

<sup>51</sup>Ca β<sup>-</sup> decay 1980Hu14

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Wang Jimin and Huang Xiaolong		NDS 144, 1 (2017)	1-Mar-2016

Parent: <sup>51</sup>Ca: E=0.0; J<sup>π</sup>=3/2<sup>(-)</sup>; T<sub>1/2</sub>=10.0 s 8; Q(β<sup>-</sup>)=6.896×10<sup>3</sup> 20; %β<sup>-</sup> decay=100.0  
 Source produced by U(p,X) E=600 MeV, mass separated, Ge(Li) detectors; measured delayed E<sub>γ</sub>, I<sub>γ</sub>, γγ coincidences, and T<sub>1/2</sub>.

<sup>51</sup>Sc Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <sup>π</sup> <sup>‡</sup>	T <sub>1/2</sub>	E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <sup>π</sup> <sup>‡</sup>
0.0	(7/2) <sup>-</sup>	12.4 s 1	2708.8 3	(3/2) <sup>-</sup>
861.62 10	(3/2) <sup>-</sup>		3038.69 23	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> ,5/2 <sup>-</sup> )
1167.33 20	(3/2,5/2,7/2 <sup>+</sup> )		3195.2 4	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> ,5/2 <sup>-</sup> )
1394.02 17	(3/2,5/2)		3390.6 6	(1/2,3/2,5/2)
1715.02 19			3772.1 6	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> ,5/2 <sup>-</sup> )
2347.2 4	(1/2) <sup>-</sup>			

<sup>†</sup> From decay scheme and E<sub>γ</sub>'s, using least-squares fit to data.

<sup>‡</sup> From Adopted Levels.

β<sup>-</sup> radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	Iβ <sup>-</sup> <sup>†‡</sup>	Log f <sub>t</sub>	Comments
(3124 20)	3772.1	6.5 7	5.44 8	av Eβ=1578 47
(3505 20)	3390.6	3.6 5	5.89 9	av Eβ=1763 47
(3701 20)	3195.2	25.5 13	5.14 7	av Eβ=1858 47
(3857 20)	3038.69	19.2 11	5.33 7	av Eβ=1934 47
(4187 20)	2708.8	9.9 8	5.77 7	av Eβ=2094 47
(4549 20)	2347.2	12.9 12	5.80 7	av Eβ=2271 47
(5181 20)	1715.02	<3.1	>6.7	av Eβ=2580 47
(5502 20)	1394.02	14.9 16	6.08 7	av Eβ=2737 47
(5729 20)	1167.33	2.5 15	6.9 3	av Eβ=2848 47
(6034 20)	861.62	5.1 19	6.72 17	av Eβ=2998 47

<sup>†</sup> From intensity imbalance at each level. Values are those of the authors.

<sup>‡</sup> Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

γ(<sup>51</sup>Sc)

I<sub>γ</sub> normalization: Based on ΣI<sub>γ</sub>(to g.s.)=100.

E <sub>γ</sub>	I <sub>γ</sub> <sup>†#</sup>	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	J <sub>i</sub> <sup>π</sup>	E <sub>f</sub>	J <sub>f</sub> <sup>π</sup>
352.4 23	0.5	3390.6	(1/2,3/2,5/2)	3038.69	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> ,5/2 <sup>-</sup> )
532.2 4	11	1394.02	(3/2,5/2)	861.62	(3/2) <sup>-</sup>
547.7 1	57	1715.02		1167.33	(3/2,5/2,7/2 <sup>+</sup> )
861.6 1	100	861.62	(3/2) <sup>-</sup>	0.0	(7/2) <sup>-</sup>
1167.5 3	68	1167.33	(3/2,5/2,7/2 <sup>+</sup> )	0.0	(7/2) <sup>-</sup>
1314.8 6	13	2708.8	(3/2) <sup>-</sup>	1394.02	(3/2,5/2)
1323.7 2	40	3038.69	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> ,5/2 <sup>-</sup> )	1715.02	
1394.0 2	77	1394.02	(3/2,5/2)	0.0	(7/2) <sup>-</sup>
1424.0 7	7	3772.1	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> ,5/2 <sup>-</sup> )	2347.2	(1/2) <sup>-</sup>

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{51}\text{Ca} \beta^-$  decay **1980Hu14** (continued) $\gamma(^{51}\text{Sc})$  (continued)

$E_\gamma$	$I_\gamma$ †#	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$
1480.1 3	65	3195.2	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> ,5/2 <sup>-</sup> )	1715.02	
1485.3 4	47	2347.2	(1/2 <sup>-</sup> )	861.62	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> )
1644.4 4	14	3038.69	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> ,5/2 <sup>-</sup> )	1394.02	(3/2,5/2)
1714.8 3	23	1715.02		0.0	(7/2) <sup>-</sup>
1847.1 3	18	2708.8	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> )	861.62	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> )
1996.5 5	11	3390.6	(1/2,3/2,5/2)	1394.02	(3/2,5/2)
2027.5 27	2.6	3195.2	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> ,5/2 <sup>-</sup> )	1167.33	(3/2,5/2,7/2 <sup>+</sup> )
2333.4 18	3.6	3195.2	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> ,5/2 <sup>-</sup> )	861.62	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> )
2378.7 14	3.3	3772.1	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> ,5/2 <sup>-</sup> )	1394.02	(3/2,5/2)
2912.0 10	4.1	3772.1	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> ,5/2 <sup>-</sup> )	861.62	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> )
3038.9 7	7	3038.69	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> ,5/2 <sup>-</sup> )	0.0	(7/2) <sup>-</sup>
3196.5 11	9	3195.2	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> ,5/2 <sup>-</sup> )	0.0	(7/2) <sup>-</sup>
3771.7 11	6	3772.1	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> ,5/2 <sup>-</sup> )	0.0	(7/2) <sup>-</sup>
<sup>x</sup> 4379.0 ‡ 15	3.4				

† Relative intensity normalized to  $I_\gamma(861.6\gamma)=100$ . No uncertainties are given by the authors; however, they also give branching ratios and  $I\beta$  (from  $I_\gamma$  imbalances) with uncertainties. The branching ratio data are given in adopted  $\gamma$ 's.

‡ Assignment to  $^{51}\text{Ca} \beta^-$  decay is not definitely established.

# For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.345.

<sup>x</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{51}\text{Ca} \beta^-$  decay 1980Hu14

Decay Scheme

Intensities:  $I_\gamma$  per 100 parent decays

Legend

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$

