

^{57}Mn β^- decay 1974Ti01,1969Wa12,1963Va37

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	M. R. Bhat	NDS 85, 415 (1998)	24-Sep-1998

Parent: ^{57}Mn : $E=0.0$; $J^\pi=5/2^-$; $T_{1/2}=85.4$ s 18; $Q(\beta^-)=2691$ 3; $\% \beta^-$ decay=100.0

 ^{57}Fe Levels

All data are from 1974Ti01 (Ge(Li)), except as noted.

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]
0.0	1/2 ⁻
14.4129 [‡] 6	3/2 ⁻
136.4761 22	5/2 ⁻
366.739 20	3/2 ⁻
706.399 22	5/2 ⁻
1007.15 5	7/2 ⁻
(1265.36 [‡] 11)	1/2 ⁻
1627.30 [#] 5	3/2 ⁻
1725.27 10	3/2 ⁻

[†] Calculated using least-squares adjustment procedures; 14.4 γ not included in the adjustment.

[‡] From Adopted Levels. J^π are consistent with those used by 1974Ti01 in deducing $J^\pi(^{57}\text{Mn g.s.})=5/2^-$ on basis of log ft arguments.

[#] 1974Ti01 did not observe the gammas associated with the 1265 and 1359 states in (n, γ). $I_\beta < 0.02$.

 β^- radiations

1963Va37 assigned $E_\beta=1100$ 100, $I_\beta=18$ to ^{57}Mn β^- decay. It is extremely doubtful that this assignment is correct since $I_\beta(\approx 1100\beta) \approx 1.5$ from decay scheme.

E(decay)	E(level)	I_β ^{†#}	Log ft	Comments
(966 3)	1725.27	0.24 10	5.5 2	av $E_\beta=351$ 2
(1064 3)	1627.30	1.1 5	5.0 2	av $E_\beta=393$ 2
(1684 3)	1007.15	0.40 16	6.3 2	av $E_\beta=670$ 2
(1985 3)	706.399	6.3 24	5.4 2	av $E_\beta=809$ 2
(2324 3)	366.739	2.2 9	6.1 2	av $E_\beta=968$ 2
2.40×10^3 [‡] 10	136.4761	15 6	5.4 2	av $E_\beta=1077$ 2 E(decay): from $\beta\gamma$ (1969Wa12; NaI,scin).
2550 [‡] 50	14.4129	75 7	4.83 4	av $E_\beta=1135$ 2 E(decay): from 1963Va37 (magnetic spect). Placement suggested by 1969Wa12. Possibility of contamination in the spectra of 1963Va37; see comment above. I_β^- : other: 82 (1963Va37).

[†] Based on decay scheme presented with assumption that there is no direct feeding of the g.s. $\Delta(\gamma$ -normalization) added in quadrature for I_β to states above 136 keV.

[‡] If present placement is correct, E_β 's are discrepant with adopted $Q(\beta^-)$.

[#] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

γ(⁵⁷Fe)

I_γ normalization: from ΣI_γ(122γ,136γ)=16.5% 64 based on βγ-coincidence data (1969Wa12) indicating that 83.5% 64 of the total β⁻ decay does not go through the 122 and 136 gammas. Note that E_β's and placement based βγ-coincidence data are discrepant with Q(β⁻) indicating a possible problem in normalization.

All data are from 1974Ti01 (Ge(Li)), except as noted.

α(K), α(L): [Additional information 2](#).

