.552 eV 17	128 4	T <sub>1/2</sub> =0.83 fs 3 from Γ <sub>0</sub> of 2000Ba63; 0.67 fs +41–18 for Γ <sub>0</sub> =0.69 eV 26, $\Gamma_0/\Gamma$ =1 (1981Ac02).
<b>53</b> 40 ( 11	(1)	0.040 11.17		$B(E1)(\uparrow)=4.55\times10^{-5}$ 14 (2000Ba63).
7249.6 11	(1)	0.049  eV 17	11 4 100 10	$T_{1/2} = 9$ is 3.
7388.8 4	1+	0.457 eV 24	97.5	$T_{1/2} = 0.99$ is 11. $T_{1/2} = 1.00$ fs 5.
7585.1 6		0.09 eV 4	18 8	
7595.9 6	(2)	0.088 eV 13	29 5	$T_{1/2}=5.2$ fs 8.
7616.0? <sup>#</sup> 10	(1)	0.048 eV 20	10 4	$T_{1/2}$ =9.5 fs 40.
7709.7& 6	1+	0.632 eV 23	123 5	$T_{1/2}$ =0.72 fs 3 for Γ <sub>0</sub> from 2000Ba63; 0.94 fs +65-27 for Γ <sub>0</sub> =0.49 eV 20 (1981Ac02).
7766.0.7	(1)	0 122 eV 20	23 4	$B(M1)( )=0.358 \ 15 \ (2000Ba03).$ T <sub>1</sub> $p=3.7 \ fs \ 6$
7807.3 5	$1^{-}$	0.56 eV 7	107 13	$T_{1/2} = 0.81 \text{ fs } 10.$
	-			$B(E1)(\uparrow)=3.4\times10^{-5} 4 (2000Ba63).$
7876.7 26	1	0.34 eV 16	44 13	$\Gamma_0^2/\Gamma$ =0.24 eV 7 deduced from $\Gamma_0$ =0.34 eV 16 and $\Gamma_0/\Gamma$ =0.69 25 in 2000Ba63.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

