

$^{54}\text{Cr}(\alpha, \text{n}\gamma), (\text{pol } \alpha, \text{n}\gamma) \quad 1972\text{Sa38}$ 

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	M. R. Bhat	NDS 85, 415 (1998)	24-Sep-1998

Measured  $\gamma\gamma(90^\circ, 250^\circ)$ ,  $\gamma(0^\circ-90^\circ)$  and linear polarization at 14.2 MeV and  $I\gamma$  ( $E\alpha=10.2$ , 12.2, and 14.2 MeV); Ge(Li). DSAM.

 $^{57}\text{Fe}$  Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <sup>‡</sup>	T <sub>1/2</sub>	Comments
0.0	1/2 <sup>-</sup>		
14.413 <sup>#</sup>	3/2 <sup>-</sup>		
136.474 <sup>#</sup>	5/2 <sup>-</sup>		
366.68 20	3/2 <sup>-</sup>		J=3/2.
706.35 20	5/2 <sup>-</sup>		J <sup>π</sup> : 5/2 <sup>-</sup> .
1006.79 15	7/2 <sup>-</sup>		J <sup>π</sup> : 7/2 <sup>-</sup> .
1197.86 18	9/2 <sup>-</sup>		J <sup>π</sup> : 9/2 <sup>-</sup> .
1356.8 4	7/2 <sup>-</sup>		J <sup>π</sup> : 3/2 or 7/2 from $\gamma(\theta)$ . J=3/2 was preferred by 1972Sa38 on the basis of excitation function measurements. However, J=5/2 or 7/2 is required by (d,p) measurements.
1989.1 4	9/2 <sup>-</sup>		J <sup>π</sup> : 9/2 <sup>(-)</sup> .
2355.37 22	(11/2) <sup>-</sup>	≈0.42 ps	J <sup>π</sup> : 11/2 <sup>-</sup> .
2455.1 4	9/2 <sup>+</sup>		T <sub>1/2</sub> : disagrees with results from $(\alpha, \text{p}\gamma)$ and $(^{13}\text{C}, 4\text{n}\gamma)$ .
2878.8 4	(13/2) <sup>-</sup>	≤0.46 ps	J <sup>π</sup> : (9/2 <sup>-</sup> ); disagrees with adopted $J^{\pi}$ .
3134.7 4	(15/2) <sup>-</sup>		J <sup>π</sup> : 13/2 <sup>-</sup> .
3268.5 3	(13/2) <sup>+</sup>		J <sup>π</sup> : 15/2 <sup>(-)</sup> .
4429.7 21			J <sup>π</sup> : 13/2 <sup>(-)</sup> .
4524.5 11	(17/2) <sup>+</sup>		

<sup>†</sup> Calculated using least-squares adjustment procedures, except as noted.  $\Delta E(\gamma)$  assumed to be 1 keV when not given; energies of first two excited states held fixed.

<sup>‡</sup> From Adopted Levels; supporting arguments from this data set based on  $\gamma(\theta)$ , linear polarization, and  $\gamma$  excitation functions are indicated. Note, however, comment by 1978Na06 in  $(^{13}\text{C}, 4\text{n}\gamma)$  on the model dependency of the assumption of a Gaussian distribution of the magnetic substates. The  $J^{\pi}$ 's of the first three levels were assumed by 1972Sa38 in their arguments.

<sup>#</sup> From Adopted Levels.

 $\gamma(^{57}\text{Fe})$ 

E <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub>	I <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> <sup>†</sup>	E <sub>f</sub> (level)	J <sub>i</sub> <sup>‡</sup>	E <sub>f</sub>	J <sub>f</sub> <sup>‡</sup>	Mult. <sup>‡</sup>	$\delta$ <sup>‡</sup>	Comments
122		136.474	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	14.413	3/2 <sup>-</sup>			
136		136.474	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	0.0	1/2 <sup>-</sup>			
255.9 2	16	3134.7	(15/2) <sup>-</sup>	2878.8	(13/2) <sup>-</sup>	D+Q	-0.07 2	
352.3 2	9.9	366.68	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	14.413	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	D+Q	-0.03 9	
641		1006.79	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	366.68	3/2 <sup>-</sup>			
650.4 3	8.6	1356.8	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	706.35	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	D+Q		$\delta$ : + 0.3 +2-3 or + 1.6 3 if J=7/2.
691.9 2	35	706.35	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	14.413	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	M1+E2	+1.1 2	
792		1989.1	9/2 <sup>-</sup>	1197.86	9/2 <sup>-</sup>			
x815								Coin with $989\gamma+992\gamma$ doublet. Possible coin with $692\gamma$ and $982\gamma$ .
870.4 2	35	1006.79	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	136.474	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	M1+E2	-0.6 +2-5	
913.1 2	11	3268.5	(13/2) <sup>+</sup>	2355.37	(11/2) <sup>-</sup>	D+Q	+0.00 3	
982.3 4	6.8	1989.1	9/2 <sup>-</sup>	1006.79	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	D+Q	$\delta>0.18<2.75$	
989.3 @& 3	6	1356.8	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	366.68	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	#	#	Placed by evaluators on basis of $989\gamma+992\gamma-352\gamma$ coin and adopted gammas.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{54}\text{Cr}(\alpha, n\gamma), (\text{pol } \alpha, n\gamma)$  **1972Sa38 (continued)** $\gamma(^{57}\text{Fe})$  (continued)

$E_\gamma$	$I_\gamma^\dagger$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. $^{\ddagger}$	$\delta^{\ddagger}$	Comments
						#	#	
992.3 @ 3	25	1006.79	$7/2^-$	14.413	$3/2^-$	E2(+M3)	-0.03 3	
1061.3 2	100	1197.86	$9/2^-$	136.474	$5/2^-$	D+Q	-0.45 5	$I_\gamma$ : agrees with $I_\gamma$ from ( $\alpha, p\gamma$ ) but not from ( $^{13}\text{C}, 4n\gamma$ ).
1157.4 2	10	2355.37	$(11/2)^-$	1197.86	$9/2^-$			
1256.0		4524.5	$(17/2)^+$	3268.5	$(13/2)^+$			
1282		1989.1	$9/2^-$	706.35	$5/2^-$			
1295 2		4429.7		3134.7	$(15/2)^-$			
1348.8 3	23	2355.37	$(11/2)^-$	1006.79	$7/2^-$	E2(+M3)	-0.02 2	
1448.3 3	19	2455.1	$9/2^+$	1006.79	$7/2^-$	E1+M2	0.00 4	Mult., $\delta$ : from adopted gammas.
1680.9 3	45	2878.8	$(13/2)^-$	1197.86	$9/2^-$	E2(+M3)	-0.01 2	
<sup>x</sup> 2158								Possible coin with $122\gamma$ .

<sup>†</sup> Relative photon intensity at  $E = 14.2$  MeV.<sup>‡</sup> From  $\gamma(\theta)$  and linear polarization. Other  $\delta$ 's excluded by comparison to RUL or adopted  $J^\pi$ .<sup>#</sup> Q + O.  $\delta = -0.02$  2 for doublet.<sup>@</sup> Multiply placed.<sup>&</sup> Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.<sup>x</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