E _γ	I _γ ^{‡d}	E _i (level)	J _i ^π	E _f	J _f ^π	Mult. [†]	δ [†]	α [#]	I _(γ+ce) ^e	Comments
(14.4129 6)	1.92×10 ³ 3	14.4129	3/2 ⁻	0.0	1/2 ⁻	M1+E2	0.00223 18	8.56 26	97.0 11	ce(K)/(γ+ce)=0.807 33; ce(L)/(γ+ce)=0.0855 41; ce(M+)/(γ+ce)=0.0117 10 Additional information 1 .
122.063 [@] 3	2.53×10 ³ 7	136.4761	5/2 ⁻	14.4129	3/2 ⁻	M1+E2	+0.120 1	0.0240 14		E _γ : from ε decay. I _γ : from deduced I(γ+ce) and α from adopted gammas; uncertainty given from I(γ+ce) and α only; does not include uncertainty in I _γ normalization. I _(γ+ce) : deduced from decay scheme. α(K)=0.0214 12; α(L)=0.00224 20; α(M+..)=0.00037 5 I _γ : 1974Ti01 noted that their measurement of I _γ (122γ)/I _γ (136γ)=7.23 29 agreed with the branching ratio from ε decay (1971Ko19), but differed from that of 1969Wa12, where I _γ (122γ)/I _γ (136γ)=8.70 8. The branching ratio in the adopted gammas agrees with 1969Wa12.
136.476 [@] 3	350 10	136.4761	5/2 ⁻	0.0	1/2 ⁻	E2		0.137 15		α(K)= 0.134; α(L)=0.0139
230.25 4	40 2	366.739	3/2 ⁻	136.4761	5/2 ⁻	(M1+E2)	+0.02 8			
339.60 6	31 3	706.399	5/2 ⁻	366.739	3/2 ⁻	M1+E2	+0.083 5			
352.32 3	380 10	366.739	3/2 ⁻	14.4129	3/2 ⁻	M1+E2	+0.025 9			
366.73 4	72 5	366.739	3/2 ⁻	0.0	1/2 ⁻	M1+E2	-0.45 5			
(460.1& 4)	0.14 ^a 6	1725.27	3/2 ⁻	1265.36?	1/2 ⁻					
569.93 5	94 4	706.399	5/2 ⁻	136.4761	5/2 ⁻	M1+E2	+0.097 8			I _γ : other: 74 11 (1969Wa12).
692.00 3	1000 30	706.399	5/2 ⁻	14.4129	3/2 ⁻	M1+E2	-0.465 8			
706.42 6	43 2	706.399	5/2 ⁻	0.0	1/2 ⁻	[E2]				
870.68 5	47 2	1007.15	7/2 ⁻	136.4761	5/2 ⁻	M1+E2	-0.6 +2-5			
(898.4& 20)	0.13 ^b 6	(1265.36)	1/2 ⁻	366.739	3/2 ⁻					
921.03 11	17 2	1627.30	3/2 ⁻	706.399	5/2 ⁻					I _γ : other: 8 2 (1969Wa12).

2

γ(⁵⁷Fe) (continued)

<u>E_γ</u>	<u>I_γ^{‡d}</u>	<u>E_i(level)</u>	<u>J_i^π</u>	<u>E_f</u>	<u>J_f^π</u>	<u>Mult.[†]</u>	<u>δ[†]</u>
992.68 ^c 8	26 2	1007.15	7/2 ⁻	14.4129	3/2 ⁻	E2	
1019.08 ^c 20	8 1	1725.27	3/2 ⁻	706.399	5/2 ⁻		
(1250.6 ^{&} 22)	<0.01 ^b	(1265.36)	1/2 ⁻	14.4129	3/2 ⁻		
1260.54 8	59 3	1627.30	3/2 ⁻	366.739	3/2 ⁻		
(1265.0 ^{&} 22)	<0.005 ^b	(1265.36)	1/2 ⁻	0.0	1/2 ⁻		
(1358.71 ^{&} 6)	4.3 ^a 6	1725.27	3/2 ⁻	366.739	3/2 ⁻		
1612.82 7	133 5	1627.30	3/2 ⁻	14.4129	3/2 ⁻	M1+E2	-0.35 5
(1710.2 ^{&} 3)	1.2 ^a 3	1725.27	3/2 ⁻	14.4129	3/2 ⁻		
1725.18 ^c 11	30 2	1725.27	3/2 ⁻	0.0	1/2 ⁻	M1+E2	+0.04 5

[†] From adopted gammas.

[‡] Relative to I_γ(692γ)=1000. The ΔI_γ given by [1969Wa12](#) (Ge(Li)) were underestimated (priv.comm. from T. E. Ward to [1974Ti01](#)). I_γ's from [1969Wa12](#) and [1974Ti01](#) agree within uncertainties, except as noted.

From adopted gammas.

@ Former γ-ray calibration energies from ε decay ([1971He20](#),[1972He42](#)). Used as internal calibration points by [1974Ti01](#). See ε decay for current values.

& From adopted gammas. Not observed by [1974Ti01](#) or [1969Wa12](#).

^a From adopted branching ratios and I_γ(1725γ)= 30 2.

^b From adopted branching ratios and I_γ(460γ)= 0.14 6.

^c Not observed by [1969Wa12](#).

^d For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.0055 21.

^e Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

$^{57}\text{Mn} \beta^-$ decay 1974Ti01,1969Wa12,1963Va37