

$^{55}\text{Cu}$   $\varepsilon$  decay (55.9 ms)    2013Tr09, 2022TrZZ

Type	Author	Citation	History Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh	ENSDF	30-Apr-2022

Parent:  $^{55}\text{Cu}$ : E=0;  $J^\pi=(3/2^-)$ ;  $T_{1/2}=55.9$  ms 18;  $Q(\varepsilon)=1370\times 10^3$  16; % $\varepsilon+\beta^+$  decay=100.0

$^{55}\text{Cu}-J^\pi, T_{1/2}$ : From  $^{55}\text{Cu}$  Adopted Levels.

$^{55}\text{Cu}-Q(\varepsilon)$ : From 2021Wa16.

2013Tr09, 2022TrZZ:  $^{55}\text{Cu}$  produced in  $\text{Be}(^{58}\text{Ni}^{28+}, p2n)$ ,  $E(^{58}\text{Ni})=160$  MeV/nucleon particle-transfer reaction, followed by separation using A1900 fragment separator and NSCL Radio Frequency Fragment separation at NSCL-MSU facility. Dominant fragments were  $^{54}\text{Ni}$  and  $^{55}\text{Cu}$ . The fragments were stopped in a planar Ge double-sided strip detectors (GeDSSD) surrounded by SeGA array of two rings of eight HPGe detectors each on either side. Measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ , (implants) $\beta$  correlated events,  $\beta\gamma$ -coin,  $\gamma\gamma$ -coin,  $\beta\gamma\gamma$ -coin, half-life of  $^{55}\text{Cu}$  g.s. decay. Deduced levels,  $J^\pi$ , IAS doublet, beta feedings, log  $ft$  values, isospin mixing, split isobaric analog state (IAS) in  $^{55}\text{Ni}$ . Comparison with Shell model calculations using the code COSMO with GXPF1A interaction in the full  $fp$  shell.

$S(p)(^{55}\text{Ni})=4614.9$  keV 7 (2021Wa16), thus no delayed protons can be emitted from the IAS doublet identified at 4579+4599.

2013Tr09 state that no protons were detected indicating that delayed proton branch is small. Note that 2007Do17 reported a delayed proton branch of 15.0% 43, which may have belonged to another activity, as these authors obtained  $T_{1/2}=27$  ms 8, in disagreement with 57 ms 3 from 2013Tr09.

 $^{55}\text{Ni}$  Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^\pi$ <sup>‡</sup>	Comments
0.0	$7/2^-$	$T_z=-1/2$ .
2085.4 4	$3/2^-$	
2465.5 4	$(3/2^-)$	$J^\pi: 3/2^-$ in 2013Tr09.
2586.9 5	$(5/2^-)$	$J^\pi: (3/2)^-$ in 2013Tr09.
2802.0 4	$(1/2^-)$	$J^\pi: (1/2)^-$ in 2013Tr09.
3182.7 4	$(5/2^-)$	$J^\pi: (5/2)^-$ in 2013Tr09.
3214.1 7	$(1/2^-)$	$J^\pi: (1/2)^-$ in 2013Tr09.
3593.7 6	$(5/2^-)$	$J^\pi: (5/2)^-$ in 2013Tr09.
3808.3 8	$(1/2^-, 3/2^-)$	$J^\pi: (1/2, 3/2)^-$ in 2013Tr09.
4026.8 6	$(1/2^-, 3/2^-)$	$J^\pi: (1/2, 3/2)^-$ in 2013Tr09.
4579.4 5	$(3/2^-)$	$J^\pi: 3/2^-$ in 2013Tr09.
4599.3 4	$(3/2^-)$	E(level), $J^\pi$ : proton-bound IAS of $3/2^-$ . $^{55}\text{Cu}$ g.s., and mirror state of 4721, $3/2^-$ state in $^{55}\text{Co}$ . $J^\pi: 3/2^-$ in 2013Tr09.
5075.5 9		E(level), $J^\pi$ : proton-bound IAS of $3/2^-$ . $^{55}\text{Cu}$ g.s., and mirror state of 4748, $3/2^-$ state in $^{55}\text{Co}$ .

<sup>†</sup> From least-squares fit to  $E\gamma$  data.

<sup>‡</sup> From Adopted Levels, essentially based on proposed assignments by 2013Tr09 from shell-model calculations and comparison with level structure in mirror nucleus  $^{55}\text{Co}$ . Evaluators place most assignments in parentheses for which strong arguments are lacking.

The log  $ft$  values are not used here as there may be unobserved states above the 5076 level since the  $Q(\varepsilon)$  value is 13.7 MeV.

