⁵⁶Fe(pol d,p) E=10 MeV 1974Th03

History						
Type	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date			
Full Evaluation	M. R. Bhat	NDS 85, 415 (1998)	24-Sep-1998			

Telescopes (FWHM= 50 keV, typical). θ =15°-80° in 5° steps. Vector polarization=0.50 3, typical. Measured analyzing power and $\sigma(\theta)$. DWBA.

⁵⁷Fe Levels

J(B),L(B) From empirical rule of 1972Ko41 L(n)=2 transitions in the 2d shell show a definite J-dependence in $p(\theta)$ near θ =45°.

E(level)	$\mathrm{J}^{\pi \ddagger}$	L^{\ddagger}	s#	Comments
0	1/2-	1	0.143	
14	3/2-	1	0.415	
136	5/2-	3	0.594	
366 [†]	3/2-	1	0.253	
1264	1/2-	1	0.371	
1356	$(7/2^{-})$	3	0.049	
1630	3/2-	1	0.025	
1725	$3/2^{-}$	1	0.044	
2117	5/2-	3	0.039	
2207	5/2-	3	0.066	
2454		4	0.447	L: no L=0 strength observed in σ ; however, if data had been taken at more forward angles, such strength may have been observed.
2506	5/2 ⁺	2	0.114	
2565	3/2-	1	0.028	
2687 [†]	1/2-	1	0.378	Probably corresponds to resonance assigned $J^{\pi}=3/2^{-}$ observed in 56 Fe(p,p') by 1970Br20.
2910				J^{π} ,L: no L could be determined. If L=1 is assumed, the data are not consistent with either $J^{\pi}=1/2^-$ or $3/2^-$.
3372	3/2-	1	0.023	S: data not analyzed at θ <20°.
3425	3/2-	1	0.024	S: data not analyzed at θ <20°.
3974	3/2-	1	0.022	•
4141	5/2+	2	0.091	
4382	$(1/2^+)&(7/2^-)$	(0)+(3)	0.065+0.237	L: inconsistent with results from (d,p). Least-squares adjustment procedures to obtain L and σ (L).
4492	5/2+	2	0.026	S: data not analyzed at θ <25°.
4594	5/2+	2	0.035	•
4824	$(1/2^+)&(3/2^+)$	(0)+(2)	0.096+0.051	J^{π} ,L: data clearly indicated a mixed transition in disagreement results from (d,p).
4914	5/2 ⁺	2	0.059	May include two unresolved groups with L=2 observed by 1971Se01.
5049	$(1/2^+)&(7/2^-)$	(0)+(3)	0.011+0.042	,,,
5139	1/2-	1	0.033	J^{π} ,L: $p(\theta)$ clearly indicates $J^{\pi}=1/2^{-}$,L=1, in disagreement with L=0 in (d,p).
5289	5/2+	2	0.018	
5360 [†]	5/2+	2	0.064	
3300	J, _	_	0.001	

[†] Calibration points. The position of other groups agreed within 15 keV to the data from 1971Se01.

[‡] From DWBA calculations and empirical arguments.

[#] If $d\sigma/d\Omega(exp)=1.53$ s $d\sigma/d\Omega(DWUCK)$. These are larger than those extracted by 1971Se01. When the DWBA calculations of 1971Se01 were reproduced, the calculated cross sections were 20% lower than those measured by 1974Th03.