

$^{54}\text{V} \beta^-$  decay    1977Na17,1970Wa14,1956Sc54

Type	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Yang Dong, Huo Junde	NDS 121, 1 (2014)	20-Jun-2014

Parent:  $^{54}\text{V}$ : E=0.0;  $J^\pi=3^+$ ;  $T_{1/2}=49.8$  s 5;  $Q(\beta^-)=7042$  15; % $\beta^-$  decay=100.0

**Additional information 1.**

1977Na17: produced by  $^{48}\text{Ca}(^9\text{Be},2\text{np})$  at E=20 MeV. 99.9% enriched target,  $\gamma\gamma(t), \beta\gamma(t)$ , Ge(Li) detector.

1970Wa14: produced by  $^{54}\text{Cr}(\text{n},\text{p})$  at E=14 MeV. 94.1% enriched target,  $\gamma\gamma$ - and  $\beta\gamma$ -coincidence, Ge(Li), plastic detector.

 $^{54}\text{Cr}$  Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^\pi$ <sup>‡</sup>	Comments
0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>	
834.81 18	2 <sup>+</sup>	
1823.82 21	4 <sup>+</sup>	
2619.45 20	2 <sup>+</sup>	
3073.87 23	2 <sup>+</sup>	
3159.50 23	4 <sup>+</sup>	
3222.30 24	6 <sup>+</sup>	
3436.8 3	2 <sup>+</sup>	
3655.1 3	4 <sup>+</sup>	
3785.60 23	(4,5) <sup>+</sup>	
3798.46 22	4 <sup>+</sup>	
4083.06 21	2 <sup>+,3<sup>+,4<sup>+</sup></sup></sup>	$J^\pi$ : $\gamma$ 's to 2 <sup>+, 4<sup>+</sup></sup> , so $J^\pi=2+, 3, 4+$ ; log ft=4.81 from 3 <sup>+</sup> , so $\Delta\pi=+$ , this rules out 3 <sup>-</sup> .
4218.05 24	2 <sup>+,3<sup>+,4<sup>+</sup></sup></sup>	$J^\pi$ : $\gamma$ 's to 2 <sup>+, 4<sup>+</sup></sup> , so $J^\pi=2+, 3, 4+$ ; log ft=5.69 from 3 <sup>+</sup> , so $\Delta\pi=+$ , this rules out 3 <sup>-</sup> .
4450.9 5	4 <sup>+</sup>	

<sup>†</sup> From using least-squares adjustment procedures.

<sup>‡</sup> From Adopted Levels, except as noted.

 $\beta^-$  radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^-$ <sup>†#</sup>	Log ft	Comments
(2591 15)	4450.9	1.6 6	6.23 17	av $E\beta=1097$ 8
(2824 15)	4218.05	7.0 17	5.75 11	av $E\beta=1208$ 8
(2959 15)	4083.06	65.9 22	4.87 3	av $E\beta=1273$ 8
(3244 15)	3798.46	11.6 14	5.79 6	E(decay): $E\beta=2.95$ MeV 10 from $\beta\gamma$ -coincidence spectra (1970Wa14).
(3256 15)	3785.60	15.0 11	5.69 4	av $E\beta=1409$ 8
(3387 15)	3655.1	4.8 10	6.26 10	av $E\beta=1415$ 8
(3605 15)	3436.8	<1.2	>7.0	av $E\beta=1478$ 8
(3820 15)	3222.30			av $E\beta=1584$ 8 $I\beta^-$ : $\sum I\gamma$ 0.5 7 from intensity balance. $I\beta^-$ : $I\beta<2$ from 1977Na17. $\sum I\gamma$ 0.3 8 from intensity balance, so then $I\beta$ should be<1.1. But this level not expected to be fed since $\Delta J=3$ .
(3883 15)	3159.50	‡		$I\beta^-$ : from $\sum I\gamma$ -0.1 7 from intensity balance.
(3968 15)	3073.87			$I\beta^-$ : from $\sum I\gamma$ 0.3 8 from intensity balance.
(4423 15)	2619.45	2.4 17	7.1 3	av $E\beta=1980$ 8
(5218 15)	1823.82	4 3	7.2 4	av $E\beta=2368$ 8
(6207 15)	834.81	‡		E(decay): $E\beta=5.2$ MeV 2 from singles $\beta^-$ ray spectra (1970Wa14).

<sup>†</sup> The decay scheme is that of 1977Na17 based on extensive coincidence and  $\gamma$ 's data with the decay scheme as proposed. There is an intensity imbalance at 835- and 3160-keV levels. Due to the negative imbalances, the positive  $\beta$  branches as given, sum to

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{54}\text{V} \beta^-$  decay    1977Na17,1970Wa14,1956Sc54 (continued) $\beta^-$  radiations (continued)

114 rather than 100. This reflects the incompleteness of the decay scheme.

