

$^{54}\text{Fe}(\alpha, ^3\text{He})$ 1970Ro22

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Huo Junde	NDS 109, 787 (2008)	30-Apr-2007

E=44 MeV, 100-150 keV (FWHM); measured $\sigma(^3\text{He},\theta)$; DWBA analysis.

 ^{55}Fe Levels

J(α),S(α) For L=3.

E(level)	J $^{\pi\dagger}$	L †	C 2 S'	Comments
0.0	(3/2 $^-$)	1	2.7	
410	(1/2 $^-$)	1	1.0	
930	5/2 $^-$	3	3.9	
1320	7/2 $^-$	(1,3)	(0.78)	
1430	7/2 $^-$	(1,3)	0.39	
2015	1/2 $^-$,3/2 $^-$	1	0.39	
2140	5/2 $^-$	3	0.90	
2300	9/2 $^+$	4	0.10	
2480	1/2 $^-$,3/2 $^-$	1	0.78	
2600	9/2 $^+$	(4)	0.12	
2820		(3,4)	0.23,0.09	C 2 S': C 2 S'=0.23 for L=3, J $^{\pi}$ =5/2 $^-$; C 2 S'=0.09 for L=4, J $^{\pi}$ =9/2 $^+$.
2930	7/2 $^-$	(1,3)	(0.84)	
3080		(3,4)	0.26,0.10	C 2 S': C 2 S'=0.26 for L=3, J $^{\pi}$ =5/2 $^-$; C 2 S'=0.10 for L=4, J $^{\pi}$ =9/2 $^+$.
3330		(3,4)	0.23,0.10	C 2 S': C 2 S'=0.23 for L=3, J $^{\pi}$ =5/2 $^-$; C 2 S'=0.10 for L=4, J $^{\pi}$ =9/2 $^+$.
3550?				
3800	9/2 $^+$	4	3.75	

† 1976Ko12 showed that $\sigma(\theta)$ were quite structureless, so that L assignments appeared to result mainly from qualitative fits to slope of angular distributions. Therefore, L assignments may be uncertain, especially for higher L values.

‡ Based on $\sigma(^3\text{He},\theta)$ measurements, DWBA analysis and C 2 S extractions.