# $^{58}\text{Ni}(\gamma,\gamma'),(\text{pol}~\gamma,\gamma')$ 2000Ba63,1981Ac02,1970Me18 (continued)

# <sup>58</sup>Ni Levels (continued)

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^{\pi d}$	$\Gamma_0 (eV)^{b}$	I <sub>s</sub> eV.b <sup>C</sup>	Comments
				$\Gamma$ : uncertainty of 0.07 in 2000Ba63 increased to 0.16 using uncertainties in
				$\Gamma_0/\Gamma$ and in cross section as in 2000Ba63.
				$T_{1/2} = 0.9 \text{ fs } 5.$
#				$\Gamma_0/\Gamma=0.69\ 25\ (2000Ba63).$
8068.6?# 12	$(1^{-})$	0.33 eV 4	59 8	$T_{1/2}=1.38$ fs 17.
0006.0.6	1	0.00 11.5	50.0	$B(E1)(\uparrow)=1.81\times10^{-3} \ 23 \ (2000Ba63).$
8096.3 6	1	0.28 eV 5	50.9	$1_{1/2} = 1.6$ IS 3.
8237.3°C 4	1-	3.59 eV 6	610.9	$T_{1/2}=0.127$ fs 2 for $\Gamma_0$ from 2000Ba63; 0.15 fs +3-2 for $\Gamma_0=3.0$ eV 5.
0217 1 17	1	0.24 aV 4	10.6	$B(E1)(\uparrow)=18.5\times10^{-5} 3 (2000Ba63).$
8305 1 12	1 1-	0.24  eV  4	101 12	$\Gamma_{1/2} = 1.9$ is 5. $\Gamma^2/\Gamma = 0.62$ eV.8 deduced from $\Gamma_2 = 0.84$ eV.16 and $\Gamma_2/\Gamma = 0.74$ .11 in
0595.1 12	1	0.04 CV 10	101 12	$2_{0}/1 = 0.02 \text{ eV}$ of deduced from $1_{0} = 0.04 \text{ eV}$ for and $1_{0}/1 = 0.74 \text{ fr}$ in 2000Ba63.
				$\Gamma$ : uncertainty of 0.08 in 2000Ba63 increased to 0.16 using uncertainties in
				$\Gamma_0/\Gamma$ and in cross section as in 2000Ba63.
				$T_{1/2}=0.40$ fs 8.
				$\Gamma_0/\Gamma=0.74 \ 11, \ B(E1)(\uparrow)=4.1\times10^{-5} \ 4 \ (2000Ba63).$
8461.0 7	1+	0.89 eV 5	144 8	$T_{1/2}=0.51$ fs 3.
851414	1-	0.60  eV 5	100.8	B(M1)( )=0.383 21 (2000Bab3). Tr $a=0.66 \text{ fr} 5$
0.514.1 4	1	0.09 6 V J	109 0	$R(E_1)(\uparrow) = 3.20 \times 10^{-5} 2.4 (2000 B_2 63)$
8552.7 13	(1)	0.47 eV 4	74 7	$T_{1/2} = 0.97$ fs 8.
8600.5 7	1+	0.80 eV 8	125 12	$T_{1/2} = 0.57$ fs 6.
				$B(M1)(\uparrow)=0.33 \ 3 \ (2000Ba63).$
8679.3 <sup>&amp;</sup> 8	$1^{+}$	2.05 eV 10	314 16	$T_{1/2}=0.223$ fs 11 for $\Gamma_0$ from 2000Ba63; $T_{1/2}=0.40$ fs +21-11 for $\Gamma_0=1.2$
				eV 4 (1981Ac02).
005746	1	0.75 37.15	110.00	$B(M1)(\uparrow)=0.82$ 4 (2000Ba63).
8857.40	1 1-	0./5 eV <i>I</i> 5	110 22	$1_{1/2} = 0.01$ fs 12.
8880.2 0	1	1.17 CV J	1/1 /	$\Gamma_{1/2} = 0.390 \text{ is } 17.$ B(E1)( $\uparrow$ )=4.81×10 <sup>-5</sup> 18 (2000Ba63)
8934.6.5	1	1.47 eV 5	213.8	$T_{1/2}=0.310$ fs 11.
8961.3 7	1	0.38 eV 4	54 6	$T_{1/2} = 1.20$ fs 13.
9073.4 6	$1^{(+)}$	0.89 eV 6	124 9	$T_{1/2}=0.51$ fs 3.
				$B(M1)(\uparrow)=0.309\ 21\ (2000Ba63).$
9156.9 7	$1^{+}$	0.59 eV 8	82 11	$T_{1/2}=0.77$ fs 10.
o			400 11	$B(M1)(\uparrow)=0.203$ (2000Ba63).
9190.7°C 5	1-	0.79 eV 8	108 11	$T_{1/2}=0.58$ fs 6 for $\Gamma_0$ from 2000Ba63; $T_{1/2}=0.46$ fs +30-13 for $\Gamma_0=1.0$ eV
				4 (1981Ac02). P(E1)(4)=2 0x(10 <sup>-5</sup> 2 (2000Pe62))
0326 / 8	1	0.08 eV 11	03.6	$\Gamma_{2}^{2}/\Gamma_{-} = 0.71 \text{ eV} 5 \text{ deduced from } \Gamma_{2} = 0.08 \text{ eV} 11 \text{ and } \Gamma_{2}/\Gamma_{-} = 0.72 \text{ 7 in}$
9520.4 0	1	0.96 CV 11	<i>y</i> 50	2000Ba63
				$\Gamma$ : uncertainty of 0.06 in 2000Ba63 increased to 0.11 using uncertainties in
				$\Gamma_0/\Gamma$ and in cross section as in 2000Ba63.
				$T_{1/2}=0.33$ fs 5.
<b>P</b> -	( )			$\Gamma_0/\Gamma=0.72$ 7 (2000Ba63).
9368.5 <sup>&amp;</sup> 6	$1^{(-)}$	1.24 eV <i>12</i>	163 15	$T_{1/2}=0.37$ fs 4 for $\Gamma_0$ from 2000Ba63; $T_{1/2}=0.36$ fs +22-10 for $\Gamma_0=1.3$ eV
				5 (1981Ac02).
9455 4 18	1	0.22 eV 4	20.5	$B(E1)( )=4.3\times10^{-5} 4 (2000Bab3).$ T <sub>1</sub> = -2.1 fs A
9523 3 13	1-	2.22 EV 4	165 10	$\Gamma_{1/2} = 2.1 \text{ is 7.}$ $\Gamma^2/\Gamma = 1.31 \text{ eV } 8 \text{ deduced from } \Gamma_0 = 2.25 \text{ eV } 24 \text{ and } \Gamma_0/\Gamma = 0.58.5 \text{ in}$
, 5 <u>2</u> 5,5 15	1	2.23 0 1 24	105 10	2000Ba63.
				$\Gamma$ : uncertainty of 0.15 in 2000Ba63 increased to 0.024 using uncertainties in
				$\Gamma_0/\Gamma$ and in cross section as in 2000Ba63.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