$\ddagger$  Negative imbalance at 835 level with  $I\beta = -4.9$  19 and at 3160 level with  $I\beta = -7.6$  13.

$\#$  Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

 $\gamma(^{54}\text{Cr})$ 

$I\gamma$  normalization: from  $\Sigma (I(\gamma + ce) \text{ to g.s.}) = 100$ ,  $B^-$  feeding to g.s. is expected to be negligible since  $\Delta J = 3$ .  
All data are from 1977Na17, except as noted.

$E_\gamma$	$I_\gamma$ $\dagger @$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$
563.68 19	4.36 22	3785.60	(4,5) <sup>+</sup>	3222.30	6 <sup>+</sup>
626.56 27	0.76 34	3785.60	(4,5) <sup>+</sup>	3159.50	4 <sup>+</sup>
639.35 25	3.70 44	3798.46	4 <sup>+</sup>	3159.50	4 <sup>+</sup>
646.27 24	2.3 4	4083.06	2 <sup>+,3<sup>+,4<sup>+</sup></sup></sup>	3436.8	2 <sup>+</sup>
834.75 18	100.0 7	834.81	2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>
923.29 20	8.3 8	4083.06	2 <sup>+,3<sup>+,4<sup>+</sup></sup></sup>	3159.50	4 <sup>+</sup>
988.96 17	82.5 7	1823.82	4 <sup>+</sup>	834.81	2 <sup>+</sup>
1009.25 16	1.4 6	4083.06	2 <sup>+,3<sup>+,4<sup>+</sup></sup></sup>	3073.87	2 <sup>+</sup>
1336.22 40	2.6 5	3159.50	4 <sup>+</sup>	1823.82	4 <sup>+</sup>
1398.63 13	4.7 7	3222.30	6 <sup>+</sup>	1823.82	4 <sup>+</sup>
1463.51 9	8.9 7	4083.06	2 <sup>+,3<sup>+,4<sup>+</sup></sup></sup>	2619.45	2 <sup>+</sup>
1784.44 12	8.4 7	2619.45	2 <sup>+</sup>	834.81	2 <sup>+</sup>
1831.27 19	4.9 10	3655.1	4 <sup>+</sup>	1823.82	4 <sup>+</sup>
1961.53 11	10.3 10	3785.60	(4,5) <sup>+</sup>	1823.82	4 <sup>+</sup>
1974.33 12	4.6 10	3798.46	4 <sup>+</sup>	1823.82	4 <sup>+</sup>
2239.11 20	1.3 <sup>‡</sup> 4	3073.87	2 <sup>+</sup>	834.81	2 <sup>+</sup>
2259.35 11	47.0 15	4083.06	2 <sup>+,3<sup>+,4<sup>+</sup></sup></sup>	1823.82	4 <sup>+</sup>
2325.02 40	2.3 <sup>‡</sup> 6	3159.50	4 <sup>+</sup>	834.81	2 <sup>+</sup>
<sup>x</sup> 2353 <sup>#</sup> 3	11.5 <sup>#</sup> 20				
2394.82 36	3.1 15	4218.05	2 <sup>+,3<sup>+,4<sup>+</sup></sup></sup>	1823.82	4 <sup>+</sup>
2602.04 40	2.8 5	3436.8	2 <sup>+</sup>	834.81	2 <sup>+</sup>
2621.3 11	3.0 14	2619.45	2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>
2627.00 42	1.6 <sup>‡</sup> 6	4450.9	4 <sup>+</sup>	1823.82	4 <sup>+</sup>
<sup>x</sup> 2820 <sup>#</sup> 50	$\approx 4^{\#}$				
2964.29 25	3.6 9	3798.46	4 <sup>+</sup>	834.81	2 <sup>+</sup>
<sup>x</sup> 3170 <sup>#</sup> 5	11.8 <sup>#</sup> 25				
3382.96 18	4.1 8	4218.05	2 <sup>+,3<sup>+,4<sup>+</sup></sup></sup>	834.81	2 <sup>+</sup>

$\dagger$  Normalized to 835 $\gamma$  from 1977Na17.

$\ddagger$  Observed in coincidence only. The intensity is that derived from the 835-keV gate.

$\#$  Observed and assigned in the level scheme by 1970Wa14 only.

$@$  For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.971 13.

$x$   $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{54}\text{V} \beta^-$  decay    1977Na17,1970Wa14,1956Sc54

## Decay Scheme

Intensities:  $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$  per 100 parent decays

Legend