 $\varepsilon, \beta^+$  radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^+$ <sup>†</sup>	$I\varepsilon$ <sup>†</sup>	Log $ft$	$I(\varepsilon+\beta^+)$ <sup>†</sup>	Comments
$(8.62\times 10^3$ 16)	5075.5	4.0 8	0.0048 10	4.4 1	4.0 8	av $E\beta=3590$ 79; $\varepsilon K=0.00108$ 7; $\varepsilon L=0.000116$ 8; $\varepsilon M+=1.96\times 10^{-5}$ 13 $I(\varepsilon+\beta^+)$ : 4 1 in 2013Tr09.
$(9.10\times 10^3$ 16)	4599.3	47.3 8	0.048 3	3.49 5	47.3 8	av $E\beta=3825$ 79; $\varepsilon K=0.00090$ 6; $\varepsilon L=9.7\times 10^{-5}$ 6; $\varepsilon M+=1.64\times 10^{-5}$ 10 $I(\varepsilon+\beta^+)$ : 48 8 in 2013Tr09.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

**$^{55}\text{Cu}$   $\epsilon$  decay (55.9 ms)    2013Tr09, 2022TrZZ (continued)** **$\epsilon, \beta^+$  radiations (continued)**

E(decay) ( $9.12 \times 10^3$ 16)	E(level) 4579.4	$I\beta^+ \dagger$ 18.8 7	$I\epsilon^\dagger$ 0.0189 14	Log $f\tau$ 3.89 5	$I(\epsilon + \beta^+) \dagger$ 18.8 7	Comments
( $9.67 \times 10^3$ 16)	4026.8	4.3 3	0.0036 3	4.67 5	4.3 3	av $E\beta=4108$ 80; $\epsilon K=0.00073$ 5; $\epsilon L=7.9 \times 10^{-5}$ 5; $\epsilon M+=1.34 \times 10^{-5}$ 8 $I(\epsilon + \beta^+)$ : 4.3 5 in 2013Tr09.
( $9.89 \times 10^3 \ddagger$ 16)	3808.3	<0.6		>5.6	<0.6	av $E\beta=4217$ 80 $I(\epsilon + \beta^+)$ : 0.4 1 in 2013Tr09. Evaluators obtain 0.1 5.
( $1.011 \times 10^4$ 16)	3593.7	0.5 5		5.7 5	0.5 5	av $E\beta=4323$ 80 $I(\epsilon + \beta^+)$ : 1.3 2 in 2013Tr09.
( $1.049 \times 10^4$ 16)	3214.1	2.3 5	0.0015 3	5.1 1	2.3 5	av $E\beta=4511$ 80; $\epsilon K=0.00056$ 3; $\epsilon L=6.1 \times 10^{-5}$ 4; $\epsilon M+=1.03 \times 10^{-5}$ 6 $I(\epsilon + \beta^+)$ : 2.3 4 in 2013Tr09.
( $1.052 \times 10^4 \ddagger$ 16)	3182.7	<0.9		>5.5	<0.9	av $E\beta=4526$ 80 $I(\epsilon + \beta^+)$ : 1.4 2 in 2013Tr09. Evaluators obtain 0.2 7.
( $1.090 \times 10^4$ 16)	2802.0	4.5 7	0.0025 4	4.9 1	4.5 7	av $E\beta=4715$ 80; $\epsilon K=0.000496$ 25; $\epsilon L=5.4 \times 10^{-5}$ 3; $\epsilon M+=9.0 \times 10^{-6}$ 5 $I(\epsilon + \beta^+)$ : 4.6 5 in 2013Tr09.
( $1.111 \times 10^4$ 16)	2586.9	1.6 5		5.4 2	1.6 5	av $E\beta=4822$ 80 $I(\epsilon + \beta^+)$ : 1.7 2 in 2013Tr09.
( $1.123 \times 10^4$ 16)	2465.5	7.5 12	0.0038 6	4.8 1	7.5 12	av $E\beta=4882$ 80; $\epsilon K=0.000449$ 22; $\epsilon L=4.85 \times 10^{-5}$ 24; $\epsilon M+=8.2 \times 10^{-6}$ 4 $I(\epsilon + \beta^+)$ : 16 4 in 2013Tr09.
( $1.161 \times 10^4$ 16)	2085.4	6.6 9	0.0030 4	4.9 1	6.6 9	av $E\beta=5071$ 80; $\epsilon K=0.000403$ 19; $\epsilon L=4.35 \times 10^{-5}$ 20; $\epsilon M+=7.3 \times 10^{-6}$ 4 $I(\epsilon + \beta^+)$ : 7 2 in 2013Tr09.
( $1.370 \times 10^4 \ddagger$ 16)	0.0	2.2 11		5.8 2	2.2 11	av $E\beta=6107$ 80

<sup>†</sup> Absolute intensity per 100 decays.<sup>‡</sup> Existence of this branch is questionable. **$\gamma(^{55}\text{Ni})$** 

