

^{51}Fe ε decay [1989Ho13,1984Ay01](#)

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Wang Jimin and Huang Xiaolong		NDS 144, 1 (2017)	1-Mar-2016

Parent: ^{51}Fe : $E=0.0$; $J^\pi=5/2^-$; $T_{1/2}=305$ ms 2; $Q(\varepsilon)=8041$ 9; $\% \varepsilon + \% \beta^+$ decay=100.0

Others: [1984Ay01](#).

[1989Ho13](#): measured $T_{1/2}$, $I\beta$, and $E\gamma$. Shell-model calculation.

[1984Ay01](#): measured $T_{1/2}$, $I\beta$.

[1976HoZE](#): measured $T_{1/2}$, $I\beta$.

^{51}Fe source produced generally by $^{50}\text{Cr}(^3\text{He},2n)$.

 ^{51}Mn Levels

$E(\text{level})^\dagger$	$J^\pi^\ddagger$	$T_{1/2}^\ddagger$
0.0	$5/2^-$	46.2 min 1
237	$7/2^-$	
1825	$3/2^-$	
2140	$3/2^-$	
2914	$3/2^-$	
3426	($1/2^-$ to $7/2^-$)	
3555	$3/2^-$	

† From [1989Ho13](#).

‡ From Adopted Levels.

 ε, β^+ radiations

$E(\text{decay})$	$E(\text{level})$	$I\beta^+^\ddagger$	$I\varepsilon^\ddagger$	$\text{Log } ft$	$I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)^\dagger^\ddagger$	Comments
(4486 9)	3555	0.16 5	0.0013 4	5.00 14	0.16 5	av $E\beta=1559.9$ 72; $\varepsilon K=0.00736$ 10; $\varepsilon L=0.000773$ 10; $\varepsilon M+=0.0001347$ 1
(4615 9)	3426	0.20 6	0.0015 4	4.97 13	0.20 6	av $E\beta=1621.9$ 72; $\varepsilon K=0.00660$ 9; $\varepsilon L=0.000693$ 9; $\varepsilon M+=0.0001208$ 1
(5127 9)	2914	0.10 3	0.00050 15	5.54 13	0.10 3	av $E\beta=1868.7$ 73; $\varepsilon K=0.00444$ 5; $\varepsilon L=0.000466$ 6; $\varepsilon M+=8.12 \times 10^{-5}$ 9
(5901 9)	2140	0.24 7	0.00072 21	5.51 13	0.24 7	av $E\beta=2244.4$ 73; $\varepsilon K=0.002655$ 25; $\varepsilon L=0.000278$ 3; $\varepsilon M+=4.85 \times 10^{-5}$ 5
(6216 9)	1825	0.49 14	0.0012 3	5.32 13	0.49 14	av $E\beta=2397.9$ 74; $\varepsilon K=0.002204$ 19; $\varepsilon L=0.0002311$ 2; $\varepsilon M+=4.03 \times 10^{-5}$ 4
(7804 9)	237	5.0 13	0.0056 15	4.86 12	5.0 13	av $E\beta=3176.4$ 74; $\varepsilon K=0.000998$ 7; $\varepsilon L=0.0001046$ 7; $\varepsilon M+=1.823 \times 10^{-5}$ 12 $I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)$: 5.0 13 (1984Ay01).
(8041 9)	0.0	93.7 13	0.0949 17	3.653 11	93.8 13	av $E\beta=3293.1$ 74; $\varepsilon K=0.000901$ 6; $\varepsilon L=9.45 \times 10^{-5}$ 6; $\varepsilon M+=1.646 \times 10^{-5}$ 11

† $I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)$ from [1989Ho13](#). Authors data are normalized to $I\beta(237)=5.0\%$ 13 from [1984Ay01](#).

‡ Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

^{51}Fe ε decay 1989Ho13,1984Ay01 (continued) $\gamma(^{51}\text{Mn})$

I_γ normalization: From $\%I_\gamma(237\gamma)=5.0$ *I3* (1984Ay01).

E_γ	$I_\gamma^{\dagger\#}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ‡	$\delta^{\ddagger}$
237	100 26	237	$7/2^-$	0.0	$5/2^-$	M1+E2	+0.100 <i>11</i>
1825	9.8 28	1825	$3/2^-$	0.0	$5/2^-$	M1	
2140	4.8 14	2140	$3/2^-$	0.0	$5/2^-$	M1+E2	-0.08 7
2914	2.0 6	2914	$3/2^-$	0.0	$5/2^-$	M1	
3426	4.0 12	3426	$(1/2^- \text{ to } 7/2^-)$	0.0	$5/2^-$		
3555	3.2 10	3555	$3/2^-$	0.0	$5/2^-$		

† Relative intensity renormalized to $I_\gamma(237\gamma)=100$ 26. Values from I_β and authors' decay scheme (I_γ not given by 1989Ho13).

‡ From adopted γ radiations.

$^\#$ For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.050.

 ^{51}Fe ε decay 1989Ho13,1984Ay01Decay Scheme

Intensities: I_γ per 100 parent decays

Legend

