

$^{40}\text{Ca}(^{24}\text{Mg},\alpha 2\text{p}\gamma)$ **1999Vi12**

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Caroline D. Nesaraja, Scott D. Geraedts and Balraj Singh		NDS 111,897 (2010)	12-Jan-2010

1999Vi12 (also **1999Mo14**) E=65 MeV. Measured E_γ , $\gamma\gamma$, I_γ (singles and $\gamma\gamma$), $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ (DCO) using the AYEBALL array with TESSA type detectors, eight EUROGAM detectors and one GAMMASPHERE detector.

Others:

2004Iz01 and **2002Ru06** are from the same group as **2009Jo03** and data from these two papers are covered in $^{28}\text{Si}(^{36}\text{Ar},\alpha 2\text{p}\gamma)$ dataset. **2001Ru04** is now superseded by **2009Jo03**.

2004Iz01: measured $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ (DCO) and lin POL for three γ rays.

2001Ru04: detailed but preliminary level scheme. See **2009Jo03** from the same group for a complete level scheme from $^{28}\text{Si}(^{36}\text{Ar},\alpha 2\text{p}\gamma)$ reaction which completely supersedes **2001Ru04**.

 ^{58}Ni Levels

E(level) [†]	J [‡]	Comments
0	0 ⁺	
1454.4 4	2 ⁺	
2459.5 6	4 ⁺	
3620.7 6	4 ⁺	
4108.0 7	4 ⁺	
4361.2 14	(5)	J^π : (2 ^{+,3,4}) In Adopted Levels.
4383.6 6	5 ⁺	
4963.8 14	(5) ⁺ #	
5128.2 7	6 ⁺	
5386.0 7	6 ⁺ #	
6068.3 7	(7 ⁺)	
6084.9 8	7 ⁻ #	
6220.6 8	7 ⁺ #	
6605.0 8	8 ⁺	
7231.8? 9		E(level): this level is questionable and omitted In Adopted Levels due to the reassignment of 627 γ from 9346, 10 ⁻ level In high-spin study of 2009Jo03 .
7446.3 9	9 ⁺ #	
8121.6 10	(9 ⁺)#	

[†] From least-squares fit to $E\gamma$'s.

[‡] As proposed by **1999Vi12**, based on $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ (DCO) data, except when stated otherwise.

From **2002Ru06**, **2004Iz01** and **2009Jo03**.

 $\gamma(^{58}\text{Ni})$

E_γ	I_γ^{\pm}	E_i (level)	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [†]	Comments
275.6 4	3 1	4383.6	5 ⁺	4108.0	4 ⁺		DCO=0.95 9.
536.7 3	14 1	6605.0	8 ⁺	6068.3	(7 ⁺)		
626.8# 5	3 1	7231.8?		6605.0	8 ⁺		E_γ : this γ is assigned to a 9346,10 ⁻ level In high-spin study of 2009Jo03 .
682.4 5	1 1	6068.3	(7 ⁺)	5386.0	6 ⁺		DCO=0.32 8.
698.8 5	2 1	6084.9	7 ⁻	5386.0	6 ⁺	D	DCO=0.46 8 (1999Vi12)
x708.0 5	1 1						
744.6 3	30 3	5128.2	6 ⁺	4383.6	5 ⁺		DCO=1.00 6.
762.9 3	35 2	4383.6	5 ⁺	3620.7	4 ⁺		DCO=0.94 5.
x834.6 4	7 1						
841.3 4	11 1	7446.3	9 ⁺	6605.0	8 ⁺		DCO=0.99 9.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{40}\text{Ca}(^{24}\text{Mg},\alpha 2\text{p}\gamma)$ **1999Vi12 (continued)** $\gamma(^{58}\text{Ni})$ (continued)

E_γ	I_γ^{\ddagger}	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [†]	Comments
940.1 4	19 2	6068.3	(7 ⁺)	5128.2	6 ⁺	D	DCO=0.86 16 (1999Vi12)
956.9 7	5 1	6084.9	7 ⁻	5128.2	6 ⁺		DCO=0.94 6.
1002.0 10		5386.0	6 ⁺	4383.6	5 ⁺		DCO=1.02 2.
1004.9 5	100 4	2459.5	4 ⁺	1454.4	2 ⁺	Q	DCO=0.67 23.
1092.4 5	6 1	6220.6	7 ⁺	5128.2	6 ⁺		DCO=0.67 23.
1161.1 3	39 5	3620.7	4 ⁺	2459.5	4 ⁺	D	Mult.: $\Delta J=0$, dipole from DCO. DCO=1.10 10.
^x 1256.2 5	2 1					D	DCO=0.30 12
1454.4 4	122 7	1454.4	2 ⁺	0	0 ⁺	Q	DCO=1.06 8.
1476.8 11	14 2	6605.0	8 ⁺	5128.2	6 ⁺	Q	DCO=0.98 2.
1516.5 7	5 2	8121.6	(9 ⁺)	6605.0	8 ⁺	(D)	DCO=0.61 12.
1684.7 10	8 2	6068.3	(7 ⁺)	4383.6	5 ⁺		DCO=0.86 18.
1764.8 11	5 2	5386.0	6 ⁺	3620.7	4 ⁺		DCO=0.50 16.
1901.7 12	3 1	4361.2	(5)	2459.5	4 ⁺		
1924.0 7	13 2	4383.6	5 ⁺	2459.5	4 ⁺	D	DCO=0.49 5.
2166.4 5	11 1	3620.7	4 ⁺	1454.4	2 ⁺		DCO=1.2 5.
2504.2 13	5 2	4963.8	(5) ⁺	2459.5	4 ⁺		DCO=1.2 5.
2653.7 12	3 1	4108.0	4 ⁺	1454.4	2 ⁺		DCO=0.8 3.
2668.6 10	16 3	5128.2	6 ⁺	2459.5	4 ⁺	Q	DCO=1.06 9.
2926.5 15	3 1	5386.0	6 ⁺	2459.5	4 ⁺		DCO=0.90 27.
3626.2 16		6084.9	7 ⁻	2459.5	4 ⁺	[E3]	DCO=0.86 27.

[†] From DCO values.[‡] Intensities listed are singles. [1999Vi12](#) also quote $\gamma\gamma$ intensities.[#] Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{40}\text{Ca}(\text{²⁴Mg},\alpha 2\text{p}\gamma)$ 1999Vi12

Legend

Level SchemeIntensities: Relative I_γ

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- - - ► γ Decay (Uncertain)

