

$^{49}\text{Ti}(n,\gamma),(\text{pol } n,\gamma) \text{ E=thermal}$ 1984Ru06,1971Te01

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jun Chen and Balraj Singh		NDS 157, 1 (2019)	15-Apr-2019

$J^\pi(^{49}\text{Ti g.s.})=7/2^-$.

1984Ru06: beam from the thermal beam facilities at the HFR in Petten. Measured γ spectra using Ge(Li) detector for 0-2.5 MeV range, and a pair spectrometer with a Ge(Li) and two NaI detectors for 1.8-11 MeV range. Measured nuclear orientation (polarized target (average polarization=0.123 *II*) and n beam; $\theta=0^\circ$ and $\theta=90^\circ$). Polarization (polarized neutron beam; two Ge(Li) polarimeters on opposite sides of target).

1971Te01: beam from the Israel Research Reactor-2 (IRR-2). Measured γ spectra, $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ with NaI.

All data are from **1984Ru06**, except as noted. Decay scheme constructed with the aid of the Ritz combination principle. All results from the $\gamma\gamma$ -coincidence data of **1971Te01** were accepted. Previously observed levels were accepted if populated or depopulated by at least two transitions with at least one of these not having an alternate placement. New levels were added if they were populated by a primary γ and populated or depopulated by at least two unambiguously placed transitions. $\chi^2=2.29$ for the 88 γ rays placed. Others: **1980Is02** and **1969TrZX**.

 ^{50}Ti Levels

E(level) [†]	J^π	Comments
0.0	0 ⁺	
1553.796 6	2 [@]	
2674.929 7	4 [@]	
3198.691 13		
3862.81 4		
4147.208 10	4 [@]	
4171.979 16	3 [@]	
4309.86 11		
4410.01 3	3 ⁻	
4486.72 6		
4789.96 6	(2 ⁺)	J^π : 2 to 5 from nuclear orientation and circ. pol.; γ to 0 ⁺ g.s. suggests 2 ⁺ .
4880.692 11	5 [@]	
5186.083 15	(3,4) [@]	
5379.917 16	4 [@]	
5547.79 4		
5694.84 8		
5806.52 16		
5946.450 19	3,4 [@]	
6123.13 4	(3,4,5) ^{&}	
6156.46 22		
6301.77 3	2,3,4 ⁺ &	
6379.83 14		
6399.78 15		
6521.36 4	(3,4) [@]	
6710.542 22	4 [@]	
6729.82 6	(2,3,4,5) ^{&}	
6837.60 7		
6849.00 8		
7029.38 25		
7078.69 23		
7232.17 23		
7482.92 7		
(10939.19 [‡] 4)	3 ⁻ ,4 ⁻ #	

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{49}\text{Ti}(n,\gamma),(\text{pol } n,\gamma) \text{ E=thermal } \mathbf{1984\text{Ru06},1971\text{Te01}} \text{ (continued)}$ ^{50}Ti Levels (continued)

† Uncertainties are statistical, with 3.2 ppm systematic uncertainty not included.

‡ From 2017Wa10; held fixed in least-squares adjustment.

Thermal capture on a $7/2^-$ target.

@ From analysis of nuclear orientation and circular polarization data with the assumption that the primary γ rays are dipole.

& From nuclear orientation and CP.

 $\gamma(^{50}\text{Ti})$

I_γ normalization: $\Delta(\gamma\text{-normalization})=5\%$ systematic uncertainty in I_γ .

A total of 16.8% of the primary strength was found to be missing, assuming that the total strength to the g.s. is 100%.

Coincidences shown on drawing are from 1971Te01.