#### <sup>58</sup>Ni(γ,γ'),(pol γ,γ') **2000Ba63,1981Ac02,1970Me18** (continued)

#### <sup>58</sup>Ni Levels (continued)

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	Jπ <b>d</b>	$\Gamma_0 (eV)^{b}$	I <sub>s</sub> eV.b <sup>c</sup>	Comments
				$T_{1/2}=0.118$ fs 13.
				$\Gamma_0/\Gamma=0.58\ 5,\ B(E1)(\uparrow)=7.5\times10^{-5}\ 5\ (2000Ba63).$
9554.0 <sup>&amp;</sup> 21	1	1.36 eV 8	172 11	T <sub>1/2</sub> =0.335 fs 20 for $\Gamma_0$ from 2000Ba63; 0.30 fs +20-8 for $\Gamma_0$ =1.5 eV 6 (1981Ac02).
9630.5 24	1	1.19 eV 23	57 6	$\Gamma_0^2/\Gamma=0.45$ eV 5 deduced from $\Gamma_0=1.19$ eV 23 and $\Gamma_0/\Gamma=0.38$ 6 in 2000Ba63.
				Γ: uncertainty of 0.12 in 2000Ba63 increased to 0.23 using uncertainties in $\Gamma_0/\Gamma$ and in cross section as in 2000Ba63.
				$T_{1/2}=0.15$ fs 3.
0				$\Gamma_0/\Gamma=0.38$ 6 (2000Ba63), 7595.9 $\gamma$ not included.
9667.8 <sup>&amp;</sup> 15	1	0.81 eV 26	68 12	$\Gamma_0^2/\Gamma=0.54$ eV 10 deduced from $\Gamma_0=0.81$ eV 26 and $\Gamma_0/\Gamma=0.67$ 18 in 2000Ba63.
				$\Gamma$ : uncertainty of 0.17 in 2000Ba63 increased to 0.26 using uncertainties in $\Gamma_0/\Gamma$ and in cross section as in 2000Ba63.
				$T_{1/2}=0.38$ fs 13 for $\Gamma_0$ and $\Gamma_0/\Gamma$ from 2000Ba63; 0.24 fs +13-6 for $\Gamma_0=1.9$ eV 7. $\Gamma_0/\Gamma=1$ (1981Ac02)
				$\Gamma_0/\Gamma = 0.67 \ 18 \ (2000Ba63).$
9723.0 9	1 <sup>(-)</sup>	1.76 eV 26	90 8	$\Gamma_0^2/\Gamma=0.74 \text{ eV } 7 \text{ deduced from } \Gamma_0=1.76 \text{ eV } 26 \text{ and } \Gamma_0/\Gamma=0.42 \text{ 5 in}$
				$\Gamma$ : uncertainty of 0.13 in 2000Ba63 increased to 0.26 using uncertainties in $\Gamma_0/\Gamma$ and in cross section as in 2000Ba63.
				$T_{1/2} = 0.109$ fs 16.
				$B(E1)(\uparrow)=5.5\times10^{-5} 4 (2000Ba63).$
9843 5	1,2			E(level): from 1981Ac02. This level is not reported by 2000Ba63, too high an energy to be detected near the end-point energy.
				$T_{1/2}=0.26$ fs +27-10 for J=1 and $\Gamma_0=1.8$ eV 9, 0.49 fs +0.53-17 for J=2 and
				$\Gamma_0=0.9 \text{ eV } 4$ ; in both cases $\Gamma_0/\Gamma=1$ assumed (1981AcO2).

<sup>†</sup> From 2000Ba63, unless otherwise stated.

