

$^{51}\text{V}(\text{d},^3\text{He}),(\text{pol d},^3\text{He})$  1988Kr02,1986FuZY

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jun Chen and Balraj Singh		NDS 157, 1 (2019)	15-Apr-2019

$J^\pi(^{51}\text{V g.s.})=7/2^-$ .

**1988Kr02:** (d, $^3\text{He}$ ) E=52.9 MeV beam from the KVI in Groningen. Measured  $\sigma(\theta(\text{c.m.})\approx 5^\circ-40^\circ)$ ; magnetic spectrograph, position-sensitive detectors, scintillation detector, FWHM $\approx 25$  keV. DWBA analysis.

**1986FuZY:** (pol d, $^3\text{He}$ ) E=56 MeV at RCNP. Measured  $\sigma(\theta)$ ,  $A_y(\theta)$ ,  $\theta(\text{c.m.})\approx 6^\circ-32^\circ$ ; magnetic spectrometer, position-sensitive proportional counter and  $\Delta E-\Delta E-E$  telescope (proportional counter, scintillator), beam polarization=80-83%.

Others:

**1976Cf01:** (d, $^3\text{He}$ ),E=30,80 MeV. Measured  $\sigma(E,\theta)$ , deduced L- values and spectroscopic factors for ground and first  $2^+$ ,  $4^+$  and  $6^+$  states.

**1968Ne03:** (d, $^3\text{He}$ ),E=34.4 MeV. Measured  $\sigma(E,\theta)$  for eight levels up to 6.16 MeV. Deduced L values and spectroscopic factors. FWHM=85-125 keV.

**1967Hi09:** (d, $^3\text{He}$ ),E=52 MeV. Measured  $\sigma(E,\theta)$  for nine levels up to 7650 keV. FWHM=200-400 keV. Deduced L values and spectroscopic factors.

All data are from **1988Kr02**, except as noted.

$\Sigma\text{C}^2\text{S}(1f_{7/2})$  is similar to that found in (e,e'p) while  $\Sigma\text{C}^2\text{S}(L=0; E_x < 8 \text{ MeV})$  and  $\Sigma\text{C}^2\text{S}(L=2; E_x < 8 \text{ MeV})$  are not. See (e,e'p) for additional discussion.

 $^{50}\text{Ti}$  Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	L	C <sup>2</sup> S <sup>‡</sup>	Comments
0 <sup>#</sup>	3 <sup>a</sup>	0.41 <sup>@</sup>	E(level): 0 2. L=3, C <sup>2</sup> S=0.74 (1968Ne03). L=3, S=0.73 (1967Hi09).
1552 <sup>#</sup> 4	3 <sup>ab</sup>	0.22 <sup>@b</sup>	E=1560, L=3, C <sup>2</sup> S=0.37 (1968Ne03). E=1550, L=3, S=0.39 (1967Hi09).
2675 <sup>#</sup> 5	3 <sup>ab</sup>	0.41 <sup>@b</sup>	E=2680, L=3, C <sup>2</sup> S=0.75 (1968Ne03). E=2670, L=3, S=0.64 (1967Hi09).
3199 <sup>#</sup> 6	3 <sup>a</sup>	0.65 <sup>@</sup>	E=3200, L=3, C <sup>2</sup> S=1.14 (1968Ne03). E=3220, L=3, S=1.05 (1967Hi09).
4147 7	0	0.008	
4177 7	3	0.047	
4317 7	3	0.022 <sup>@</sup>	
4409 <sup>#</sup> 7	0	0.26 <sup>&amp;</sup>	E=4450, L=0, C <sup>2</sup> S=0.77 (1968Ne03). E=4450 100, L=0, S=0.63 (1967Hi09).
4787 7	3	0.042 <sup>@</sup>	
4928 8	2	0.051	
5191 8	0+2	0.006+0.019 <sup>&amp;</sup>	
5333 8	2	0.71	
5407 <sup>#</sup> 8	0+2	0.064+0.40 <sup>&amp;</sup>	E=5460, L=2, C <sup>2</sup> S=1.67 (1968Ne03). E=4450 100, L=2, S=1.90 (1967Hi09).
5528 8	0+2	0.013+0.069 <sup>&amp;</sup>	
5771 9	0	0.046 <sup>&amp;</sup>	
5795 9	2	0.43	
5880 9	3	0.013 <sup>@</sup>	E=5890, L=2, C <sup>2</sup> S=0.82 (1968Ne03).
5945 9	2	0.028	
6041 9	0	0.42 <sup>&amp;</sup>	E=6050 150, L=0, S=1.10 (1967Hi09).
6137 9	3	0.021 <sup>@</sup>	E=6160, L=0, C <sup>2</sup> S=1.03 (1968Ne03).
6191 9	3	0.016 <sup>@</sup>	
6248 9	3	0.013 <sup>@</sup>	

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{51}\text{V}(\text{d}, ^3\text{He}), (\text{pol d}, ^3\text{He})$  1988Kr02, 1986FuZY (continued) $^{50}\text{Ti}$  Levels (continued)

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	L	C <sup>2</sup> S <sup>‡</sup>	Comments
6310 9	2	0.14	
6386 9	2	0.36	
6400 9	0	0.035 <sup>&amp;</sup>	
6461 9	2	0.023	E=6450 150, L=2, S=1.40 (1967Hi09).
6517 9	1	0.009	
6583 10	2	0.18	
6606 <sup>#</sup> 10	2	0.073	
6665 10	2	0.027	
6716 10	1	0.006	
6770 10	3	0.023 <sup>@</sup>	
6847 10	2	0.067	
6963 10	0	0.017 <sup>&amp;</sup>	
7037 10	1	0.007	
7083 10	2	0.038	
7605 <sup>c</sup> 11	(0) <sup>c</sup>	<sup>c</sup>	E=7650 200, L=2, S=1.10 (1967Hi09).
7697 <sup>#c</sup> 11	(0) <sup>c</sup>	<sup>c</sup>	

<sup>†</sup> Uncertainties estimated by evaluators from statement by 1988Kr02 that the uncertainties range from 2 keV at 0 MeV to 10 keV at 7 MeV.

<sup>‡</sup>  $C^2S = (2j+1)[d\sigma/d\Omega(\text{exp})]/(N[d\sigma/d\Omega(\text{DWBA})])$ , where  $N=2.95$ . Separation energy method. See 1988Kr02 for results when the rms radius is kept constant.

<sup>#</sup> Also identified by 1986FuZY.

<sup>@</sup>  $\Sigma C^2S = 1.89$  is less than expected. If the  $1f_{7/2}$  orbital radius is fixed at 4.10 fm,  $\Sigma C^2S = 1.63$  which is about two thirds of that expected from extensive shell model calculations (1988Kr02).

<sup>&</sup>  $\Sigma C^2S = 0.87$  is less than half that expected from extensive shell model calculations (1988Kr02).

<sup>a</sup> Shape of  $A_y(\theta)$  very similar for these states (1986FuZY).

<sup>b</sup> no evidence of L=1 admixture ( $C^2S < 0.01$ ).

<sup>c</sup> Observed only at forward angles. Parentheses added by the evaluators.