## <sup>52</sup>Cr(t,α) **1967Gl09**

History
---------

Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Wang Jimin and Huang Xiaolong	NDS 144, 1 (2017)	1-Mar-2016

E=12.14 MeV; measured  $\sigma(E\alpha,\theta)$  and fitted with zero-range DWBA.

## <sup>51</sup>V Levels

E(level)	$J^{\pi \ddagger}$	L†	$C^2S^{\textcircled{0}}$	Comments
0	7/2 <sup>-#</sup>	3	4.0	
2404	3/2 <sup>-#</sup>			L: authors' value L=3; $C^2S=0.72$ .
2544	1/2+#			L: authors' value L=2; $C^2S=1.93$ .
2674	$(3/2)^{+\#}$			L: authors' value L=0; $C^2S=0.82$ .
3632	$3/2^+, 5/2^+$	2	1.22	
3749	$1/2^{+}$	0	0.12	
4205	$3/2^+, 5/2^+$	2	0.38	
4505	$1/2^{+}$	0	0.15	
4907	$1/2^{+}$	0	0.15	
5065	$3/2^+, 5/2^+$	2	0.34	
5496	$3/2^+, 5/2^+$	2	0.36	
5600	3/2+,5/2+	2	0.60	

<sup>†</sup> From DWBA analysis of measured  $\sigma(\theta)$ .

<sup>‡</sup> From L values, except for the g.s. and 2404, 2544, and 2674 levels as noted. The authors' L values for these three excited states are discrepant with adopted  $J^{\pi}$  values. It is possible that the values are misprints, with L values for the 2544 and 2674 being reversed. The reference 1967Gl09 is a brief report containing only a table of values.

# From Adopted Levels.

<sup>@</sup> Normalized to 4.0 for  $C^2S$  of g.s.