<sup>‡</sup> The transition to g.s. shown from this level may be an inelastic transition, thus the existence of this level is considered as uncertain and not included in 'Adopted Levels'. Levels at 2014.9, 2034.6, 2385.1, 2598.4, 2632.8, 2741.8, 3037.8, 3202.2 and 3253.7 are especially questionable in view of the well-known level structure from other experiments.

<sup>#</sup> The transition to g.s. shown from this level may be an inelastic transition, thus the existence of this level is considered as uncertain here as well as in Adopted Levels.

<sup>@</sup> From 'Adopted Levels'.

& Level reported in earlier studies (1981Ac02,1970Me18).

<sup>*a*</sup> Observed only in  $E(\gamma)=10$  MeV data (2000Ba63); strengths include feeding effects, thus the listed widths are upper limits.

<sup>b</sup> Values are from 2000Ba63, unless otherwise stated. These values must have been deduced by the authors from their measured  $\Gamma_0^2/\Gamma$  values. When a level deexcites only to the ground state,  $\Gamma_0/\Gamma=1$ , and  $\Gamma_0^2/\Gamma=\Gamma_0$ .

<sup>c</sup> Integrated cross section from 2000Ba63.

<sup>d</sup> As proposed by 2000Ba63 based on  $\gamma(\theta)$  and (pol  $\gamma, \gamma'$ ) measurements.

### $\gamma(^{58}\text{Ni})$

E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$\mathbf{E}_{f}$	$\mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}$
1454.0	2+	1454.28 <sup><i>a</i></sup> 10	0.0	)+
2014.9?		2014.9 <sup>&amp;d</sup> 4	0.0 0	)+
2034.6?	2	2034.6 <sup>&amp;d</sup> 3	0.0 0	)+
2385.1?	(2)	2385.1 <sup>&amp;d</sup> 9	0.0 0	)+

			$^{58}$ Ni( $\gamma,\gamma'$ ),(pol $\gamma,\gamma'$ )		2000Ba63,1981Ac02,1970Me18 (continued)		
					$\gamma$ ( <sup>58</sup> Ni)	(continued)	
E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}$	$E_f  J_f^{\pi}$	Mult. <mark>b</mark>	Comments	
2598.4?		2598.4 <sup>&amp;d</sup> 3		0.0 0+			
2632.8?	(1)	2632.8 <sup>&amp;d</sup> 13		$0.0 \ 0^+$			
2741.8?	(2)	2741.8 <sup>&amp;d</sup> 7		$0.0 \ 0^+$			
2775.2	2+	1321.2 <sup><i>a</i></sup> 2		1454.0 2+			
2901.8	1+	1448.2 <sup><i>a</i></sup> 4		1454.0 2+			
2942.4	$0^{+}$	40.3 <sup><i>a</i></sup> 4		2901.8 1+			
		$167.2^{a}$ 2		$2775.2 \ 2^{+}$			
2027.9	2	$1400.5^{\circ}$ 5		1434.0 2			
2002.00	Ζ	$3037.8^{-7}$		$0.0 \ 0$			
3202.2?		$3202.2^{\text{ext}}$ 3		$0.0 \ 0^{+}$			
3253.1?	2	3253.700 4	53 10	$0.0 \ 0^{+}$			
5205.9	2	3263.9 6	47 10	$0.0 0^+$		Ly: using $\Gamma_0/\Gamma=0.47$ 10.	
3269.1	(2)	3269.1 8	., 10	$0.0  0^+$			
3273.7	(2)	3273.7 7		$0.0 \ 0^+$			
3450.9		3450.9 5		$0.0  0^+$			
3595.2		2140.6 <i>1</i> 3595.2 <i>9</i>		$\begin{array}{ccc} 1454.0 & 2^+ \\ 0.0 & 0^+ \end{array}$		$I_{\gamma}$ : Γ <sub>0</sub> /Γ=0.24 <i>3</i> in 2000Ba63 seems in error, assuming that the 3595 level observed here is the same as the one	
						reported in <sup>58</sup> Cu decay, $(p,p'\gamma)$ and $(n,n'\gamma)$ . It should be 0.63 <i>3</i> according to adopted gammas.	
3898	$2^{+}$	3898		$0.0 \ 0^+$			
3943.6		3943.6 12		$0.0  0^+$			
4106.4		4106.4 10		0.0 0+			
4574.1?	1	4574.1 <sup>coca</sup> 5		$0.0  0^+$			
4954.0	1	4954.0 8		$0.0 \ 0^+$			
5359.3? 5394.0		5359.3°°°° 10 5394.0.9		$0.0 \ 0^{+}$			
5452.22	1	$5452 2c \& d_{4}$		$0.0 \ 0^{+}$			
5528.0	(1)	5528.0 4		$0.0 \ 0^+$			
5905.3	1+	5905.3 7		0.0 0+	M1		
6027.3	1	4574.1 <sup>°</sup> 5	19 <i>3</i>	1454.0 2+			
		6027.3 7	81 <i>3</i>	0.0 0+		$I\gamma(90^{\circ})/I\gamma(125^{\circ})=0.97 \ 31 \ (1981Ac02).$	
6424.9?	1	6424.9 <sup>codd</sup> 9		$0.0 \ 0^+$			
6430.7?	1	6430.7 <sup>c&amp;d</sup> 10		$0.0 \ 0^+$			
6685.0?	1	6685.0 <sup>c&amp;d</sup> 9		$0.0 \ 0^+$			
6892.9?	(1)	6892.9 <sup>c&amp;d</sup> 15		$0.0  0^+$			
7048.2	$1^{-}$ (1)	7048.2 9		$0.0 \ 0^+$	El	$1\gamma(90^{\circ})/1\gamma(125^{\circ})=0.70~23$ (1981Ac02).	
7249.0	(1)	7249.0 11		$0.0 \ 0$ $0.0 \ 0^+$			
7388.8	1+	7388.8 4		$0.0 \ 0^+$	M1		
7585.1		7585.1 6		$0.0 \ 0^{+}$			
7595.9	(2)	7595.9 <sup>°</sup> 6		$0.0 \ 0^+$			
7616.0?	(1)	7616.0 <sup>c&amp;d</sup> 10		$0.0  0^+$			
7709.7	1+	7709.7 6		$0.0  0^+$	M1	$I\gamma(90^{\circ})/I\gamma(125^{\circ})=1.1 \ 4 \ (1981Ac02).$	
78073	(1) 1 <sup>-</sup>	//66.0 /		$0.0 0^+$	F1		
7876.7	1	6424.9 <sup>°</sup> 9	31 25	$1454.0 2^+$	E1		
	-	7876.7 26	69 25	$0.0  0^+$			
8068.6?	(1 <sup>-</sup> )	8068.6 <sup>c&amp;d</sup> 12		$0.0 \ 0^+$	(E1)		