I $\gamma$  normalization: Absolute  $\gamma$ -ray intensities (per 100 decays of  $^{55}\text{Cu}$ ) are given in 2022TrZZ, obtained from total number of  $\beta$ -correlated implants and the counts and efficiency of the  $\gamma$ -ray counts. With  $S(p)(^{55}\text{Ni})=4614.9$  keV 7,  $\beta$ -delayed proton decay mode of  $^{55}\text{Cu}$  is possible but no protons were detected by 2013Tr09. Note that 2007Do17 reported a  $\beta^+$ -delayed proton branch of 15.0% 43, which appears questionable as these authors obtained  $T_{1/2}=27$  ms 8 for  $^{55}\text{Cu}$  decay in contrast to 57 ms 3 by 2013Tr09 and 55.5 ms 18 by 2020Gi02.

$E_\gamma \dagger$	$I_\gamma \dagger \#$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Comments
336.7 5	7.7 3	2802.0	(1/2 <sup>-</sup> )	2465.5	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> )	
379.6 10	2.2 2	2465.5	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> )	2085.4	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	
716.5 @ 5	7.9 @ <sup>‡</sup> 3	2802.0	(1/2 <sup>-</sup> )	2085.4	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	Total I $\gamma$ =11.8 3.
716.5 @ 5	3.9 @ <sup>‡</sup> 3	3182.7	(5/2 <sup>-</sup> )	2465.5	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> )	
748.5 10	2.2 2	3214.1	(1/2 <sup>-</sup> )	2465.5	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> )	
771.0 10	2.0 2	4579.4	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> )	3808.3	(1/2 <sup>-</sup> , 3/2 <sup>-</sup> )	
985.7 10	2.2 2	4579.4	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> )	3593.7	(5/2 <sup>-</sup> )	
1005.8 10	1.7 1	4599.3	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> )	3593.7	(5/2 <sup>-</sup> )	

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

**$^{55}\text{Cu}$   $\varepsilon$  decay (55.9 ms) 2013Tr09,2022TrZZ (continued)** **$\gamma(^{55}\text{Ni})$  (continued)**

$E_\gamma^\dagger$	$I_\gamma^{\dagger\#}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Comments
1128.6 @ 10	1.8 @‡ 3	3214.1	(1/2 <sup>-</sup> )	2085.4	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	Total $I\gamma=3.2$ 3.
1128.6 @ 10	1.4 @‡ 3	3593.7	(5/2 <sup>-</sup> )	2465.5	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> )	
1267 & 2	0.6 1	5075.5		3808.3	(1/2 <sup>-</sup> ,3/2 <sup>-</sup> )	
1342.6 10	2.5 2	3808.3	(1/2 <sup>-</sup> ,3/2 <sup>-</sup> )	2465.5	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> )	
1385.1 10	1.7 2	4599.3	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> )	3214.1	(1/2 <sup>-</sup> )	
1396.3 5	3.9 3	4579.4	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> )	3182.7	(5/2 <sup>-</sup> )	
1416.3 5	6.1 3	4599.3	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> )	3182.7	(5/2 <sup>-</sup> )	
1561.3 5	4.3 3	4026.8	(1/2 <sup>-</sup> ,3/2 <sup>-</sup> )	2465.5	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> )	
1777.8 5	6.8 4	4579.4	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> )	2802.0	(1/2 <sup>-</sup> )	
1797.0 5	4.3 3	4599.3	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> )	2802.0	(1/2 <sup>-</sup> )	
1992.5 10	3.9 3	4579.4	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> )	2586.9	(5/2 <sup>-</sup> )	
2085.6 5	23.2 4	2085.4	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	0.0	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	
2133.9 5	29.4 5	4599.3	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> )	2465.5	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> )	
2465.3 5	59.8 7	2465.5	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> )	0.0	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	
2514.3 5	4.1 3	4599.3	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> )	2085.4	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	
2586.9 5	5.5 4	2586.9	(5/2 <sup>-</sup> )	0.0	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	
2610 1	3.1 3	5075.5		2465.5	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> )	
2990 & 2	0.9 2	5075.5		2085.4	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	
3182.5 5	6.3 4	3182.7	(5/2 <sup>-</sup> )	0.0	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	
3593.5 10	3.0 3	3593.7	(5/2 <sup>-</sup> )	0.0	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	

† From 2022TrZZ. Uncertainties for intensities are statistical only.

‡ Intensity split is based on  $\gamma\gamma$ -coin data as state by 2022TrZZ.

# Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

@ Multiply placed with intensity suitably divided.

& Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

$^{55}\text{Cu} \epsilon$  decay (55.9 ms) 2013Tr09,2022TrZZ

## Decay Scheme

## Legend

Intensities:  $I_\gamma$  per 100 parent decays  
 @ Multiply placed: intensity suitably divided

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- - - -  $\gamma$  Decay (Uncertain)