E_γ †	I_γ ‡b	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π
523.759 10	2.17 3	3198.691		2674.929 4	
733.69 9	0.214 17	4880.692	5	4147.208 4	
760.31 8	0.340 25	5946.450	3,4	5186.083 (3,4)	
1121.130 6	59.6 13	2674.929	4	1553.796 2	
1156.65 16	0.200 24	5946.450	3,4	4789.96 (2 ⁺)	
1207.930 11	2.40 6	5379.917	4	4171.979 3	
1242.38 4	0.71 3	6123.13	(3,4,5)	4880.692 5	
^x 1273.22 19	0.24 3				
1457.6 3	0.122 23	6837.60		5379.917 4	
1472.255 6	11.4 3	4147.208	4	2674.929 4	
1497.054 25	9.6 3	4171.979	3	2674.929 4	
1524.53 4	0.70 3	6710.542	4	5186.083 (3,4)	
1553.785 6	99 3	1553.796	2	0.0 0 ⁺	
1636.45 5	0.601 24	6123.13	(3,4,5)	4486.72	
^x 1642.40 12	0.186 16				
1681.69 15	0.84 23	4880.692	5	3198.691	
1730.8 3	0.24 6	6521.36	(3,4)	4789.96 (2 ⁺)	
1735.00 5	0.73 3	4410.01	3 ⁻	2674.929 4	
^x 1755.8 3	0.097 19				
^x 1773.77 20	0.139 18				
1852.9 4	0.55 17	7232.17		5379.917 4	
^x 2001.5 6	0.30 12				
2128.4 5	0.31 10	6301.77	2,3,4 ⁺	4171.979 3	
^x 2201.0 3	0.71 14				
2205.722 11	10.1 3	4880.692	5	2674.929 4	
^x 2215.0 5	0.43 13				
2300.43 5	0.68 3	6710.542	4	4410.01 3 ⁻	
^x 2305.07 11	0.287 20				
2308.98 4	0.86 4	3862.81		1553.796 2	
2348.3 ^c 3	0.36 7	5547.79		3198.691	
2511.110 20	4.26 16	5186.083	(3,4)	2674.929 4	
2538.37 10	1.09 8	6710.542	4	4171.979 3	
2618.33 7	19.9 7	4171.979	3	1553.796 2	
2658.75 20	0.50 6	6521.36	(3,4)	3862.81	
2700.6 6	0.16 5	6849.00		4147.208 4	
2704.92 4	4.38 17	5379.917	4	2674.929 4	
^x 2709.2 4	0.25 5				
2719.1 3	0.37 5	7029.38		4309.86	
2755.89 13	1.32 11	4309.86		1553.796 2	
^x 2765.43 [#] 12	0.73 6				

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{49}\text{Ti}(n,\gamma),(\text{pol } n,\gamma) \text{ E=thermal } 1984\text{Ru06},1971\text{Te01 (continued)}$ $\gamma(^{50}\text{Ti})$ (continued)

E_γ †	I_γ ‡b	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π
^x 2810.5 5	0.15 5				
^x 2846.5 4	0.24 5				
2856.13 4	2.86 11	4410.01	3 ⁻	1553.796 2	
2867.39 21	0.44 5	6729.82	(2,3,4,5)	3862.81	
2872.72 10	1.31 8	5547.79		2674.929 4	
2924.9 5	0.22 5	6123.13	(3,4,5)	3198.691	
2933.27 12	0.66 5	4486.72		1553.796 2	
3019.86 11	0.80 5	5694.84		2674.929 4	
3131.71 19	0.39 4	5806.52		2674.929 4	
3181.9 6	0.13 4	6379.83		3198.691	
^x 3186.72 20	0.37 4				
3236.09 7	1.20 5	4789.96	(2 ⁺)	1553.796 2	
3271.41 3	3.81 10	5946.450	3,4	2674.929 4	
3448.4 5	0.14 3	6123.13	(3,4,5)	2674.929 4	
3456.17 7	1.03 4	(10939.19)	3 ⁻ ,4 ⁻	7482.92	
^x 3534.5 5	0.16 3				
^x 3545.5 6	0.11 3				
^x 3565.0 5	0.13 3				
3632.10 5	1.72 5	5186.083	(3,4)	1553.796 2	
^x 3636.3 5	0.13 3				
3649.9 5	0.11 3	6849.00		3198.691	
^x 3688.5 7	0.09 3				
3707.4 6	0.6 4	(10939.19)	3 ⁻ ,4 ⁻	7232.17	
3724.1 5	0.13 3	6399.78		2674.929 4	
3826.08 11	0.56 3	5379.917	4	1553.796 2	
^x 3833.22 24	0.23 3				
3846.18 11	1.07 7	6521.36	(3,4)	2674.929 4	
3860.1 3	0.20 3	(10939.19)	3 ⁻ ,4 ⁻	7078.69	
3909.0 4	0.140 24	(10939.19)	3 ⁻ ,4 ⁻	7029.38	
3993.87 5	1.07 4	5547.79		1553.796 2	
4054.75 11	0.52 3	6729.82	(2,3,4,5)	2674.929 4	
4089.93 8	0.79 3	(10939.19)	3 ⁻ ,4 ⁻	6849.00	
4101.32 7	0.84 3	(10939.19)	3 ⁻ ,4 ⁻	6837.60	
^x 4150.8 6	0.085 22				
4209.17 6	1.00 3	(10939.19)	3 ⁻ ,4 ⁻	6729.82 (2,3,4,5)	
^x 4218.19 8	0.75 3				
4228.43 3	4.01 7	(10939.19)	3 ⁻ ,4 ⁻	6710.542 4	
4309.74 20	0.259 23	4309.86		0.0 0 ⁺	
4402.1 5	0.14 3	7078.69		2674.929 4	
4417.55 4	1.84 4	(10939.19)	3 ⁻ ,4 ⁻	6521.36 (3,4)	
^x 4457.92 6	1.15 3				
4486.0 4	0.110 20	4486.72		0.0 0 ⁺	
4539.01 18	0.297 22	(10939.19)	3 ⁻ ,4 ⁻	6399.78	
4559.13 14	0.54 3	(10939.19)	3 ⁻ ,4 ⁻	6379.83	
4602.50 25	0.200 21	6156.46		1553.796 2	
4637.13 4	1.83 4	(10939.19)	3 ⁻ ,4 ⁻	6301.77 2,3,4 ⁺	
4747.73 4	2.03 4	6301.77	2,3,4 ⁺	1553.796 2	
4782.6 4	0.140 21	(10939.19)	3 ⁻ ,4 ⁻	6156.46	
4789.3 4	0.136 21	4789.96	(2 ⁺)	0.0 0 ⁺	
4815.79 6	1.07 3	(10939.19)	3 ⁻ ,4 ⁻	6123.13 (3,4,5)	
4845.6 3	0.201 21	6399.78		1553.796 2	
^x 4869.5 6	0.098 24				
^x 4897.81 23	0.28 3				
4992.420 25	4.49 6	(10939.19)	3 ⁻ ,4 ⁻	5946.450 3,4	
^x 5106.5 5	0.094 18				
5132.72 25	0.201 19	(10939.19)	3 ⁻ ,4 ⁻	5806.52	