9554.0

9630.5

9667.8

9723.0

9843

1

1

1

1(-)

1,2

			<sup>58</sup> Ni(	γ <b>,</b> γ′) <b>,(pol</b>	γ,γ′)	2000B	a63,1981Ac02,1970Me18 (continued)
						$\gamma$ ( <sup>58</sup> N	i) (continued)
E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	${\rm E_{\gamma}}^{\dagger}$	Iγ <sup>‡</sup>	$\mathbf{E}_{f}$	$\mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}$	Mult. <sup>b</sup>	Comments
8096 3	1	809636		0.0	$0^{+}$		
8237.3	1-	8237.3 4		0.0	$0^{+}$	(E1)	$I_{\gamma}(90^{\circ})/I_{\gamma}(125^{\circ})=0.66\ 9\ (1981\text{Ac}02).$
8317.1	1	8317.1 17		0.0	$0^{+}$	()	
8395.1	1-	5359.3 <sup>°</sup> 16	#	3037.8	2		
007011		5452 2 <sup>C</sup> A	#	2042.4	 0+		
		8305 1 12	74 11	2942.4	0+	(E1)	
8461.0	1+	8461.0.7	/ + 11	0.0	$0^{+}$	(L1) M1	
8514 1	1-	8514 1 4		0.0	$0^{+}$	E1	
8552.7	(1)	8552.7 13		0.0	$0^{+}$	21	
8600.5	1+	8600.5 7		0.0	$0^{+}$	M1	
8679.3	$1^{+}$	8679.3 8		0.0	$0^{+}$	M1	$I\gamma(90^{\circ})/I\gamma(125^{\circ})=0.95\ 26\ (1981Ac02).$
8857.4	1	8857.4 6		0.0	$0^{+}$		
8880.2	1-	8880.2 6		0.0	$0^{+}$	E1	
8934.6	1	8934.6 5		0.0	$0^{+}$		
8961.3	1	8961.3 7		0.0	$0^{+}$		
9073.4	$1^{(+)}$	9073.4 6		0.0	$0^{+}$	(M1)	
9156.9	$1^{+}$	9156.9 7		0.0	$0^{+}$	M1	
9190.7	1-	9190.7 5		0.0	$0^{+}$	E1	$I\gamma(90^{\circ})/I\gamma(125^{\circ})=1.2\ 5\ (1981Ac02).$
9326.4	1	6424.9 <sup>°</sup> 9	28 7	2901.8	$1^{+}$		
		9326.4 8	72 7	0.0	$0^{+}$		
9368.5	$1^{(-)}$	9368.5 6		0.0	$0^+$	(E1)	$I\gamma(90^{\circ})/I\gamma(125^{\circ})=0.8 \ 3 \ (1981Ac02).$
9455.4	1	9455.4 18		0.0	$0^{+}$		
9523.3	1-	8068.6 <sup>C</sup> 12	42 5	1454.0	$2^{+}$		

