

$^{54}\text{Fe}(\text{p},\alpha\gamma)$ 1977No01

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Wang Jimin and Huang Xiaolong		NDS 144, 1 (2017)	1-Mar-2016

E=9-13.2 MeV; measured $\sigma(\text{E}(\text{p}),\text{E}\alpha)$, $\text{E}\gamma$, $\text{I}\gamma$, DSA, $\alpha\gamma(\theta)$, and $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$.

 ^{51}Mn Levels

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	$\text{T}_{1/2}$ ^a	Comments
0.0	$5/2^-$ &		
237.3	$7/2^-$	>0.7 ps	
1139.8	$(9/2)^-$ #	0.25 ps +8-6	
1488	$(11/2)^-$ #	0.50 ps +14-10	
1817.1	$(3/2^-)$	>0.7 ps	
1824.6	$3/2^-$	35 fs +13-10	
1959.1	$(1/2^-)$	0.26 ps +10-7	
2140.4	$(3/2^-)$	39 fs +17-15	
2255.7	$(5/2^-)$ &	79 fs +33-26	
2275.9	$(1/2^+)$	>1.2 ps	$\text{T}_{1/2}$: <3.5 ns from $\alpha\gamma(\text{t})$ measurement.
2310.0	$(5/2)$	0.9 ps +6-4	
2415.9	$7/2^-$ @	28 fs +15-13	
2701.6	$(3/2)$	>0.5 ps	$\text{T}_{1/2}$: <3.5 ns from $\alpha\gamma(\text{t})$ measurement.
2841.4	$(1/2^-)$	0.27 ps +13-8	
2914	$(3/2^-)$	<21 fs	
2983.5	$(5/2)$		

[†] E=1817+1825 is an unresolved doublet; E(level) values are from Adopted Levels.

[‡] Based on $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$, γ mult, δ , and decay pattern, except as noted.

$\gamma(\theta)$ for the 1251 γ favors J(1488)=11/2 and mult(1251 γ)=Q, but cannot exclude J=7/2 with $\delta\approx 1.2$. J=1/2, 3/2, 5/2 and 9/2 are all definitely excluded. $\gamma(\theta)$ for the 348 γ to 1139 is consistent with J(1488):J(1140)=7/2:5/2, 7/2:9/2, or 11/2:9/2. $\gamma(\theta)$ for the 903 γ is consistent with J(1139)=5/2, 7/2, or 9/2. Model-dependent arguments strongly favor J=11/2 over 7/2 for the 1488 level, and thus J(1139)=9/2.

@ $\gamma(\theta)$ for the 1276 γ to 1139 rules out J(2415)=5/2 and is consistent with 7/2 if J(1139)=9/2.

& From Adopted Levels.

^a From DSA measurements in 1977No01, except as noted.

 $\gamma(^{51}\text{Mn})$

$\text{E}_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_γ	I_γ [†]	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ^a	δ [@]	Comments
237.3	$7/2^-$	237	100	0.0	$5/2^-$	(M1+E2)	+0.100 11	$\gamma(\theta)$: $\text{A}_2=-0.11$ 6, $\text{A}_4=+0.05$ 8.
1139.8	$(9/2)^-$	903	86 2	237.3	$7/2^-$	(M1+E2)	+0.323 22	$\gamma(\theta)$: $\text{A}_2=+0.17$ 11, $\text{A}_4=-0.11$ 15.
		1140	14 2	0.0	$5/2^-$	(E2)		$\gamma(\theta)$: $\text{A}_2=+0.60$ 29, $\text{A}_4=+0.10$ 41.
1488	$(11/2)^-$	348	36 4	1139.8	$(9/2)^-$	(M1+E2)	+0.054 27	$\gamma(\theta)$: $\text{A}_2=-0.29$ 5, $\text{A}_4=-0.04$ 8.
		1251	64 4	237.3	$7/2^-$	(E2)		$\gamma(\theta)$: $\text{A}_2=+0.23$ 13, $\text{A}_4=-0.30$ 18.
		1488	<2	0.0	$5/2^-$			
1817.1	$(3/2^-)$	1580	$\frac{1}{2}$	237.3	$7/2^-$	(E2)		
		1817	$\frac{1}{2}$	0.0	$5/2^-$	(M1)		
1824.6	$3/2^-$	1588	$\frac{1}{2}$	237.3	$7/2^-$	(E2)		
		1825	94 $\frac{1}{2}$	0.0	$5/2^-$	(M1)		
1959.1	$(1/2^-)$	1721	<2	237.3	$7/2^-$			
		1959	>98	0.0	$5/2^-$	(E2)		

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{54}\text{Fe}(\text{p},\alpha\gamma)$ 1977No01 (continued) $\gamma(^{51}\text{Mn})$ (continued)

$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_γ	$I_\gamma^\dagger$	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ^a	$\delta^\oplus$	Comments
2140.4	(3/2) ⁻	1903	2 1	237.3	7/2 ⁻	(E2)		
		2140	98 1	0.0	5/2 ⁻	(M1+E2)	-0.08 7	
2255.7	(5/2) ⁻	2019		237.3	7/2 ⁻			
		2256		0.0	5/2 ⁻			
2275.9	(1/2 ⁺)	317	22 3	1959.1	(1/2) ⁻	(E1)		
		459	78 3	1817.1	(3/2) ⁻	(E1)		
2310.0	(5/2)	2073	85 3	237.3	7/2 ⁻	D(+Q)		δ : +0.03 4 or -8.4 +20-30.
		2310	15 3	0.0	5/2 ⁻	D(+Q)		δ : +0.06 13 or +1.4 3.
2415.9	7/2 ⁻	1276	40 3	1139.8	(9/2) ⁻	(M1(+E2))	0.0 1	
		2179	60 3	237.3	7/2 ⁻	(M1+E2)	-0.18 16	
		2416	<5	0.0	5/2 ⁻	(M1)		
2701.6	(3/2)	392	44 4	2310.0	(5/2)	D(+Q)		δ : -0.11 12 or -3.1 +10-16.
		743	33 4	1959.1	(1/2) ⁻	D(+Q)		δ : +0.08 8 or -2.1 4.
		884.5	<26 [#]	1817.1	(3/2) ⁻			
2841.4	(1/2) ⁻	887.5	>70 [#]	1959.1	(1/2) ⁻	(M1)		
		1016	<30	1824.6	3/2 ⁻	(M1)		
2914	(3/2) ⁻	2914	100	0.0	5/2 ⁻	(M1)		
2983.5	(5/2)	2748	69 5	237.3	7/2 ⁻	D(+Q)	+0.04 ^{&} 8	
		2985	31 5	0.0	5/2 ⁻	D(+Q)	-0.37 ^{&} 21	

[†] % photon branching from each level.

[‡] The 1817+1825 doublet has a 3% 1 branch to the 237 level. That is, $I_\gamma(1580+1588):I_\gamma(1817+1825)=3:1:97:1$.

[#] The branches to the 1817 and 1825 levels are not resolved. The E_γ entries are from $E(\text{level})$ differences, and $I_\gamma=23:3$ for the unresolved doublet.

[@] From $\gamma(\theta)$.

[&] Alternate solutions of $\pm \infty$ are ruled out since the transition is E1+M2 based on adopted π 's.

^a From $\gamma(\theta)$ data, RUL used when level lifetime is known.

$^{54}\text{Fe}(\text{p},\alpha\gamma)$ 1977No01

Level Scheme

Intensities: % photon branching from each level