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{49}\text{Ti}(n,\gamma),(\text{pol } n,\gamma) \text{ E=thermal } \mathbf{1984Ru06,1971Te01}$ (continued) $\gamma(^{50}\text{Ti})$ (continued)

E_γ^\dagger	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger b}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.
$^x 5148.94$ 8	0.732 24					
5156.46 7	0.852 25	6710.542	4	1553.796	2	
$^x 5166.39$ 13	0.393 21					
5244.04 10	0.547 22	(10939.19)	$3^-,4^-$	5694.84		
$^x 5255.8$ 5	0.095 18					
5283.39 14	0.366 21	6837.60		1553.796	2	
5391.07 5	1.96 4	(10939.19)	$3^-,4^-$	5547.79		
$^x 5396.0$ 4	0.177 24					
$^x 5412.5$ 3	0.173 19					
$^x 5419.89$ 17	0.327 21					
$^x 5498.20^{\#}$ 16	0.352 21					
5525.5 5	0.126 24	7078.69		1553.796	2	
$^x 5534.17$ 12	0.34 3					
$^x 5546.3$ 4	0.190 24					
5558.937 24	6.14 8	(10939.19)	$3^-,4^-$	5379.917	4	
$^x 5605.21$ 23	0.246 20					
5677.8 3	0.165 20	7232.17		1553.796	2	
5752.692 24	4.72 6	(10939.19)	$3^-,4^-$	5186.083	(3,4)	
$^x 5906.5$ 8	0.077 21					
5929.14 15	0.426 23	7482.92		1553.796	2	
6058.105 20	10.03 11	(10939.19)	$3^-,4^-$	4880.692	5	
6148.85 14	0.53 3	(10939.19)	$3^-,4^-$	4789.96	(2 ⁺)	
6451.6 5	0.25 4	(10939.19)	$3^-,4^-$	4486.72		
6528.72 10	0.92 3	(10939.19)	$3^-,4^-$	4410.01	3^-	
6766.73 5	17.8 3	(10939.19)	$3^-,4^-$	4171.979	3	
6791.41 7	7.58 20	(10939.19)	$3^-,4^-$	4147.208	4	
8263.51 @ 3	8.57 10	(10939.19)	$3^-,4^-$	2674.929	4	D ^a
9384.41 & 6	5.08 7	(10939.19)	$3^-,4^-$	1553.796	2	D ^a

[†] Deduced by 1984Al29 (evaluation) from transition energy listed by 1984Ru06 and recoil correction. Uncertainties are statistical and do not include systematic uncertainty of 2.6 ppm for $E_\gamma < 1800$ keV (^{198}Au standard; 1978Ke02) and 3.2 ppm for $E_\gamma > 1800$ keV (^{15}N , S(n); 1982Va13).

[‡] I_γ per 100 n-captures. See 1984Ru06 for branching ratios.

[#] The 2765 γ may be the same as the γ depopulating the 5440.7 state in ^{50}Sc β^- decay and the 5498 γ may populate this state (evaluators).

@ From $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ primarily depopulates 3^- capture state (1971Te01).

& From $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ depopulates 3^- capture state only (1971Te01).

^a From $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ (1971Te01).

^b For intensity per 100 neutron captures, multiply by 1.00 5.

^c Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

⁴⁹Ti(n,γ)(pol n,γ) E=thermal 1984Ru06,1971Te01

Level Scheme

Intensities: I_γ per 100 n-captures

- Legend
- ↘ I_γ < 2% × I_{max}
 - ↘ I_γ < 10% × I_{max}
 - ↘ I_γ > 10% × I_{max}
 - Coincidence