<sup>†</sup> From level-energy differences, unless otherwise stated. The recoil correction has not been applied, it is at most 1 keV which is almost within the quoted uncertainty.

 $I_{\gamma}(90^{\circ})/I_{\gamma}(125^{\circ})=1.45$  (1981Ac02).

 $I\gamma(90^{\circ})/I\gamma(125^{\circ})=0.8 \ 3 \ (1981Ac02).$ 

 $I\gamma(90^{\circ})/I\gamma(125^{\circ})=2.8\ 18\ (1981Ac02).$ 

<sup>‡</sup> Branching ratios deduced by the evaluators from  $\Gamma_0/\Gamma$  values of 2000Ba63 in tables III and IV.

 $0.0 \quad 0^+$ 

 $0.0 \quad 0^+$ 

 $\begin{array}{ccc} 0.0 & 0^+ \\ 2775.2 & 2^+ \end{array}$ 

2

 $0^+$ 

3202.2?

2014.9?

2034.6? 2

 $0.0 \quad 0^+$ 

 $0.0 \quad 0^+$ 

0.0

3037.8

E1

(E1)

<sup>#</sup> 26 11 for  $5359\gamma + 5452\gamma$ , if the other possible placements carry negligible intensities.

<sup>(a)</sup> 62 6 for 6430.7 $\gamma$ +7616.0 $\gamma$ , assuming that 6431 $\gamma$  and 7616 $\gamma$  are mainly placed from 9630 level, and 7595.9 $\gamma$  is mainly placed from 7596 level.

<sup>&</sup> May be an inelastic transition.

9523.3 13

9554.0 21

6430.7<sup>cd</sup> 10

7595.9<sup>cd</sup> 6

7616.0<sup>cd</sup> 10

9630.5 24

6892.9<sup>c</sup> 15

9667.8 15

6685.0<sup>C</sup> 9

9723.0 9

9842 5

585

@

@

@

38 6

33 18

67 18

58 5

42 5

<sup>a</sup> From 'adopted gammas'.

<sup>b</sup> From measured polarization asymmetries (2000Ba63).

<sup>c</sup> Multiply placed.

<sup>d</sup> Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

## <sup>58</sup>Ni( $\gamma, \gamma'$ ),(pol $\gamma, \gamma'$ ) 2000Ba63,1981Ac02,1970Me18

Legend

### Level Scheme

Intensities: % photon branching from each level

---- γ Decay (Uncertain)



 $^{58}_{28}{
m Ni}_{30}$ 

## <sup>58</sup>Ni( $\gamma, \gamma'$ ),(pol $\gamma, \gamma'$ ) 2000Ba63,1981Ac02,1970Me18

Legend

#### Level Scheme (continued)

Intensities: % photon branching from each level

---- γ Decay (Uncertain)



# $\frac{{}^{58}{\rm Ni}(\gamma,\gamma'),({\rm pol}\;\gamma,\gamma')}{2000{\rm Ba63,1981Ac02,1970Me18}}$

## Level Scheme (continued)

Legend

Intensities: % photon branching from each level

 $--- \rightarrow \gamma$  Decay (Uncertain)



<sup>58</sup><sub>28</sub>Ni<sub>30</sub>

9